SCO Meeting
February 20, 2013
1-2 pm
Notetaker: (UCLA - Angela Riggio)

1. Roll Call (Mitchell):
Present: Margaret Phillips (UCB); Mary Wood (UCD); Mitchell Brown (UCI); Angela Riggio (UCLA); Susan Mikkelsen (UCM); Rhonda Neugebauer (UCR); Nancy Stimson (UCSD); Anneliese Taylor (UCSF); Sherri Barnes (UCSB); Christy Caldwell (UCSC); Joanne Miller (CDL); Katie Fortney (CDL)

2. January 2013 Minutes: Approved as amended

3. Announcements (All):
> Joanne reported that the updates to the new web site have been made. The UC site is migrating to a new content management system. The new release date is Tuesday, March 19. The site will be frozen for the migration on March 1. The new UC web site will be a big improvement, better organized and updated.

> Joanne might be signing off of the SCO group, since Katie is now representing CDL.

> Margaret asked about a UCOP response to the proposed FASTR (Fair Access to Science and Technology Research Act) legislation that was introduced last week. It is a bit too early to tell, but it is assumed that UC will act once the bill gets more traction, and SCOs could certainly play a role in any UC response.

> Christy Caldwell is now our official UCSC SCO representative.

4. CoUL meeting with UCOLASC – Feb.22:
> UCOLASC wanted to talk about the OA Policy with University Librarians. There is a draft MOU that includes concrete details about how the OA policy would be implemented. Chris Kelty will present the MOU (which includes input from CDL). Keri Botello might be representing LAUC at the meeting, as current statewide president. Catherine Mitchell will be present, representing CDL. Mitchell Brown noted that this isn't a special meeting called together for this   purpose; CoUL and UCOLASC meet in February of each year.

5. Update on new SCO website (http://uclib-prd-new.cdlib.org/sco) : (Catherine not present to report)

6. Symplectic meeting invitation for SCOs/campus partners – March 7:
> Mitchell, Anneliese, and Mary will be listening in on the Webinar; Margaret will be in attendance. Background: CDL is investigating Symplectic software for potential use in harvesting metadata from UC-authored works. Anneliese noted that this software is sophisticated but expensive, and would require the participation of all campuses.

7. OA Fund Pilot (All):

Comment made about Committee on Research on one campus expressing concern that the Committee is not in charge of the fund. Has anyone else had similar comments?

UCI: branded the fund as having support from Office of Research and the Chancellor, but money is only coming from the library. So far, UCI has used 1/3 of their funds; nine out of twelve applications have been approved.

UCB: has also co-branded the fund with their Office of Research.

UCD: eleven applications approved.

UCM: five applications have been accepted so far. Notes that faculty have found incidental funding, not just funding from their grants.

UCSC: five applications have been accepted so far.

UCSD: Eight applications have been funded so far.

UCSF: nine OA applications approved.

Annaliese (UCSF): attended the CDL-Open Access Key (OAK) demonstration. OAK is a software program that works with OA publishers (PLOS, Elsevier, Springer hybrids, etc.) and with institutions and their authors, via an institutional account (requires a fee). *(According to the OAK web site, at http://www.openaccesskey.com/page/about/, universities would be able to "directly manage and overview all open access publishing activity and expenditure on an easy-to-use online platform. It dramatically reduces your administration costs and effort."). Ivy Anderson presented OAK at CDC as a possibility to help manage campus OA funds.

Discussion followed about author OA payment and reimbursement—methods seem to vary from campus to campus.

8. RSC Vouchers (All):

So far, UCI has used 4 vouchers; UCLA has used 2; UCSD has used 2.

Note: Several campuses are sending librarians to the two-day ARL SC workshop (Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz, Irvine). See:
http://www.arl.org/sc/institute/iscindy2013.shtml

9. UC OA Policies/Faculty Senate voting - Campus Reports (If Any):

We hope to know more after this week's CoUL/COLASC meeting.

UCSD: The UCSD Academic Council forwarded its response to systemwide. The response calls for limitation of the license to non-commercial uses but stops short of calling for the policy to be Opt-In rather than Opt-Out. Instead, the response "…acknowledge[s] that the "opt in" approach might be necessary for the policy to be successfully accepted."

Katie: discussion about the nonexclusive license clause in the agreement—authors retain copyright, but there is a general misunderstanding about this part of the proposal.

UCI: continues to encourage faculty to publish in OA, use OA fund as a carrot;   but there is not a lot of engagement in the discussion. There are different levels of understanding among the faculty.
A question was asked about the UC Senate voting timeline for the OA Policy proposal—it was originally scheduled for January, but we do not know if a new deadline has been set. Perhaps we will know more after the CoUL/UCOLASC meeting.

Anneliese: asked if SCOs were involved with compliance efforts for NIH policy. UCLA has been involved; will share revised training PPT, as will UCI. UCI has had requests for help from two departments, asking to help determine those departments' degree of compliance with the NIH mandate.