

ISRAC Conference Call Meeting Minutes, 10/21/2005

Present: Maureen Burns (UCI), Greg Careaga (UCSC), Dan Goldstein (UCD), Rosalie Lack (CDL), Emily Lin (UCM; recorder), Susan Moon (UCSB), Maryly Snow (UCB), Brian Warling (UCSF), Margaret Hogarth (UCR), Stephen Davison (UCLA),

Absent: Vickie O'Riordan (UCSD)

Quarter 2 Report to HOPS

Training comments

The group discussed including the comments from the training sessions in the report. Susan suggested the training comments should be included so that HOPS has an understanding of the complexities of the tool. Maureen is planning to rework the document into categories: things that CDL can address; things that Luna might be able to address. It was decided to leave the document out of the report this time.

Installation of Insight client

Dan: UCD has installed the client on instruction computers and at the public service desks. Because of permissions and possible security issues, they have not installed the client on all public access terminals. Their website has links to PIDs for the web versions of the licensed content (AMICA, Saskia, Harthill); it would also be possible to create links for specific websites.

Rosalie: Lena has mocked up the UC image service page with URLs/PIDs for content, similar to Rumsey's site. She will send the mock-up out to the group soon.

Dan: a link to the Image Service page with collections listed will show what content is available, in which case the browser version would be sufficient.

Brian: UCSF will not follow recommendations to install the client on public terminals because there is no content in the service that UCSF patrons would use. They have installed the client on computers in his area to be able to demonstrate the tool to faculty. The report should state that the UC Image Service needs to impact a broader audience, across campuses, and iterate the importance of collection development efforts.

Brian has spoken with medical faculty and identified open-access collections that could be considered for addition to Insight. He will send out a list of collections this week. Collection development is on the ISRAC workplan; Maryly suggested that this be an agenda item for the next call.

Maryly will rewrite recommendation D.

Cataloging collections

The group decided that individual collections should still be cataloged so that they can be found. UC Image Service as a whole should also be cataloged.

CDL recommendations

Rosalie took recommendations from the last report to CDL and CDL has responded to them. Items have been incorporated in the work plan. We will keep this section so that HOPS is aware we are working with CDL, with the suggestion to label it as ISRAC-CDL tasks.

Work plan

Because the why is not explicit for all recommendations, the report should point to the Justification column in the work plan.

Section I

Maureen suggested language for I.2: What guidelines or procedures can be put into place to streamline the communication process and keep implementation going?

The point needs to be communicated that no matter what cultural image resources are licensed, UC still needs its own service to serve up the vast amount of image content held within UC. There are several image services vying for the market, and it is not clear which tools will survive. However, UC will always need a place to store and serve its image content.

I.4: Faculty want to be able to decide what presentation tools work for them; they want to make their own choices about pedagogy. It is important to allow faculty to be presented with all available tools so that they can make their own choice.

The group briefly discussed how this resource is different from what libraries have acquired in the past because of its direct use, involvement in instruction. It reflects a real change in what we will see in libraries.

Travel

Face-to-face meeting is helpful and could coincide with another UC meeting to save on travel costs. The north-south training sessions were also helpful; Maureen suggested requesting travel funding for additional north-south meetings.

Other

Stephen: report needs to convey ISRAC's recognition that the campuses still individually manage decisions, i.e. how they will implement rollout. For example, it will be difficult to get faculty to use these tools; students are more likely to use it than the faculty. UC is not a monolithic institution and the way things will play out on separate campuses will be different. He will go through the report and note areas that can be revised to reflect that.

The chairs will send the report directly to HOPS upon completion.

2. Workplan

Timeline needs to be updated. Hide timeline column on draft to CDL.

Last updated needs correction.

Susan will update and send out to everyone.

&
&

& F
&
&

^ ` ~

ocument
