

# Meeting Notes 2011 May

SCO Conference Call notes

May 18, 2011

Attending: Margaret Phillips (B, co-chair), Martha Hruska (SD, co-chair), Brad Eden (SB), Susan Mikkelsen (M), Karen Andrews (D), Mary Wood (D), Catherine Mitchell (CDL), Barbara Schader (Riverside, notetaker), Lorelei Tanji (I), Joanne Miller (CDL), Angela Riggio (UCLA)

Guests: Jackie Wilson (CDL)

## 1. 1. **Announcements:**

- Lorelei has sent out a request for UCI librarians to apply if interested in being UCI's Scholarly Communications representative. She will share the position description with SCO. (Done)
- Karen will be retiring at end of June! Mary Wood will replace Karen on SCO.
- Lorelei announced that Catherine Mitchell visited UCI on Monday and gave three very informative presentations. The information Catherine shared was invaluable. Lorelei has heard back from several librarians that this was extremely useful as they go forward in promoting eScholarship.

## 2. **Springer Open Access Survey and cataloging open access journals (Jackie)**

Jackie stated the Springer Survey to UC authors and editors was originally written in 2010 and updated before sending out 3 weeks ago with a closing date for responses of May 13<sup>th</sup>. The survey could not be released sooner due to the time needed to complete Springer OA negotiations and pilot.

Springer took the OA pilot off the table for 2011 for UC and probably any other institutions. Springer is replacing these OA agreements with SpringerOpen, which covers all disciplines in Sciences and Medicine. There are 47 Springer Open journals and there is a 15% UC discount due to our membership in BioMedCentral. Also, if faculty submits OA articles to Springer journals not part of SpringerOpen, that faculty member will have to pay Springer's full author fees for open access publication; no discount applies. Some of the SpringerOpen journals already have impact factors and citation analyses.

CDL received a list of all UC Springer editors and authors, covering the period of 2008 – late 2010. A CDL person checked every individual listed and their address to verify that they were still with UC. 2006 individuals were identified and all received somewhat personalized letters asking them to complete the survey. There were 330 responses or 16.5% return rate.

- 50% full ladder rank faculty;
- 15% Associates
- 11% Assistants
- 31% Health Sciences
- 27% in Life Sciences
- 15% Phys Sci
- 7% in Social Science
- 2% Humanities
- 20% from UCD, with UCSD and UCLA, also having high rates of return.

Jackie said CDL may provide tailored reports by campus.

Most responses were from authors or co authors but also 22% were editors and 33% editorial board members.

General consensus was that most knew about Open Access and had considered publishing in OA but there were many who had no idea if they had published in OA journals. 52% of respondents said they chose to publish in Springer because of the OA option.

To the question regarding what are they willing to pay:

- 30% said they had never paid a fee
- 90% had never paid over \$3K and most paid considerably less

To the question who should pay:

- 52% responded research funding bodies,
- 20% authors,
- 32% libraries

Jackie hopes to have the completed survey analysis available by the July conference call, if not the June conference call. She has volunteered to return to discuss results with SCO. These results will also be released to faculty.

All SpringerOpen journals will be cataloged by SCP.

**ACTION ITEM:** Jackie will investigate whether any of these SpringerOpen journals were ones we had to cancel for 2011. If so, then we now have access.

### 3. CDC Draft ScholComm Framework Charge (Barbara,

Catherine, Lorelei, Martha)

This charge is presented in draft form as we are hoping to have a great deal of input from the SCO members as to priorities, SCO role, etc.

Angela – ambitious charge, UCLA already doing a great deal of this. Angela asked if we also intend to do outreach and training for faculty & graduate student regarding owning their copyright.

ACTION ITEM: Angela will make available the outreach module UCLA is using. Angela will send url and videos on best practices and knowing your copyright.

JoAnne – this sounds like an overlap with the CDL copyright webpage and that page needs updating and this additional information will be featured.

Karen – looks like an enormous charge, many people will be working on campuses to already do many of these tasks. She also expressed concern regarding issue #1: Data Curation/Management. This issue is seen as very large and not as relevant to SCOs, so what is the point of adding this to the charge? There were also questions regarding the last 2 bullets.

There was agreement that issue #2: 'Communication Strategies' was important and that most campuses were already doing this work. (Though note the addition that UCLA is recommending to add outreach and training regarding faculty and grad students owning their copyrights).

Concerns were also expressed regarding issue #4: Partnering with UC Press

Catherine clarified that many of these issues were not actually charges and that as a group we need to flesh out what the SCO role is for each of these issues.

Collective approach may work for some issues rather than campus approach.

ACTION ITEM: Everyone should review the draft charges on the wiki and start marking it up with their comments on priorities, what campuses are already doing, preference for what SCO role should be, indicate if the issue or components might be a campus approach or need a collective approach, etc. Please respond on the wiki by June 10<sup>th</sup>.

ACTION ITEM: Barbara will compile responses and resend to SCOs before July SCO conference call.

ACTION ITEM: Barbara, Catherine, Lorelei and Martha will provide update for CDC conference call on 5/20/11.