SHARED CATALOGING PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MINUTES

September 19, 2005, 3:00-4:30 pm
Conference call meeting

Present: Becky Culbertson (UCSD), Jim Dooley (UCM), Pat French (UCD), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Elaine McCracken (UCSB--recorder), John Riemer (UCLA, chair), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD)

1. Clarifications needed after the 8/19 meeting (email exchanges of 9/9):

a) Resolution of discussion SCP AC's revised charge & "staggered terms"

The SCP AC agrees with proposed wording change in our charge to: "The SCP AC does not necessarily have representation from all UC campuses." We also agree that new members from the campuses not currently represented could be considered if nominated in response to the call by HOTS. HOTS is at the point of year when it determines changes and renewal of terms to committee membership. SCP AC members serve for a two year term, and the terms should be staggered to include new and older members. However, HOTS should keep in mind when determining renewals that those who were appointed to the initial term of the Steering Committee when it formed two years ago, have not been staggered

b) List of what serial record revisions are worth calling to SCP's attention

As more campuses move toward overlay of local records with SCP serials cataloging, they have noticed and thought about what to do with information contained in local records that is not included in the SCP record. One alternative to preventing this data loss via local programming is reporting the data to SCP for possible inclusion in the record that gets distributed to all. This would enable us to accept the SCP records as is.

Adolfo has received a small number of such requests for serial record revisions. Pat drafted a short list of what bibliographic maintenance can be considered worth calling to SCP's attention. We discussed additional fields, the list now includes the 022, 130, 246, 310, 362, 500 (for commercial publisher changes), 515, 525, 530, 550, 555, 700, 710, and 730. This list will need to be tested first to see what possible impact these revisions would have on the SCP workload. Adolfo will consult with Linda Barnhart. There is a NACO component to be considered. Ideally, the quantity of changes SCP might expect to receive would average no more than ten to twenty records per month.

[Becky and Adolfo did clarify that for a single field change to a record such as a 500 note, we can send an email with "Action" in the subject line. We can cut and paste the field number and the exact wording we want in the note. In addition, we would add the 245 and OCLC # of the record we want changed.]

c) Scope of potential UC funnel project: NACO, CONSER, PCC?

Another, more direct means of accomplishing the above bibliographic maintenance would be to have catalogers on our campuses modify the OCLC master record and notify SCP of the need to redistribute it. We believe it would be possible to initiate a CONSER funnel program within UC. CONSER work depends upon NACO for the occasional name headings needed during serial maintenance. We discussed the NACO Funnel projects as described on the Program for Cooperative Cataloging's website.If at least some of us have had this training, we could contribute serial bibliographic maintenance directly to CONSER without having to ask SCP to do it for us. What would the impact be on workload? Not every campus would need to be involved. We could leverage the expertise across the system.

ACTION: A. Tarango will speak to Linda, and J. Dooley will mention CONSER/NACO Funnel Project at the next HOTS meeting. J. Riemer and J. Dooley will research NACO/CONSER requirements and training to discuss further at the November HOTS meeting. We need to get an idea of how many serial catalogers we would want to receive the NACO training. All SCP AC members should speak to their campus HOTS representatives to see what kind of response they have towards the CONSER/NACO Funnel Project.

2. Progress on getting the 793 $g document reviewed and sent to HOTS

Becky will add an explanatory statement into the 793 $g document to clarify how the statistical count works.

Adolfo has verified that the clean-up files number about 13,000 serial records. CDL/Melvyl has a limit of 10,000 records per file, so SCP will batch the record files they send to the campuses. Individual campuses should likewise monitor the size of files they send to CDL/Melvyl.

File size accepted by our library's ILS's may differ. We should check with our individual systems coordinators to determine how these clean-up files may need to be handled.

Similarly, the EEBO record files are extraordinarily large. Could the EEBO records be managed at a collection level with only SCP sending MELVYL records? Can campuses batch suppress the EEBO records. While there is concern with overloading MELVYL with the sheer quantity of EEBO records, we have to work out display issues such as campus holdings label.

Agenda items deferred to the next meeting.

3. Concerns about the cataloging for open access titles (email thread of 8/30) (Carole & John)

4. Outcome of Link Resolver Services planning meetings, June 13 in Oakland, Aug 30 conference call (Becky, Adolfo)

5. Discussion of General Principles and Detailed Linking Guidelines document (Adolfo)

6. Recommending the acquisition of a statewide subscription to Marcive's Documents without Walls (John)

7. General SCP update from Becky & Adolfo
--How initial SCP Road Shows have gone

8. The work to date of the SOPAG Bibliographic Services TF (John)
Charge: http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sopag/BSTF/Charge.htm
Interim Report: http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sopag/BSTF/interim081405.pdf

9. FRBR Workshop highlights from May 2-4 at OCLC (John)

10. Announcements:
Upcoming HOTS meetings: 8/29 conf call; 11/14 in-person

11. When to hold our next meeting

Next meeting:
September 30, 2005
3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
Recorder: Carole McEwan