4/18/2011 LTAG Meeting Notes

Library Technology Advisory Group (LTAG) Monday, April 18, 2011 Conference Call – 1:15-2:15 PM

Attending: Adrian Petrisor (UCI, Chair), Ann Dobson (UCSF), John Ajao (UCSB), John Ober (CDL), Lynne Grigsby (UCB), Terry Toy (UCR), Tom Bustos (UCM)

Absent: Dan Suchy (LAUC), Dale Snapp (UCD), Declan Fleming (UCSD), Donald Barclay (SOPAG), Eric Mitchell (UCSC), Todd Grappone (UCLA)

Guest: Vicki Grahame (HOTS)

Note taker: Adrian Petrisor

1. Review of action items from 3/21/11

John and Lynn have sent their suggestions for improvements to first agenda item for face-to-face meeting. The request for the meeting and the agenda have been sent to SOPAG, who approved them, adding an additional agenda item (discussion on the future of LTAG).

ILAG has the discussion about potential shibbolization of MyILL on April 21. If they support the initiative, Adrian and the chair of ILAG will send a joint message to the people responsible for shibbolization of MyILL to move this project up the priority list.

2. Discuss draft annual report of progress to date

ACTION: Adrian will update the report to reflect the upcoming face-to-face meeting, and then will send the annual report to SOPAG By April 22.

3. Shibboleth discussion

Campus identity managers can be quite slow to respond to requests, and in at least one case, getting a champion at UL level for shibbolization of library services can help speed up the process significantly.

ACTION: John Ober will send an updated list of shibbolized vendors

ACTION: Adrian will send a request to Diane to put the final report of Shibboleth Task Force on SOPAG's web page.

ACTION: Adrian to put on a future agenda a discussion on how LTAG can help coordinate the effort of mobilize the campus identity managers to complete the shibbolization of a service in a very short amount of time for services once a vendor wants to shibbolize their service

ACTION: Adrian will follow up with the five campuses that don't have shibbolized access to Hathi Trust to find out what is needed to complete the process ASAP

ACTION: Based on input from library staff at all UC campuses, LTAG will compile and share a prioritized list of services that need to be shibbolized

4. Permanent home for IT Project list and other LTAG files

We have decided to move LTAG files to UCSF's wiki. Five campuses have shibbolized access to UCSF's wiki, the other LTAG members will get guest accounts in the first phase ACTION: In order to have access to LTAG files until they are moved to UCSG, John Ajao will recreate the user accounts on UCSB's wiki for LTAG members (as a result of migration to latest version of Confluence)

ACTION: Ann will send to LTAG information about the attributes that need to be released for shibbolized access to Wiki@UCSF

ACTION: Adrian will coordinate with Ann the move of LTAG files to UCSF's wiki in the coming weeks.

5. Announcements & Plans for face-to-face meeting

John Ober has reserved a room at the 4th floor of UCOP for our May 16th meeting.

ACTION: John Ober will send information re the hotels nearby CDL

ACTION: Adrian will send email asking LTAG members to start building a list of items for our Gap Analysis discussion in advance of the May 16 meeting

Announcement: UCSB is in process of moving their server equipment to a centalized IT's data center on their campus

Ann asked about software for scheduling group study rooms. UCB and UCM are using phpScheduleIt. UCM is working on getting a mobile interface for it.

6. Discussion with chair of HOTS on areas of collaboration between our ACGs

Vicki Grahame mentioned that many of staff in Technical Services will be very involved with implementation of Next Gen Melvyl and NGTS initiatives, and HOTS might call on LTAG and local IT staff for help with technical aspects of these projects.

The future is doing batch record processing at the system-wide level, instead of each library doing it locally. We don't have the infrastructure for this level of operation at this time, and LTAG might help envisioning and building the needed infrastructure once we know how the model is supposed to work.

Choosing to use Next Gen Melvyl instead of a local OPAC will be a campus-by-campus decision.

LTAG could help doing reality checks on the technical assumptions that are being made under various scenarios. ACG's will need to work together to surface these assumptions and have staff with appropriate expertise verify the accuracy of those assumptions.

ACTION: Adrian will add to a future agenda an assessment of the current stage of video conference technologies (something that would be more efficient/productive than ReadyTalk conference calls)