

2011-02-17 UL-SOPAG Minutes

Joint CoUL-SOPAG Meeting

February 17, 2011

Action Minutes

Donald Barclay

1. UCOLASC Meeting: Update from CoUL

Brian reported on the SOPAG-UCOLASC meeting held February 16, 2011.

SOPAG and UCOLASC discussed systemwide change to funding streams.

UCOLASC has generated a memo to Academic Counsel conveying concern that the new funding stream not hurt the libraries or CDL.

Larry Pitts and Dan Greenstein talked to SOPAG and UCOLASC about SLASAC Library Planning Task Force.

CoUL is concerned about numbers as savings versus projected cuts. Difference between a savings target and a budget cut.

Concern about timeline---accelerated timeline on moving forward on SLPTF recommendations. Conflict between taking SLPTF out to campuses for consultation versus implementing in January 2012. Has this train left the station? If SLPTF doesn't consult, it will find implementation impossible.

CoUL is concerned about governance. Wants CoUL formally recognized in document and called out as responsible for carrying out SLPTF recommendations, many of which are already in progress or completed due to leadership of CoUL and the UC Libraries.

CoUL believes a final report requires an accurate political preamble that recognizes what the libraries have already done. SLASAC meets March 16th and hasn't yet seen the SLPTF report even though there are plans for implementation task forces.

UCOP is prepared to make low-interest loans (C3 funding) to fund implementation of cost-saving initiatives. Savings versus cost avoidances is a problem because you can't re-pay loans with cost avoidance.

CoULs briefed UCOLASC on NGTS, WEST, and NSF data-management mandate.

Action Item: Proposal to rationalize UC Federal Documents collection. Lots of docs sitting on shelves on campuses. CoULs (via Bruce Miller) will ask SOPAG to engage GILS via CDC to take on this task with guidance from Ruth Jackson and Sherry DeDecker.

CoUL discussed distinguish stepped. CoULs will put together a formal response. CoUL generally sympathetic. CoULs want to run distinguished step past UC labor relations to make sure it doesn't impinge on collective bargaining.

2. NGTS Next Steps

NGTS - Advisory Structure - Project Management are all tied together.

Focus on implementation and action, not further studies. Moving quickly with time boundaries.

SOPAG presented management structure for carrying out NGTS initiatives.

Action Item: SOPAG needs to provide a job card (duties and time commitment) for project manager and communications officer to be seconded from campuses or CDL.

NGTS is more than technical services. It is collection services.

Accountability and delivery are crucial. Timelines. Quick wins are important.

Action Item: CoUL formally endorses the NGTS implementation structure proposed by SOPAG.

3. Advisory Structure

No action items.

4. Draft Charge for Project Management Task Force

Action Item: SOPAG will edit charge and figure out how to right size group and how it will help carry out initiatives such as NGTS.

5. CDC Strategy for Developing Shared Print Journal Archive

Action Item: CoUL endorses this plan and wants it implemented.

6. Discovery/Access

Action Item: Report should point to outside studies of how academic libraries want to drive the marketplace rather than to be driven by it.

Action Item: Do not tie any principles or goals to a specific vendor.

Action Item: Report should call for Creative Commons licenses for UC data when possible.