

10/17/2011 LTAG Meeting Notes

Library Technology Advisory Group (LTAG)

Monday, October 17, 2011

Conference Call – 1:00-2:00 PM

Present: Adrian Petrisor (UCI), Tom Bustos (UCM), Terry Toy (UCR), John Ajao (UCSB), Emily Lin (SOPAG), Eric Mitchell(UCSC), John Ober (CDL), Gary Thompson (UCLA), Rich Trott (UCSF), Dale Snapp (UCD)

Absent: Declan Fleming (UCSD), Lynne Grigsby (UCB), Daniel Suchy (LAUC)

1. Review action items from 9/19/11

Has been postponed for November conference call

2. Shib authentication for HathiTrust and UCSF's Confluence: progress report

a. A few campuses are not there yet. What can we do to move forward

- System wide implementation Shibboleth may be too broad.
- System wide services and vendors are not currently being Shibbolized

b. Suggested to write a status report to be used to respond to SOPAG priority report. Should we possibly wait for SOPAG to provide more information?

c. Library needs for Shibboleth may not be reflected by the rest of campus

d. What are the prioritized services that should be Shibbolized are more of an administration issue and requires the handling and storing of attributes.

e.LTAG can contribute to the libraries what we have learned in the implementation of other systems. Such as keeping the not authorized out of subscribed journals

f.Create a sub group on Shibboleth priorities.

g.Current campuses have not completed

- UCSB Having some issue
- UCSC has a path but it has to be declared and enhancement by the IDM Service Manager and deferred to the next Identity Management upgrade. This has been escalated to higher management to move up the queue as a priority

3. Video conferencing - plan the test for Vidyo, iLinc & LifeSize

Everybody should procure a webcam for the tests

Add features to the table

4. III Sierra: why moving or staying put (discussion)

Merced is moving away from III, to OCLC Webs
No one is yet planning to move to Sierra at this time.

5. Disaster Recovery: info exchange, potential for collaboration

- a. Remote Data back up
- b. John (not sure which John) Is reviewing of disaster recovery with an IT component. John will be coming back with questions.
- c. UCLA is in a similar place reviewing Dies
- d. UCM is in the process of moving to a new ILS and may have that as a solution
- e. UCI has done some step with much still to do.
- f. ACTION ITEM. Put together a new table for data recover what are we doing and what are we looking for in collaboration.

6. Access to licensed eResources

We have discussed the various approaches at each campus

7. Announcements & agenda building for next meeting

We have discussed if we should increase the duration of meetings or increase their frequency.
We agreed to add 30 minutes to each meeting