Meeting Notes 2011 April

SCO Conference Call notes

April 6, 2011

Attending: Martha Hruska (SD, chair), Brad Eden (SB), Susan Mikkelsen (M), Karen Andrews (D, notes),, Catherine Mitchell (CDL), Barbara Schader (Riverside), Lorelei Tanji (I), Anneliese Taylor (SF)

Announcements:

- <u>Change in schedule for Minutes rotation</u>: Due to the change in SCO duties at LA, the following rotation for taking minutes was proposed: May (R), June (I), July (M), August (LA). This would allow Andrea Riggio to participate in a couple of conference calls before having to take notes.

1. Wiki: A reminder to look at the wiki section on **Scholarly Communication Models Discussion** and populate the space with information about the models.

https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCSCO/UC+Scholarly+Communication+Officers+Group

After discussion we'll then create a succinct table (akin to Characteristics of Scholarly Publishing Options) to summarize the aspects of the models for the UC community.

2. Julia Gelfand and Cathy Palmer (UCI) did a poster for the ACRL meeting showing the **intersection between Scholarly Communication and Information Literacy** and how they can benefit each other. They may lead a discussion at ALA in New Orleans.

ACTION ITEM: Find out if we can post a link to the poster on on the SCO wiki.

3. eScholarship: The website on **UC discounts** needs to be updated regarding the process for submitting a publication.

4. **CDC issues discussion:**

Barbara, Lorelei, Martha and Catherine are also CDC members. Topic arose at March CDC meeting to charge SCOs to develop Scholarly communication strategies and communication vehicles. This stems in part from Systemwide Library and Scholarly Information Advisory Committee (SLASIAC) Library Planning Task Force reports:

http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/planning/taskforce/

Ideas presented during conference call regarding Action Memorandum 4 on Governance of Shared Library Services:

- Should there be an open listserv to air discussions?
- What better strategies exist to get the message through to faculty?
- Communicate talking points out to other staff who are doing outreach with faculty, and provide pointers.
- Discuss Open Access membership models such as NAR, PLoS, arXiv, BMC, SCOAP, TRAIL, Encyclopedia of Philosophy…others??

Discussion related to SLASIAC Library Planning TF Action Memorandum 5: UC and the System of Scholarly Publishing:

- Review successes and determine if it is worth it to continue to invest. Inventory and assess which features are effective in meeting goals in programs such as BRII, Springer **OA**. List pros and cons.
- How will we enable change?

- Change the way the faculty bio-bibliography is reported?

- Yearly report of research data? Connect that activity with the repository?

- Facilitate a more robust environment locally?

- Insert or capture more data? Streamline reporting so that duplicate streams won't occur.

- (CM) Can local systems that capture faculty scholarship citations "talk" directly to eScholarship?

ACTION ITEM: Identify how research/faculty bibliographic information is being collected on each campus. Is it campus-wide, or by division? Example: UCSF profiles; VIVO.

LT noted that Microsoft is developing addins to Office that can save information in xml format to submit to repositories. Word files can be formatted for NLM and Creative Commons licenses.

There could be (or is??) a feed from PubMed. Some campuses are starting to input from Slide Share.