ISRAC Conference Call Friday, April 21, 2006 10-11 am

Present: Maryly Snow (UCB), Dan Goldstein (UCD), Maureen Burns (UCI), Stephen Davison (UCLA), Emily Lin (UCM), Susan Moon (UCSB), , Greg Careaga (UCSC), Margaret Hogarth (UCR), Lena Zentall (CDL).

Absent: Rosalie Lack (CDL), Vickie O'Riordan (UCSD), Brian Warling (UCSF),

Recorder: Emily Lin

Discussion focused on drafting the final report. It was determined that the report would be sent to HOPS and copied to SOPAG.

It was determined that the latest outline put together by Maureen would serve as the basis for the final report. It was felt that many of the points were important to include, as similar issues will arise when libraries deal with other digital media licensing and management

Edits were made by Maureen to the document during the discussion according to the committee's suggestions.

Some of the major points of discussion:

- Feedback on RAC model is separate from "Unfinished Business"
- Move I. 2. to III. Feedback
- Next time a committee is charged, it needs to be made clear what is an advisory charge and what is an action charge
- The point that Image Services differ should be made, but elsewhere
- II. 1st item of unfinished business: a formal rollout of the UC Image Service and other image resources
- Due to the early dissolution of ISRAC, a rollout was not completed. These tasks and the degree of completion are documented in the attached work plan.
- There are challenges to outreach and training because image services differ from I/R dbs; usability studies suggest different behaviors between these tools and abstract and indexing.
- Licensed image resources are being used, but faculty require much more training, individual training than typical
- Group training is important to educate, uncover users who don't realize resources exist. One-on-one is also important.
- Don't need to include these points in the report; HOPS not interested in being told how to approach outreach and training. Include the point on the need for push communication
- Include a link to Maureen and Rena's report on Outreach and training; reference Digital Visual Users, other studies in appendix

- 3. collection development: There is no model for consortial collection development of image collections. All stakeholders need to be involved in the decision-making. We need to recognize there are financial pressures.
- 4. copyright issues urgently need to be worked out. Here's an example of what's being done at the local-level (UCI)
- 5. interoperability. It was suggested that this be dropped from the report. Maureen will send a statement she came up with on this, as some felt it still important to include—it was part of the ISRAC charge.

Maureen will send out a revised version and discussion, revision will continue via e-mail.