

SCO Agenda; October 16, 2013, 1:00 pm - 2:00 pm

(Notes UCR/Rhonda)

1. Present: Mitchell Brown (Irvine), Angela Riggio (UCLA), Rhonda Neugebauer (Riverside), Margaret Phillips (Berkeley), Susan Mikkelsen (Merced), Mary Wood (Davis), Nancy Stimson (San Diego), Anneliese Taylor (SF), Catherine Mitchell (CDL), Katie Fortney (CDL).
2. **Approve September 2013 Minutes.** Approved with no revisions.

ACTION: Mitchell will add to wiki.

3. Announcements (All): none.

4. **UC Open Access Policy implementation updates:** Catherine Mitchell gave us an update on the implementation/submission projects. She asks (again) that we all look at the draft site. Deadline for feedback was Oct. 11. Feedback for the other pages are needed by Fri Oct 18. Based on feedback, we included the publishing discounts and the OA Pilot.

Please also take a look at the OA Policy Contacts page and let us know whether you want updates, also by Oct. 11. http://osc-dev.cdlib.org/?page_id=242

Office of Scholarly Communication website: please look at this new version, mainly re-written. We need your feedback on the content and topic approach. Lot of support for the OA policy since our institution already requires the depositing for their articles. Please look at it, dig around. Each campus can have their specific landing page within the site (or link from the local to the RSC site).

TOOLS: Harvesting Wiki proposals are in; presentations will be made by finalists. Go to the OPI WIKI. Deposit workflow is also now changing based on feedback revealed in testing. Tomorrow we have the webinar to introduce everyone to the deposit workflow. Please sign up! 60 people are signed up. UCI is looking at it in a group setting.

Question: Could we get some slides from the training so we can show them during open access week. At the least we will be able to provide some slides and/or screen shots. Catherine Mitchell will send those to SCO list after tomorrow's introduction and the rest of the week's updating. Then, we'll send everyone the slides. Q can be posted in the chat area of the screen. Groups may want to designate a scribe for the questions during the presentation. ACTION: Catherine will send out slides after tomorrow's training.

UCORP: CM gave a presentation on OA policy implementation and there was a lot of good will and excitement in the room. The revised submission form is ready and faculty have given lots of feedback to it.

ACTION: Feedback should be given to Catherine Mitchell ASAP (due Oct 11).

5. Updates to Reshaping Scholarly Communications webpage. Feedback should be sent to Catherine Mitchell by October 18 (see her Oct. 3 email).

6. Open Access Week Planning (All):

UCI program will be on Monday with 4 speakers (editor of SS journal; faculty in Earth Sciences; etc). Very interested in getting faculty to publish in OA journals.

UCSD: Tues is main event especially for graduate students, “Demystifying open access” with faculty, dean and student contributors. Serving Pizza. Will also show webinars that related to OA activities/publishing.

UCLA: We set up a full program during the week. Met with Senate representatives. Asked library to host three OA informational sessions and two drop-in sessions for questions about OA policies. Also have featured presentation on Wed. “Dissertation to Book, separating fact from fiction” with editors and graduate students. We hope to help dispel myth that by embargoing your dissertation, you are more likely to get a published monograph out of it. Speakers are not local (Cambridge press, UC Press, ProQuest reps will be there). Monday there will be a lecture from IS faculty on open data. Librarian doing workshop on Tues “Copyright for creators.”

UCD: Setting up programs that can be viewed. No public panel. Everything will happen after the week. Mackenzie Smith has some activities set up for Feb.

Catherine Mitchell: WHAT ARE best strategies to distribute information during the rollout of OA deposit workflow. Video will be released Nov. 1 (to show deposit process) working with White Board Animation.

UCSF: Local faculty have created open access tools(?), Will discuss the OA Policy, DataShare (CDL and SF); open data repository at UCSF; CDL will be developing something like this for all campuses, to be ready with new version next week. Promotion – Nov. 1 release – we will be doing more when the announcement of the new workflow is out. Another thing planned is the “Learning Center” will host session on Copyright with information about open content and images.

NEXT CAMPUS (I missed who reported this): Will recognize all faculty who requested and received OA funding, with the names of their publications and journals. With that, the UL will talk about the need to move to OA publications. We’re doing some outreach; we have some information on the library homepage to publicize OA Week.

UCR: Week of activities at UCR was emailed to SCO group on Sept. 17. We will host the live webinar from the World Bank, several videos on the new OA policy and on OA in general. Also will give a library workshop on Open Access to campus.

7. OA Fund Pilot (All)

- a. **UCI** started with 15 and added 10. We will spend \$25000 to support OA publishing by faculty this year.
- b. **UCSD** has paid out \$40,000 and ran out of money for the year. Insisted on funding the entire fee, so the 2nd group of money went faster. They are thinking to reduce the award to only \$1000. May also change to limit funding to ONCE only per faculty.
- c. **UCD**: We've also spent out our funds even after adding more after the initial amount.
- d. **UCM**: We have spent about \$11000 so far. No conversation yet on whether to continue the program. We want to identify whether we are influencing faculty who would not have published in OA journals, After we answer that, we will discuss pilot for next year.
- e. **UCSF**: Fund was on hold for a while, when it was re-opened ,... multiple requests for PLoS1. Q Anyone noticed that people are submitting articles to "questionable" OA journals. Nancy got request for funding for a journal on Jeff Beal's list, we discussed, but had no criteria for refusing the request. Since then we've changed our policy. Added a page to their SC pages to talk about questionable publishers.
- f. **UCR**: We've spent half of the allotment by today on 4 faculty requestors.

8. **RSC Vouchers (All)**: runs through the end of Dec. 2013. Some campuses won't be able to spend the vouchers.

9. **Knowledge Unlatched**: what have you heard about this? They just launched a pilot collection of Open Access monographs. Similar to "Unglue it" to release rights to make it open access. They just announced their pilot collection (STATS HERE). Costs about \$1500 to unleash the collection.

10. **Course 11**: heard about this last week. Anyone familiar with it? A new group, community of researchers, librarians, publishers)

11. **SAG1 Common Knowledge Group**: Shall we become one? No invitation was received by Mitchell to form a group. The face-to-face meeting held by SAG1, one of the topics was the reformation of the Office of Scholarly Communication. There's overlap with SAG1. The SCOs, like any group, is free to independently become a Common Knowledge Group. The value is that we are "on the ground" supporting all the OA projects of the UC system. It's up to our group whether we want to submit a request to become a CKG.

UCSD: Our AUL here said the SCO group is not viable/doesn't exist. To continue being an official body, must we re-form? There are guidelines to creating a group (minimum of 6 campus, hold regular meetings, etc).

Different person: Needs to be a group that does some of the work of carrying out policies on OA. I suggest that we explore and apply for whatever status we need in order to keep the group meeting.

ACTION: Mitchell will kick off talks with his SAG1 representative to ascertain if/how/purpose of SCO being transformed into a CKG.

No new business.

Meeting adjourned 1:56 p.m.

Next meeting – November 20, 2013.