

**University of California  
Resource Sharing Committee**

**Conference Call Minutes**

January 14, 2009

I. Roll call

Present: C. Rubens (Berkeley), L. Kennedy (Davis), C. Ford (Irvine), D. Sloane (Los Angeles), E. Scott (Merced), V. Novoa (Riverside), M. Christensen (San Diego), G. Johnson (Santa Barbara), S. Troy (Santa Cruz), S. Miller (NRLF), C. Carlton (SRLF), S. Willhite (CDL/UCOP), S. Supple (LAUC),. Absent: A. Asbury (San Francisco), S. Parker (SOPAG).

II. Approval of minutes from last meeting

Minutes from our October 2008 meeting were sent via email. Christensen noted that she received no corrections, and they were posted immediately. The group agreed that we will proceed to post minutes as quickly as possible, and they can be updated as needed. The July and August 2008 meetings were also posted on the website.

III. 1.2 added to the agenda

L. Tanji contacted M. Christensen through an email of all campus groups. The UL's asked SOPAG and all sub-groups to use more conference calls and less face-to-face meetings. M. Christensen does not believe it will affect our group, since we only meet once a year in person, and we already conduct most of our business through conference calls. This is also true of IAG and CAG. M. Christensen has not been asked to adjust these meetings, so we are going to leave the meetings as they are scheduled.

IV. Action Items from October 2008 Meeting

1. *M. Christensen will contact G. Persily and Chair C. Friedman to ask that an RSC liaison be added to the HOPS listserv.*
  - M. Christensen contacted G. Persily (HOPS) and suggested the following options: 1) share minutes between the two groups; 2) establish a liaison to participate on each other's listserv; 3) create a shared work environment; or 4) open to other options suggested by HOPS.
  - G. Persily is taking these suggestions back to HOPS, and she will report back to M. Christensen with HOPS response.
2. *C. Rubens took some of our action items to IAG*

Actions are not completed yet. However, there is an upcoming IAG conference call (January 15) to address our action items.
3. *S. Willhite – Green ILL*

S. Willhite shared a link through email ([http://www.cdlib.org/inside/resources/CDL\\_collection\\_jo.pdf](http://www.cdlib.org/inside/resources/CDL_collection_jo.pdf)) that has descriptions of journal, dates of coverage and interlibrary loan policies.
4. *S. Willhite share previous document to support new advisory group*

S. Willhite shared a document via email outlining a draft charge for a new advisory group to replace the current VDX team. The draft was written before our current budget constraints,

but some of the ideas were to have at least one campus member from each of the ILS in the UC System. Our major issues are streamlining the process, communicating the right information to the right people, and technical expertise at the campus level to assist CDL staff with Request and VDX issues. RSC members will review this document and provide feedback through email, so we can move forward and propose a draft as a group.

#### V. UC Libraries Disaster Recovery Workshop update

RSC was given permission by SOPAG to start the listserv. We will be developing the parameters of the listserv, such as, who are we going to invite to join, and what will be the scope of the list. A discussion ensued about whether the list should be an open list or a closed list. No final decision was made on this until the scope is defined for the list.

**ACTION: Christensen will send out the initial proposal to see if we want to use it or adjust it in any way.**

**ACTION: S. Troy and G. Johnson will work on the initial proposal.**

S. Troy sent out a message about "Service Continuity" as an objective for this year.

**ACTION: RSC will suggest to CAG that they include as part of the presentations they do for Circ Heads.**

**ACTION: RSC can give it a broader focus that pull together ideas, similarities, best practices and content from the disaster response survey that was conducted.**

**ACTION: RSC will send it down to CAG and IAG, so they can coordinate the issues.** CAG will be the lead, and RSC will work up a charge identifying the objectives.

#### VI. Draft ISO ILL policy

C. Rubens sent an update on the Projjex website and via email. The proposed policy was referred back to IAG. They were asked to add an introduction that laid out the problem that was trying to be resolved, and they were also asked to restructure the definitions. The definitions for supplier only and no-supplier were added to the document.

**ACTION: M. Christensen will move it up to SOPAG for review.**

#### VII. Shared Print Update

The CDL Shared Print Steering Task Force (SPSTF) has been appointed for one year beginning December 2008 with the possibility of a one year extension. Emphasis is on developing the policies and standards for cooperatively collecting research monographs in Area Studies and in reviewing shared print proposals. A call for shared print proposals has already been sent out to chairs of the system-wide bibliographer groups focused on Area Studies. Proposals are due January 31, 2009. Basic criteria are: monographs published abroad in area studies subjects and cannot be supplied by an English-language monograph vendor (e.g. YBP, Blackwell, Coutts.); no textbooks; no eBooks; no microforms; no newspapers; no serials.

Decentralized model collection management including fund allocation, funds management, selection, acquisition, cataloging, preservation...etc. Keys to the decentralized model's success are in 1) establishing clear policies and standards of practice and 2) transparency. SPSTF will be developing the following 2 policies and 2 standards: Shared Print in Place Policy, Common Access Policy, Descriptive Services Standard, and Account Practices and Consortial Reports. To address transparency an approval plan will be established with one vendor that can provide consortial visibility or allow this visibility through a third party solution. In other words, campuses need to be

able to see what others are buying. If a campus wants to buy additional copies of the same title acquired under the Shared Print MOU, they certainly can.

Memorandum of Understanding has been developed which outlines what campus responsibilities and commitments are. Purposely written NOT to be UC-specific and to include extramural partners. As the SPSTF moves forward RSC will be increasingly consulted about issues related to resource sharing. The SPSTF charge and membership list is available at:

<http://www.cdlib.org/inside/groups/spstf/index.html> Information about UC Shared Print, including the link just shown, is available at: <http://www.cdlib.org/inside/resources/sharedprint/>

(Summary submitted by G. Johnson, task force member and liaison to RSC).

C. Carlton mentioned that the Canadian Literature Collection is a monograph shared print collection at SRLF. Unlike the journals the monographs will circulate. The workgroups will be looking at the same level of access, and the different approaches to providing access. Updates will be given during our RSC conference calls.

#### VIII. CDL/VDX Update

S. Willhite reported that VDX 4.0 is not ready for release, but coming soon. Secure FTP will not come until after the release of VDX 4.0. They are working on integrating Request with WorldCat Local, and the hope is to have the preliminary testing done by the end of the month. They are currently working on the part called "Best Match", where we send them an open URL that will match it with the correct record i.e. the record that has the UC holdings. Also, a change has been made to UC-ELinks, where we are giving people direct linking. If the vendor's open URL has enough information, they are directly sent to the journal article. The journal article is put in a frame. If you do need to get to the menu there is a link on the top. This also depends on the individual agreements with the vendors. There is also a CDL Info article out on this topic.

#### IX. OCLC Next Generation Melvyl Catalog Update

C. Rubens reported that we are now in pre-production with the plan to replace Melvyl by July 1, 2009. Reclamation projects still need to be completed on some campuses. Shared Cataloging group will be adding symbols to each campus. Currently they are trying to get a list of things campuses need to do, which is a lot of configuration. They are also working on those campuses that have more than one catalog per campus. This will allow these campuses to expose multiple ILS in one campus view. Another issue is the RLF. Without the LHR's there are many problems. There is no projection for the LHR's to be done. What they are proposing is to have the RLF symbols be added to RLF records holdings. They recommended a Day One solution, which would display in UCB and UCLA holdings. All campuses will see their own holdings they deposited to the RLF's in the campus view. In the future, there will need to be a different approach. C. Rubens will report back on the Shared Print Collection displaying in a local campus view. The question was raised when a campus sends their last copy to the RLF, how is Request going to direct the user to the appropriate place to initiate their request without campus mediation. Once the LHR's are implemented, the campus symbol will be used for cataloging, and there can be a secondary symbol for the physical location. For now the symbols will be set for the ILS' that have the record for those materials in their local view, everyone else will be able to see all RLF items in the UC-Wide view. All questions should be directed to C. Rubens and she will send them on to the appropriate group.

X. TEPS/NPM update

L. Kennedy reported that this was probably a one year thing, but we are not sure if we will need to continue to count these titles. We were only able to do it by ISSN. It is also assumed that most of it was from the print, but we couldn't tell that from the VDX record. There seems to be a lot of capability to put things in the VDX record, if we choose to do so. Why would we do this? We are seeing options in contracts that we can send electronically without printing them out, if we can keep track of it. RSC recommends referring the issue of tracking to IAG. We would like them to give us a few different options for tracking. How could we track electronic request? And what are some of the other issues that they are facing beyond identifying the terms of the contract? L. Kennedy will develop the questions to send to IAG.

XI. SOPAG Update

SOPAG minutes are posted at <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sopag/> for review.

XII. CAG Update

S. Troy posted the minutes to the Projjex website. They have discussed email templates for faculty reserves, equipment to repair scratched DVD/CDs, staff training/policies/procedures/ in the event of returned water damage material, library privileges for community college honors students, and eco-friendly library practices.

XIII. IAG Update

C. Rubens reported that the minutes for the November meeting have not been posted yet. They had a productive meeting in November. They covered goals, TEPS/NPM, RLF presented about loan materials, and generally covered statistics. They will be covering the Manifesto and the UC ILL satisfaction survey, which will be reported to our group at a later date.

XIV. Rethinking Resource Sharing Manifesto

M. Christenson will prepare a presentation of why the UC's should participate in it. This will be presented out to RSC. The final presentation will be used to send to SOPAG for consideration and endorsement.

**ACTION: M. Christenson will send out to our group to see how we fit the model, and what we can bring to it.**

XV. Agenda Building Items

- Further issues with Next-Gen Melvyl
- Selecting a new RSC Chair with the possibility of a Vice Chair, so there is a little time for learning
- Next steps for green ILL

XVI. Adjournment

M. Christensen adjourned the conference call at 11:57 a.m. Next conference call scheduled for April 9<sup>th</sup>, 1:30 – 3:30pm.

Minutes submitted by: V. Novoa