DRAFT MINUTES

HOTS Conference Call
Feb. 12 1-3

Present: Karleen Darr (Davis), Jim Dooley (Merced), Brad Eden (Santa Barbara), Tony Harvell (LAUC), Martha Hruska (San Diego, recorder), Lai-Ying Hsiung (Santa Cruz), Carole Kiehl (Irvine), Lee Leighton (Berkeley, chair), Patricia Martin (CDL), John Riemer (Los Angeles), Anneliese Taylor (San Francisco), Manuel Urrizola (Riverside).

1. Teaching materials, experiments, etc. Archived? Cataloged? (Patti Martin)
   UCLA does if requested by selector…. UCLA instructional programs are appearing in YouTube, but not necessarily cataloged
   Davis does not generally select teaching materials unless selected for its research value. Davis has not received requests to catalog off YouTube.
   UCSD slide collection was cataloged now digitized… going to ARTStor and now discussing whether to catalog the digitized images as the slides were.
   Patti raised the question as it had come up in one of her Future of Bib Services discussion with staff at CDL. CDL is considering whether cataloging these teaching materials is an area worth investigating, what might be valuable, who would play the role of describing, and maybe depositing these YouTube instructional videos, etc. in eScholarship?
   At Davis, the Library is absorbing the campus media center but not taking tapes of faculty videos. The thinking is that they don't want to worry about rights management issues.

2. SCP budget cut (Jim)

Thanks to John Riemer for putting together the Options discussion document that Jim sent yesterday.

The SCP subgroup will revise based on this discussion, get numbers, send to HOTS and simultaneously to Laine & Ivy with a recommendation. Then send to ULs by the 29th.

The discussion focused on the Options document.

A. Just cut – It was noted that just how the $48,000 cut would be taken was not included in this document and that will need to be shown in the final report.
   With the cut option, there needs to be a statement of the HOTS priorities for what could be dropped. It is important to make a clear statement of what is core, and what then won't be done. Consensus was that the resources that are purchased should be the highest priority for SCP cataloging.
   The areas identified to cut were:
   - Cal Documents
   - Content of the aggregator databases
   - Open Access resources would be a lower priority

   It was agreed that record distribution also be considered as an activity that could be dropped following a successful WC Local pilot and evaluation. Meanwhile, Lai Ying suggested that only two files (monos and serials) be produced on a regular basis, and that campuses could pick up the files and select the records they needed. The subgroup will consult with Linda about this and what costs that might save.

There was discussion of cutting cataloging for the Tier 2s (but there may not be huge savings there). There was also discussion of rethinking the E-monograph classification policy and ways that briefer catalog records or even brief publisher metadata could be accepted.
It was generally agreed that the recommendation to the ULs would include a menu of options and that various of the suggestions made in B and C could be discussed over the course of the next year. HOTS is committed to working with SCP to find ways to streamline operations to mitigate the effect that the Cut scenario would require.

Opinions were mixed on the proposals to shift the work or to suggest co-invest models. Many agreed with Carole that SCP's $5.00 cost per record could not be improved upon and that shifting responsibility, for Cal docs, for example, would ultimately cost the UC system more.

B. Recommend finding ways to supplement funding for the resource sharing budget. HOTS agrees SCP provides an extremely valuable service that needs to be a sustainable commitment. It was suggested that one way of offsetting some costs, and allowing for continued cost increases would be to add a surcharge to the purchase of all new CDL resources.

C. In the discussion there remain questions as to why the SCP funding in the Resource Sharing budget should be cut given the service it is providing.

How does group think the CDL consulting will go?
After much discussion, it was agreed the notes from this meeting will be shared with HOTS and discussed with the SCP subgroup when they meet on their conference call Wed. morning. The subgroup will winnow down the Options report to those HOTS discussed and add the cost figures. The document should also include prefatory meeting that confirms the value and economies of the Shared Cataloging Program and the need to find a sustainable model to continue it. The revised document will then be shared with HOTS and CDL (Laine and Ivy) for review. This needs to be done to allow time for a final edit and then submission to the ULs by Feb. 29.

3. CAMCIG dissertations 655 field

These fields will now stay in the OCLC catalog record. There was no objection, so approved.

4. SOPAG e-dissertations group (Lee)

Lee participated in an ACG discussion a year or so ago and made the suggestion that an all campus group be named to set best practices, including cataloging practices, on ETDs to go thru SOPAG. SOPAG is now forming that group with members from San Diego and San Francisco in the first phase. When the SOPAG charge goes our it will be shared with HOTS. And work from the group will be shared as the group gets underway.