HOTS Conference Call
Friday, July 17, 12:30-2:10 pm

Present: Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC, chair), Lee Leighton (UCB), John
Riemer (UCLA), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Manuel Urrizola (UCR, recorder),
Mary Page (UCD), Jim Dooley (UCM), Martha Hruska (UCSD),

Absent: Patti Martin (CDL), Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), Lisa Rowlison de
Ortiz (LAUC)

   1. No announcements

   2 HOTS annual report to SOPAG 2008-2009 (see Lee's July 14 email
with attachment)
   • Most comments have been incorporated.
   ACTION: Lai-Ying will submit to SOPAG after all comments received.

   3. CAMCIG response to two HOTS questions, dated Mon, 06 Jul 2009,
from Armanda Barone
   • HOTS accepts CAMCIG response to our question about single vs
     separate records for serials cataloging. CAMCIG members feel it
     is best not to change policy at this time.
   • CAMCIG member Wanda Jazayeri believes the answer is "yes" to HOTS
     question about whether Preservation Microfilm records will appear
     in NGM if at least one individual campus holdings symbol is
     attached to the record.
   • CAMCIG members are curious as to HOTS particular interest in the
     Preservation Microfilm records.
   • Some UC campuses (such as UCSC) found that some of the
     Preservation Microfilm records incorrectly show their campus as
     having holdings.
   • Campuses who are discovering incorrect holdings for Preservation
     Microfilm should investigate with OCLC.
   • Manuel reported that UCLA recently requested that CBSR (the
     entity on the UCR campus that creates the Preservation Microfilm
     records for the newspaper project) stop setting any UCLA holdings
     in OCLC and stop editing any UCLA LHRs. He believes CBSR may be
     setting holdings for some other UC campuses.
   ACTION: Manuel will send to HOTS members the name of whom to contact
   at CBSR to request cessation of holdings updates.

   4. SCP Reclamation / LHR / Next Gen Melvyl
   • Nothing new to report on SCP reclamation.
   • LHR
     o John reported that OCLC Local Holdings Records assume one URL
       per LHR but can accept one or more URLs in a single LHR and
       are planning the display within WorldCat Local to handle
       either scenario.
     o John reported on where to put e-coverage information—856
       subfield 3? Or 866 field? OCLC prefers coverage information to
       be in the 853/856 paired fields, but if that's not possible it
       should be in the 866 field. Those are the fields that are used
       during batch processing to create a summary field. The

summary field is the one that displays for holdings information in FirstSearch and is planned for use in WorldCat Local.

- o John reported on OCLC's Local Data elements project. OCLC is still working out the technical details and will let UC know once everything has been finalized and they have appropriate details and dates.
- o 793 information can go into 730 field, but 730 field is not yet validated.

ACTION: John will send to UCI and UCSB his list of questions to OCLC with OCLC's answers, and his notes on LHRs.

- o Expect a call soon from Imp Team to submit LHRs for serials.
- o John listed some of the many advantages of LHRs.

ACTION: John will send to HOTS members his notes on LHRs.

- o UCI, UCLA, and UCSB are testing LHRs, each with one of the three vendor systems used by UC Libraries.
- o UCI is testing LHRs for Innovative libraries.
- o Vicki reported that one LHR for each URL is not possible with Innovative, unless each URL is in a separate check-in record (which is not the case at UCI). LHRs are generated via check-in records.
- o Vicki also reported problems with 007s.
- o UCI has some reservations about sharing and/or advising other campuses on LHRs during the testing.
- o The original goal of LHR testing was limited to serials.
- Next Gen Melvyl reported by John:
  - o By Aug. 19, campuses asked to point to NGM to help make the fall evaluation period a success;
  - o Request programming due to be ready in Sept/Oct;
  - o Multi-ILS capability timetable for development expected to be set soon.

5. Next Gen TS update

- Jim gave a PowerPoint presentation at the Big Heads of TS meeting at ALA.
- Lots of Tech Services Big Heads are doing things similar to UC's Next Gen TS.

ACTION: Jim will share PowerPoint slides with HOTS members.

- Steering Committee (Next Gen TS) will visit UC campuses.
- Manuel suggested Elluminate online sessions as an alternative if travel becomes to expensive.
- Resource Team (Next Gen TS) will make monthly reports.
- There is interest by some UCs in a shelf-ready consortium.

6. ALA Sharing

- John reported on the ALA presentation *From ONIX to MARC and Back Again*. OCLC is working on a single collaborative workflow design to generate metadata across publishers, vendors, and libraries. He sees possible applicability to Next Gen TS.

ACTION: John will send to HOTS members the online links to the presentation.

- Lai-ying reported on an ALA presentation by Andrew Pace about the OCLC Web-scale management services, which cover the full

functionalities of the current offerings of integrated library systems (ILS), newly designed from the ground up.

7. RLFs
- A HOTS member questioned why RLF processing workflow would involve searching WorldCat for needed records in lieu of the ILS of the depositing campus. This led to discussion of how a question like this should be further pursued.
- HOTS members feel Next Gen TS should look at RLF workflows with a goal of reducing redundancies.

8. Next Conference call: Monday, Sept. 14, 2-4 p.m.
    Recorder: Martha Hruska (UCSD)