1. **Welcome/Introductions – Scott Waugh**

* Günter Waibel, AVP and ED of the CDL.
* Mackenzie Smith, UCD, CoUL Chair.
* Elizabeth Cowell, UCSC, CoUL representative.

1. **CDL news and update**
2. **CDL First Impressions – Günter Waibel**

* Günter Waibel gave an outline of his first impressions at the CDL. He noted he comes from a background where there were many stakeholders and advisory groups with a complex backgrounds and areas of expertise. The complexity of the work was compound as there were tight budget restraints.
* During the interview process, Waibel got the impression that a fresh perspective was desired. He said the staff at the CDL seemed to welcome this idea of change.
* Waibel says he has embarked on a strategy to make a clear articulation of ideas on sharing and connecting the CDL staff to the ultimate goal of CDL.
* Scott Waugh, chair of SLASIAC noted that the group has lots of UC connections to support Waibel restate the CDL’s place within the UC system.

1. **Budget Review and Outlook – Ivy Anderson/Susan Carlson**

* Ivy Anderson reported that Data Service Manager at the CDL has won a prestigious prize. She noted that Calisphere has been redesigned and shows amazing growth and use. The CDL has joined in partnership with UC Press on Open Access (OA). Finally, the Authors Guild appeal was denied at hearing at the Supreme Court level and that now Google books now valid and not retracted and digitizing on- going.
* A presentation of the CDL Budget can be found at [CDL Spring 2016 SLASIAC Update.pptx](CDL%20Spring%202016%20SLASIAC%20Update.pptx)
* SLASIAC was thanked for their role in protecting CDL budget. Susan Carlson noted there had been a good meeting with Provost Dorr on the CDL budget. It was noted that there will be budget constraints in implementing the Presidential Open Access (OA) policy. While Symplectic Elements helps as a tool, the CDL lacks the resources to build relations and provide the staff for outreach to the UC campuses.

ACTION: SLASIAC will send a letter to Provost Dorr outlining the constraints on the CDL budget to implement the Presidential OA policy.

1. **Licensing Update – Ivy Anderson**

* There has been a renewal of significant number of major licenses.
* eBooks: concluded license with Cambridge the print vs eBooks value being assessed and showing that there are savings systemwide and the renegotiated license has a better price point and value for money.

1. **OA Policy and eScholarship – Catherine Mitchell**

* The CDL is reviewing the faculty uptake on OA. It was noted that the since faculties have the greater amount of uptake.
* The importance of building an on-line presence was noted.
* The information on 40K scholarly articles published data & reporting’s systems being collected with dashboards. This will be part of an accountability report for UCOP. It will give global orders of magnitude and use demonstrating the impact of UC research around the world.
* Symplectic Elements is freeing up resources and capacity but raised the question of data security and encryption.
* eScholarship being redesigned to give an access interface for OA data and publishing sites. The redesign will be having more intuitive links and pages making it a more accessible website.
* The goal of eScholarship is to empower campuses to have local sites. While this is a collaborate space the new site will let campuses own site messaging.

1. **UC3 Update – John Chodacki**

* John Chodacki, the new Director of UC Curation Center (UC3) at the CDL reported that there was a good structure of team built already and they are now looking for future directions in the area of digital preservation and data management.

1. **CoUL Update – Lorelei Tanji**
2. **RLFs Planning – Doc**

* SLASIAC was thanked for the support of CoUL and the Regional Library Facilities planning (RLFs).
* The meeting with President Napolitano was seen as a major breakthrough and credit was given to Susan Carlson for her help in arranging and guiding CoUL‘s preparation for the productive visit.
* The next stage is a request for funds for the planning the expansion of the Northern Regional Facility (NRL) after NRLF4.
* UC libraries will begin looking at collection management, checking for duplication.
* NRLF4 is a shared space for all UC campuses. The aim is to give the President the information needed to make informed decisions.

1. **Collections**

* The importance of an articulated growing budget disparity across campuses cannot be underestimated straining the problem for student experience and research.
* CoUL proposal – Bronze/Silver/Gold options – online education being restricted across campuses.

1. **Open Access**

* Open Access is more advanced in Europe and Asia with stronger Federal and Governmental backing. US librarians are now looking at what a Gold OA policy would entail.

1. **Future of the Libraries Vision Paper**

* A white paper on Future of UC Libraries is developed with the addition of new members in CoUL helping to drive the re-visioning.
* Questions about sharing are been articulated. Also how to build distinctive individual collections 5/10 yr. view. CoUL is looking at data curation, teaching and learning, collaborative partnership. SLASIAC it is felt can strengthen and develop new partnerships.

1. **UCOLAS Update – Eric Bakovic**

* Ivy noted there are complex talks with Elziver.
* Campus level to increase OA policy with coordination between academic personnel and publishers.
* Library revitalization as they look at the effects on space and holdings. The question is asked about who uses the libraries? Who are the stakeholders? At UCM the library is been used by administration.
* OA is raising concerns about security and problems for guest access.

**WORKING LUNCH**

**Berlin12 OA2020**

* Purpose of the conference was to test interest level for global OA.
* SCOAP3 had asked stakeholders to commit by signing a Memorandum of Understanding. <https://scoap3.org/participating-countries/> .There was a lot of support for this at the meeting. So far there are 50 signatories, mostly from Europe and Asia. China rate of publishing is rising and they said they are committed to OA. China had reached out to CDL to send at intern to study what is happening around OA.
* ARL (Association of Research Libraries) is concerned about OA and how funds may be redeployed was part of the discussion on risks and challenges.
* The question was raised about what would it take to promote OA? Would there be any savings by lowering costs? This will be discussed at the next ARL retreat.
* There is no UC consensus on OA and this could be a challenge for SLASIAC and UCOLSC to work together on as more conversations are needed especially around the implications for faculty and authors.

**ACTION:** CoUL will draw up a model of OA for discussion.

1. **Pay-It-Forward (PIF) – update and discussion – Mackenzie Smith/Ivy Anderson**

* The Mellon funded Pay-it-forward grant looked at what would a sustainable scale and successful for an APC funded business for OA.
* PIF showed that grant funding was needed as costs would rise.
* Problem in modelling was it was looking too much to federal grant funding and the goal is to motivate authors to know that can choose other publishers rather than the prestigious publishers.
* Other factors that were not included were other costs such as post-doc fees, IT fees which can impact grant money to about level 1% of grants.

**ACTION:** SLASIAC asked for more background and would try to spread the word to all levels and get the topic onto the agenda for AAU Provosts/EVCs meeting.

1. **Copyright Policy – Angus MacDonald/Robin Garrell**

* A discussion about the Subcommittee on copyright Policy about the draft of an updated Policy on copyright.
* Challenges to drafting the policy include OA and how it relates to the Presidential Policy on OA.
* Another challenge is how job descriptions will align and the definition of “student”.

ACTION: The subcommittee will bring a more final draft proposal on Copyright to SLASIAC for a vote. If approved is will be sent to the Policy Review group.

1. **Cyber Infrastructure Alliance – Tom Andriola/Michael Pazzani**

* Right now there is no central fund to make this happen. The goal is to develop UC’s capacity for information storage and sharing.
* EVCs must be involved in the process to spread the word and have a deeper discussion.

ACTION: A pilot proposal will be drawn up for presentation to the President that will be informed from all levels with the CIO/ITLS leading the project.