

## Agenda for December 19, 2014 Meeting

### Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB - here  
Christy Caldwell - UCSC - here  
Beth Callahan - UCD : not attending  
Trisha Cruse - CDL here  
Sharon Farb - UCLA - here  
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep) here  
Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC - here  
Susan Mikkelsen - UCM - here  
David Minor - UCSD - here  
Catherine Mitchell - CDL - here  
Erik Mitchell - Here  
John Renaud - UCI -- [here](#)  
Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager - here  
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF - here

### 1. Announcements and updates

- a. Today is Trisha's last meeting. Best wishes. \*sad face\* sad sad
- b. There is no meeting on 1/02
- c. In our 1/16 meeting, we'll be joined by Shu Liu (UCI) who is the chair of the Data Curation CKG.
- d. Other items

### 2. CC report

- a. Reviewing work plans and aggregating questions for CoUL that arose from the work plans. They are looking at them all and working through the kinds of shared questions that came out across SAGs. They will be putting these in front of CoUL when ready.
- b. They are getting messages from CoUL that they want the CC to take a

stronger role in forming reports and recommendations that go to them. They'll be talking with CoUL in January about this.

### 3. Discussion topics

- a. [Comments back from CC](#) on our [workplan](#) - version submitted to CC.
  - i. What do we think of the edits to our document that they suggested?
    1. CC suggests that we remove CoUL Goals 4.1 and 5.2 as alignments with our goals. SAG1 accepts this.
    2. What do we think of their [Group Assignments](#)?
      - a. Concern with SAG3 as the shared ILS lead for expertise, campus workflows, and content reasons as well as workload. Perhaps SAG2 could be the lead instead?
      - b. ACTION: David will suggest having a joint chair call with CC to discuss this document.
      - c. Note that the priorities on the far right column are specifically noted CoUL priorities.
  - ii. Previous ToDos from the workplan:
    1. Goal 3 ([Team Basics document](#)) SAG 1 approves for document . Beth will do some minor edits and send final back for posting and sharing.
    2. Goal 4 (Stakeholder document - new owner?)
    3. Goal 5 (Shared data strategies and services - CC requests that we review)
- b. ["STEPS FOR A REQUEST FORWARDED TO SAG1"](#) document. Action from last time: group approved, Colby will transfer document to the Wiki.
- c. [Stakeholders document](#) discussion (Trisha)
  - i. Reminder: tied to Goal 7 in our doc.
  - ii. Document may become important in our discussions with Data Curation CKG (which is more broadly based and larger than SAG1) and in our review of the Strategic Agenda for Shared Data Curation.

- iii. Make sure we expand scope of document beyond data communities to open education/instruction
  - iv. ACTION: Colby and David will add to the template and add non-data folks into the document.
- d. Discussion on “Final Report: Criteria for Investment in Transformative Scholarly Communication Initiatives” - see email from Anneliese on 12/9. (There are three attachments to that email.)
  - i. Includes Criteria table template to be used to rate/score resources (and an example of how it works with Knowledge Unlatched).
  - ii. Awaiting approval from SAG 1 and CLS in order to distribute to UCLAS.
  - iii. SAG1 suggests a mention (perhaps footnote) to the ebooks value statement. Anneliese will bring this feedback back to the group.
  - iv. ACTION: with the above feedback SAG1 approves this report and commends the folks that worked on it! CLS will submit it to CC for distribution when the change is made.
- e. Discussion of the “OA Fund Assessment Questions Task Group - Part I “ - see email from Julia Kochi forwarded to group on 12/15. (Document is attached to that email.) May need to adapt questions for UCLA. Questions are meant to be inclusive.

UCLA affordable course materials initiative used the UC libraries OA funds funds and perhaps using these funds in this way should also be an option. ACTION: David will respond that SAG1 is comfortable with this, and suggests that the survey should make sure to encompass UCLA’s model of using the OA funds (and other models that may be different than standard journal subvention).
- f. OSC update

Focusing on action items in January:

1. establishing OSC blog schedule for the year (big thinking, interviews with UC faculty, positive OA stories, etc.)
2. Getting an understanding of OA activities across the UC system

(different models, flavors); aggregate information about OA initiatives and build full picture.

3. CDL has developed a response for the Presidential Policy and circulated to OSC (due end of January); Catherine will send it when it is finalized. Emphasized deposit rather than access; CDL is suggesting that access should be emphasized. Raised question about who should be able to grant embargoes in the case in which the author does not hold copyright.

- g. [Orcid project team](#) charge discussion

Delayed until January 16 agenda.