

September 2012 Meeting Notes

SCO Meeting

September 19, 2012

1:00-2:00pm

Present: Susan Mikkelsen (Merced, chair), Sherri Barnes (Santa Barbara), Mitchell Brown (Irvine), Robin Chandler (Santa Cruz), Katie Fortney (Santa Cruz), Joanne Miller (CDL), Margaret Phillips (Berkeley), Angela Riggio (Los Angeles), Nancy Stimson (San Diego), Jackie Wilson (CDL), Catherine Mitchell (CDL) Mary Wood (Davis), Anneliese Taylor (San Francisco, notes)

1. Approving of meeting minutes from June - August

All were reviewed and approved for posting on the SCO Web page

2. News & Announcements

CDC update (Robin) - CDL providing financial analysis licensing fees vs. open access fees, mapping by discipline. Determining what publishers charge for both options. Possible outcome: CDC might charge SCO officers to help do evaluation of publisher options. Another month at least. No action required at moment.

California Digital Library is now a member of the Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association ([OASPA](#))

2. SCO Website Redesign

Susan and Mitchell have had a couple discussions with Joanne/CDL about design of new webpage. Currently there's an SCO page, and a separate Libraries & Schol Comm page. Breadcrumbs confusing. The latter page will go away. SCO page will be on a library groups webpage. See Susan's email of 9/19/2012 - includes screen shots. Reshaping Scholarly Communication - navigation to this page is being improved. Susan & Mitchell will finish review with CDL by end of this month.

3. Open Access Fund Pilot/Hybrid journals discussion (Margaret, Jackie, Catherine)

Catherine - important for us not to align ourselves with one side or the other on the predatory publishers list.

Duke model - qualification for fund is based on gold OA journals only. Given the complexity of the hybrid OA market, and limited funds, might be better to focus those funds on gold OA. Comes down to the question of what are our goals? Opportunity to position ourselves on this issue.

BRII expenditures over 5 years on hybrid journals = 21%. 2011-12 = 9%. UCB might stop funding the hybrid journals with BRII. They are at the point of assessing the program. A number of the hybrids were in PNAS. At the inception of BRII there weren't many established gold OA journals. Now it's a different scene.

Other campuses? UCSC is considering including hybrid OA. UCI will support hybrids but at a lesser amount and will emphasize gold. UCSF is considering including hybrids. OA. UCD, UCSB, UCSD, UCM - will not fund hybrids

Considerations against supporting hybrid OA:

- Limited amount of funds;
- Concern about paying for both subscription fees and OA publication fees for the same articles

Considerations in support of hybrid OA:

- Some disciplines are not as well represented in gold OA journals.
- Need examples of faculty publishing OA, any OA, to demonstrate to others. Create OA champions.
- Potential for transforming subscription journals to become OA

Educational component of OAF - interaction with faculty members who ask about or apply for funds.

Perhaps identify guidelines that apply systemwide, and those that are up to campuses. Systemwide program will link from Reshaping Scholarly Communication page.

Administration of the fund will be handled at the campus level.

CDL has received applications for funding from Irvine and Santa Barbara to date.

4. UC Open Access Mandate/UCOLASC Annual Report(Mitchell)

Chris Kelty sent systemwide policy draft to UCOLASC for review. Local committees discussing. In preparation of December meeting.

Keri Botello, new LAUC President, will be at next meeting, October 19.

Rich Schneider will be visiting both UCLA and UCB during Open Access Week, as well as speaking at UCSF.

5. Faculty OA champions (Catherine)

Discussion with Chris Kelty, benefit of identifying faculty champions amongst UC campuses. In order for the policy to gain momentum, needs to be pushed. Idea of a retreat with key faculty, academic senate, UCOLASC members. There is a role for SCOs to develop the list of faculty.

Is there the ability to get the word out, based on the timeline and new committees now in place? It's a challenge, also considering misinformation that's out there. Also, how hard does library push when

faculty are not motivated, and it's a faculty policy? Rich & Chris will engage with identified champions.

Action Item: campus SCOs - by next meeting (October 17). Identify names of champions at a range of career levels. Pass list along to Chris and Rich.

6. Open Access Week Plans

Action Item: Put your campus' plans on the wiki.