SCO Meeting Notes
Wednesday, April 18, 2012
1:00pm - 2pm

Minute taker: Joanne Miller (CDL)

Present: Mitchell Brown (Irvine), Katie Fortney (SC), Martha Hruska (SD), Susan Mikkelsen (Merced), Joanne Miller (CDL), Catherine Mitchell (CDL), Margaret Phillips (Berkeley), Angela Riggio (UCLA), Anneliese Taylor (SF), Jackie Wilson (CDL), Mary Wood (Davis).

Absent: Sherri Barnes (UCSB)

1. Announcements & News

UC Riverside SCO representative
No word yet on a replacement SCO rep from UC Riverside.

E-book Task Force
Angela: Sharon Farb charged a UCLA Library Scholarly Communication Steering Committee E-Book Task Force to develop principles and policies around ebook purchasing/licensing. [Angela sent the draft "UCLA Library E-Book Value Statement" to the SCOs on April 19.]The statement breaks down into categories descriptions of what the UCLA library expects from ebook providers. Duke and NC State have statements that were used as models. CDC has initiated a similar E-books Task Force.

Royal Society of Chemistry campus visit
Mitchell: Royal Society of Chemistry visited Irvine campus. The intention was for the publisher to communicate with faculty, researchers, and graduate students. When only a few people showed up to the afternoon session, Mitchell took the opportunity to educate publisher reps on Open Access. They seemed not aware of how much the Royal Society is doing. The publishers are also going to visit Davis, Santa Barbara & Berkeley. One point that arose is that publishers are reluctant to store and maintain anything larger/other than published article.

Catherine noted that it's important that we don't end up in a situation with publishers where data is behind pay walls along with published content.

Action: Margaret will add to list as potential future agenda item.

Open Access Policy
Anneliese: UCSF is putting proposed Open Access Policy to faculty senate on May 21st. Rich Schneider feels confident about likelihood of passing. Has gotten positive feedback. Much of what UCSF faculty publish falls under NIH OA policy; 40 percent of what UCSF authors published in 2010 is in PubMed Central.

Catherine: In anticipation of having to ramp up the eScholarship services should UC pass an open access policy, Catherine talked recently with Stu Shieber from Harvard about implementation of their open access policy and what that has meant for Harvard's open access repository (which was created to serve

the policy). They also talked about compliance. Harvard is trying to collect all content locally and offers "fellows" to assist faculty in making deposits to the repository. These students go from office to office collecting media as necessary. Harvard has not worried about version control within the repository. Catherine also plans on talking to the folks at MIT.

Mitchell: At Irvine, the timeline for serious open access policy discussion seems to be pushed out to the fall.

Action: Anneliese will look for some information to forward to the SCOs about UCSF moving forward on an Open Access Policy vote.

2. Approval of March notes

Notes approved.

3. Updates
1. Web site review (Martha)

Martha went through all the web files on the Excel worksheet (forwarded to SCOs on 3/28/2012) and made decisions about what to do with them (keep, discard, archive). She opted to archive the older meeting notes on the site.

The old "toolkits" that used to be on the site could potentially be updated for current use, but should be removed for now.

Apparently, they are still on the web, but they are not linked from anywhere:

http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sco/toolkit_copyright.html
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sco/toolkit_economics.html
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sco/toolkit_societies.html

2. SCO Task Force on OA Support Strategies (Margaret)

Margaret noted that the goal is to share the OA Support Strategies proposal with CDC in advance of their May 14 meeting, where Martha will present it. CDL needs to review the proposal prior to the CDC discussion as well. Is the timeline described on the cover reasonable? Would we be able to start in fall? Should CDL weigh in on that? Inform when funds might be available?

SCO members might want to go over the proposal with their campus CDC representative ahead of the May 14 meeting.

The proposal remains vague on whether there is any matching fund requirement for campus; it depends on the campus. The CDL is planning to provide the seed money, but on-going funding is unclear. Whether the initiative is sustainable will be partly based on use. A future option on campuses may be to involve other departments (research, etc.) in the funding. There will be administrative overhead.

A few questions were asked about the Berkeley Research Impact Initiative (BRII), including the extent of its funding, and whether it was marketed equally to all departments. Margaret said that the fund's budget was $50,000 per year, but not all of the funds were spent each year. She felt that much of the use of the fund came from the life sciences, natural resources, and public health.

Action: Martha will incorporate SCO comments into a final draft including a statement on the sustainability of an OA fund. The final draft will be forwarded to Jim Dooley by Martha.

Deadline for SCO comments: Weds. April 25.

3. Catherine on ePubs update: Using Lulu, supporting POD and ebook sales.

The CDL Publishing Group has begun the process of transferring the UCPubS files from UC Press to Lulu. Lulu supports ebooks as well as print-on-demand. The transition will be useful in helping journals transfer to digital format because print is still available as needed. Catherine is also excited to be able to offer the ebook format.

The change may enable users to do more around special collections and innovative collections. Customers' items will be branded with institution and local unit name (not Lulu). Catherine sees the service as helping to streamline, ramp-up new products, and be more digital. At some point the SCOs can help brainstorm about other potential clients for the service.

Note that this print service is different from the UC Libraries "reprint service," which is a print-on-demand service for out-of-copyright works. The libraries are working with Hewlett-Packard and Google, and apparently Ivy recently asked CDC about forming a Task Force for that service.

If current eScholarship users want to add on the Lulu service to existing eScholarship content (e.g., conference series or the like), they would need to create a Lulu e-commerce site and would have to explore any rights issues.

4. UCOLASC issues?

Mitchell had nothing to report.