

## SLASIAC Discussion of an Advisory Committee on Scholarly Communication

*From 2/22/01 SLASIAC Meeting Notes:*

**2.e. Scholarly Communication Initiatives –eScholarship update  
(Information/Discussion)**

In view of the variety of fundamental issues that have emerged through the *eScholarship* program, Hume asked how Candee gets advice on what proposed projects to support. He advised that *eScholarship* establish a small, agile group of expert faculty to provide immediate feedback on management and editorial decisions. Viswanathan observed that finding the right faculty is a significant challenge, and SLASIAC agreed to return to this question at the next meeting.

**ACTION: SLASIAC invites Candee to attend meetings regularly to update the Committee on *eScholarship* activities.**

**ACTION: At the Spring meeting, the Committee will undertake discussion of a faculty advisory group for *eScholarship*.**

---

*From 6/11/01 SLASIAC Meeting Notes:*

**2.b. eScholarship faculty advisory committee (Discussion)**

French reminded the group of the outcome of the February 22, 2001, SLASIAC discussion that concluded that an *eScholarship* advisory committee should be established as a subcommittee of SLASIAC. She noted that at some point in its maturation, *eScholarship* would need a larger advisory group including external members, but that a smaller and more nimble internal group was needed at present. The Committee advised that the University Committees on Academic Personnel should be represented, as well as UC faculty who are editors of major journals. Viswanathan noted that *eScholarship* is not well known among UC faculty, and recommended that a description be published in *Notice*. French observed that a provision should be added to the draft charge addressing communication to the UC academic community. Hume reiterated the consensus of the Committee that there should be at least one presentation annually from an *eScholarship* faculty partner.