**UC ERM meeting March 11-12, 2004**
**Notes from campus reports**
**What are your three most immediate needs with respect to ERM?**
March 12, 2004

Davis

1. Monitoring and tracking licensing, both systemwide and campus
2. Shared serials decision tools, active and cancellations
3. Journal package management

Berkeley

1. Serials decision-making, CDL and local with usage statistics data, cancellation rules, price, etc.
2. Tech services efficiencies to decrease workloads (automatic updates to minimize human intervention)
3. Support of public access systems (searchable/browsable A-Z lists, ability to export data for other uses.

San Francisco

1. Serials decision-making, tiers 1-3, including financial terms such as DDPs
2. Tech services efficiencies to decrease workloads (automatic updates to minimize human intervention)
3. Statistics
4. Real time alerts for public services

San Diego

1. Current, timely, serials decision-making information (negotiation status, prices, etc.); usage statistics in one place
2. Ability to display system status alerts in ILS; integrated and synchronized data flow with ILS (print holdings)
3. Sustainability with current staffing

UCLA

1. Serials decision-making tool systemwide
2. Ability to see what others are doing and going to do in collection development, licensing, trouble-shooting
3. Automatic control of title volatility (manage journal packages: titles in/out, vendor changes, etc.)

Santa Barbara

1. Serials decision-making, want a centralized system like SFX
2. End user A-Z list
3. Portability of data

*ERMmtg_031104_bf*

4. Manage serial print and electronic resources together

Riverside

1. Centralized, standardized, current data for all e-resources; serials is the top priority
2. Alert functions, including requests for decisions, upcoming renewals, trials
3. Mechanisms for sharing information, manipulating and exporting data

Irvine

1. Central system with better information about all tier 1-3 to support record sharing, serials decision-making
2. Desires to facilitate and support public services librarians.

CDL
1. Reduce the effort required to manage/track serials decision-making, both for 2005 renewals in the immediate term, and longer-term
2. Provide outreach to faculty on scholarly communications issues (provide impact factors, UC members on editorial board, etc.)
3. Clean up data in local vendor-level ERMS (the MIS database)
4. Tie titles to packages so the terms and conditions for a particular title are easily viewable
5. Make the CDL ERMS (the MIS database) available to campuses via the web
6. Promote data exchange standards with publishers, especially the use of ONIX for Serials SPS as a data exchange standard for title lists

Santa Cruz

1. Single place for the data of all titles (electronic and print, local and shared) Central system for all UC current continuations
2. Ability to easily input/update data
3. Ability to create custom reports for evaluation and review