

## **Systemwide Operations and Planning Group (SOPAG)**

**Friday, January 22, 2010**

### **Conference Call - 1:00-4:00pm**

**Present:** Lorelei Tanji (I; chair), Bernie Hurley (B), Susan Parker (LA), Felicia Poe (CDL), Gail Yokote (D), Julia Kochi (SF, recorder), Donald Barclay (M), Bob Heyer-Gray (LAUC), Diane Bisom (R), Luc Declerck (SD), Elizabeth Cowell (SC), Lucia Snowhill (SB)

**Notetaker: Julia Kochi**

#### **1. Digital Library Services TF report (Diane)**

- CDC needs to figure out what materials need to be pulled in as part of the collection.
- Someone needs to prioritize materials and then move them into collaboration to make them happen.
- Fleshed out strategies and areas to explore in more detail.
- Ability to strategically create collection.
- CDC: can we really strategically create a collection that hangs together as a collection versus what we have now?
- How does a UC Digital Collection account for the need for autonomy for UC libraries to pursue development opportunities?
- How to balance being opportunistic and strategic?
- Where and how to coordinate expertise?
- Is it really about money or about shifting priorities and resources?
- What are the skills and staff that we need? How can we repurpose staff to meet these needs?
- There's an educational perspective – what are the educational needs to understand - better to apply UC-wide framework.
- What decisions we make on a campus has influence on UC-wide system – something we've identified for collections but not what we've identified for the digital collection.

Next steps:

- Would it be useful to create examples (case study? Compelling story?) of what UC Digital Collection is or could be? Is it too abstract right now?
- See objects as extremely valuable but not so interesting as a pulled together collection. If goal is to expose objects more globally (e.g. search engines), then it is valuable. Do you need to form a collection to expose the objects?
- **ACTION:** Lorelei and Diane will draft cover memo to send with report for discussion at Joint UL/SOPAG meeting in February.
  - Include in memo: is it possible to create a collection development strategy to create an integrative, cohesive collection or is it a eclectic collection of objects..
- Share report more widely with ACGs, LAUC, NGTS – ask specifically status and what are top 3
- Highlight
  - Acknowledging balance of opportunistic vs. strategic development
  - Can we discharge this group?
  - Need consistent funding stream.

#### **2. Collections Space Planning TF report (Lucia)**

- Mindset shift regarding RLFs - Need to look at what we place in there from a strategic point of view and coordinate what goes in. What are the system-wide priorities for what should go in?
- Have we ever gone back and looked to see if what we've put in the RLF is stuff we want to save?
- Mindset shift: we don't have to have everything in UC. There are partners who we can rely on.

- Additional next step: Investigate options for gov docs. Would we gain any space de-duping government documents? Could GILS group come up with strategies to reduce print subscriptions in the system and renegotiate with Government Printing Office? What would happen if we renegotiate to one depository status for UC? What are most cost effective ways to link up digitization of gov docs that will alleviate space issue? How do we coordinate legacy collections?
- How do we come up with funding models for sustaining this?
- Possible to re-organize report so conclusion, recommendations, and next steps are more seamlessly integrated.
- **ACTION:** Lucia will re-organize report
- **ACTION:** Lorelei will ask Joanne if she has documentation where GPO agreed to letting UC reduce depositories.
- **ACTION:** Lorelei and Lucia write transmittal memo.
- **ACTION:** Lucia will send report to Ivy (and copy Felicia), Emily, Scott, and Colleen and ask for comments before the report is finalized.

### 3. Project Management TF report (Felicia)

- The TF continues to work on charge.
- Questionnaire sent to 191 people and have 62% return rate so far; asked to complete by January 25<sup>th</sup>.
- **ACTION:** Felicia will do a brief update on the TF at the Joint Meeting.

### 4. Shibboleth TF update (Julia)

- **ACTION:** Julia will give a brief update on the TF at the Joint Meeting

### 5. Prioritizing Various Initiatives

- **ACTION:** Lorelei will contact Brian to see if he can share a draft strategic plan and if it would be useful to revise this document
- **ACTION:** Lorelei, Diane, and Julia will work on the document for the Joint Meeting.

### 6. ACG Charges (SOPAG liaisons) - discuss areas of intersections; anything that should be added to their charges

- When met with ACG chairs, we didn't have time to look at where they're overlapping in their responsibilities and also if their charge doesn't include things that SOPAG thinks they should be doing.
- **ACTION:** SOPAG liaisons review charges and be ready to discuss at SOPAG-only meeting in February.

### 7. Discuss structure of ACGs/CIGs - discuss further possible changes

- Item was discussed. No changes are recommended.

### 8. ACG updates

Updates deferred.

**ACTION:** Liaisons send any updates/issues to listserv if anything urgent needs to be shared.

### 9. CDL Update (Felicia)

Deferred

**10. Next Gen Melvyl (Luc)**

Deferred

**11. Next Gen Technical Services (Donald)**

Deferred.

**12. Next meeting**

SOPAG-only meeting, Feb 24 - Oakland; notetaker: Donald

UL/SOPAG meeting, Feb 25 - Oakland; notetaker: Luc

.