Notes November 21, 2014 Meeting

Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB - here

Christy Caldwell - UCSC - here

Beth Callahan - UCD - here

Trisha Cruse - CDL - out

Sharon Farb - UCLA- (Angela Riggio) - here

Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep) here

Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC rep- here

Susan Mikkelsen - UCM - here

David Minor - UCSD - out

Catherine Mitchell - CDL - here

Erik Mitchell - UCB - here

John Renaud - UCI - here

Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager - here

Anneliese Taylor - UCSF -here

1. Announcements and updates
    a. [Presidential policy reviews](#) on campuses?

Has anyone else heard about these reviews on campus? Sherri is meeting with the Senate Committee on Library, Information, and Instructional Resources (CLIIR) today. John, feedback is favorable, there were a couple of questions about who is an employee. Also, question about employment of grad students. Catherine: why was this policy less problematic. John: person could say that the condition was part of employment policy.

Question from Grad council: what is employment for grad students? Needs to be parsed more precisely.

Catherine: the policy was addressed extensively at UCOLAS & OSC meetings.

Policy may cause confusion in wording. Waiver is a larger issue to be addressed regarding the policy and on the campuses. Who can sign a waiver? Who owns copyright and how does this impact the waiver question? (Ask Catherine for more information). There will be a formal response from UCOLAS and CDL, recommending language in the policy to address the issues without challenging the policy.

b. CC report (Ann)

Blog: Announcement: we have one & its up. It has content going back as well as moving forward. Anyone can use it as a communication vehicle, ask to be added to the admin (Marlo Young or Rosalie Lack) of the blog or send item to be posted. The blog is at http://uclasblog1.wordpress.com/

i. UCLAS Assessment: draft report of initial findings is done, final report due 12/19/14. Response rate is tremendous, 75% from SAGs, CKGs 35%, CoUL 7? % & LAUC 64%

ii. UCLAS chairs call: Blog, webinar discussion. Shared best practices of SAG & CLS meetings. Talked about work plans. Only 1 work plan received so far. Wikispaces is being opened up to all chairs & portfolio managers to share suitable information & documents.

2. Discussion topics

a. workplan - final discussion before due Dec. 1

What does this document need in order to be ready for CC Dec. 1st?

Workplans are living documents, can we just link to it? CC would like the workplan to be a snapshot of where we are now. CoUL needs to see how the individual goals relate to COUL's goals. 1 - 2 pages. Suggestion: not include goals 1 & 2 since they are related to us (SAG1). Rearrange the table to put 1 & 2 at the end so we have only 1 document. clean up strike out.

Arrange our goals according to COUL goals? Yes. Colby will rearrange. Source will be the first column, in the order the goals are in the COUL

document.

Need to tighten up the language & sentence structure.

For the workplan, we will produce a named document and attach it to the table that indicated this is a snapshot.

What does COUL want to see? For Goal 3, just the first sentence. target date: winter Q

Goal 4: who is lead for stakeholder document

Merge goal 5 & goal 6. Christy will take the lead on merger.

Goal 5: first sentence, target date: spring Q

Note: Changes were made dynamically to the wiki document.

b.   [SAG1 goals](#) discussion
This is a Dec. 5th conversation, correct?
Tabled for Dec 5th.

c.   OSC 11/19 meeting update (Catherine)

d.   [Orcid project team](#) charge discussion
Review project plan. Group met and built project plan, thanks Colby for help with the format.
Focus on campus outreach approach to ask "what is going on in campus" facilitate adoption, and write a state of adoption report. Team will address the cost issue. The team wants to make the team campus & CKG representative based, reach out to 2 CKGs with this call in mind. Campus reps do not have to be librarians, EM assumed that the rep would be those involved in steering ORCID adoption, faculty rep would be interesting, could happen for UCSB.

Has team thought of assessing faculty awareness of ORCID and the broader, overlapping topics? The team hasn't gotten to this discussion. Could provide logistical issues. Could we use the Statistics CKG to help with data collection and assessments? EM: great idea, fold into list of possible tasks and let team figure out to prioritize tasks.

State-of-adoption study and marketplace analysis (first task for the team), does SAG1 think this is it worthwhile? Yes.

~~SB:~~ Is this pulling response from the libraries? Academic analytics is used on some campuses; an important tool but not the same as ORCID.

Conduct awareness, motivations, value study of librarians, researchers and campus administrators is second task for the team.

EM: will send out the revised charge and quick summary for the group to review and approve via email. Get back to EM quickly so the team can be formed.

As this is our first project team, we want to be highly inclusive and have people feel good about the team. Put out the call and also do a targeted reach.

Vessela Ensberg from UCLA? She's already leading implementation here.