COUNCIL OF UNIVERSITY LIBRARIANS

March 22, 2013

Meeting Decisions

- > All 3 Consent items removed from consent agenda for group discussion.
 - 1. NGTS SPOT 3: proposed process to develop an RFI for a shared next-generation ILS. We aren't ready to develop an RFI yet, but want to continue exploring that direction, building on the brief to SPOT 3 from last fall to improve our understanding of the cost/benefit and possible adoption paths. Also discussed the possibility of hiring an outside consultant to speed the process.

ACTION: MacKenzie Smith will redraft a charge to SPOT 3 for a short-term and narrower effort to complete an environmental scan and a review of campus plans re ILS and related resource management systems.

2. New CoUL Advisory Structure

DECISION: Update the charges to reflect the following:

- SAGs are strategic AND operational in scope and the names should change to reflect that (i.e., Strategic Action Group);
- insert "strategic" into "Key Responsibilities" section of Coordinating Committee charge (i.e., "strategic coordination");
- suggest dropping 'professional' from the phrase 'professional staff' in favor of 'staff with appropriate expertise' or similar;
- add expertise for data curation to SAG3;
- reexamine the role of the communications manager and the term of that appointment, given its uniqueness and need for consistency over time;
- develop a slate of candidates with strengths/skill sets identified so that we can select a balanced group, ideally consisting of up to two individuals from each campus and CDL; SOPAG should review the slate and make recommendations to CoUL

ACTION: SOPAG to revise SAG charges for email review by CoUL in April.

3. Mass Digitization Update

In new work to review holdings for additional PD material to be digitized, can campuses that aren't actively engaged with Google now get involved? Intention is yes, but starting with active campuses.

ACTION: CDL will talk to Google about increasing their scanning volume to incorporate the new PD material identified in the report.

1. NGTS POT 1: Systemwide DAMS (joined by Felicia Poe)

The planning group provided more complete information on the size of digital collections that could potentially flow to the DAMS beyond the initial 5.5Tb (i.e., approximately 30 Tb), and a more detailed cost model for the service. Another motivation for implementing a DAMS is to enhance access to the UC Digital Collection through a common discovery layer. Some campuses (Riverside, Santa Cruz, Irvine, Merced, San Francisco, and Davis) will use the

shared DAMS at varying levels of priority; other campuses (Berkeley, San Diego, Santa Barbara, and LA) will contribute their metadata to a unified metadata registry.

Existing CDL services like Calisphere will be folded into the new advisory structure but there is a need to consider the non-UC contributors (e.g., CA libraries, archives, museums funded by California State Library LSTA grants over the past 10 years).

ACTION: CDL should proceed with developing a shared DAMS platform to manage digital assets, and with developing a shared index (UI component) to the complete UC digital collection. The implementation team should provide monthly progress reports to CoUL.

2. Gov Docs

GILS (co-chaired by Brian Williams, UCI & Annelise Sklar, UCSD) are asking what participation CoUL wants in a cross-functional team to develop recommendations about the appropriate distribution of U.S. federal government documents across UC campuses. GPO Superintendent of Documents Mary Alice Baish is also willing to work with UC to determine which parts of the corpus we should take on. We need to decide which subjects to retain (digital or print) from which agencies. CoUL should charge SOPAG or CDC with recommending distribution of subjects across campuses, based on local priorities and needs and limited to federal documents, with long-term goal of space reduction and improved online access. CoUL agrees that one paper copy of a gov doc within the UC system is sufficient.

ACTION: Denise Stephens will draft a charge for SOPAG to give to GILS (or a subset thereof; e.g., Brian Williams, Kelly Smith, Annelise Sklar, Jim Church) and cc'ing CDC, for quick action. The California State Library should be involved in these discussions, so it was recommended we invite the State Librarian to our June meeting at Davis. We might also consider involving Stanford, a la WEST.

- 3. ILL Policies: Deferred to April.
- 4. Shared Print Strategic Planning (Joined by Ivy Anderson and Emily Stambaugh)
 Shared Print planning was historically overseen by CoUL and managed by UCOP's Systemwide Library Planning (SLP); the shared print manager was moved to CDL a few years ago when SLP was dissolved. With so much change in the shared print landscape (WEST, HT, Gov Docs, etc.) we need to revisit our strategy. CDL (via Ivy's group) has offered to produce a series of white papers on the issues for CoUL discussion in April and May and to draft a new strategic agenda for the June CoUL meeting. CoUL recommends adding *persistence* as an issue, given the rapid growth of distributed/federated shared collection models, including consideration of different formats and genres of materials. We need a way to gather input from faculty about where our collections in various disciplines should be going in the future. How should we coordinate with the new GILS group on the Gov Docs piece? Ivy's group and the GILS group should coordinate on Gov Docs to avoid duplicating effort, so Ivy will review the charge that Denise drafts for the new GILS group, and SOPAG should consider adding a CDL rep to the GILS group.

ACTION: Move forward with a new shared print strategic plan, starting with white papers for the next few CoUL meetings, merging the Gov Docs piece proposed by Ivy's with the other initiative that we're charging SOPAG/GILS with next month.

5. Public Domain Digitizing

ACTION: Ivy will talk to Google about bringing back in the earlier participating campuses for their additional PD materials.

6. HathiTrust PSC membership

ACTION: CoUL will nominate Martha Hruska (UCSD) for this group. We would like clarification of how the PSC advisory structure will work so we can consider how UC will participate at that next level. CDL is also considering nominations.

7. Labor Relations

UCOP has appointed a Librarian Salary Working Group to work on AFT salary issues.

ACTION: CoUL will review recommendations once the group has met.