Attendees:

       Sherri Barnes - UCSB
       Beth Callahan - UCD
       Sharon Farb - UCLA -here but leaving at 12 noon
       Diane Gurman - UCLA - LAUC rep
       Susan Mikkelsen - UCM
       David Minor - UCSD
       Catherine Mitchell - CDL
       Erik Mitchell - UCB
       John Renaud - UCI -
       Colby Riggs - UCI - Portfolio Manager
       Anneliese Taylor - UCSF

Announcements:

- Is anyone else going to support sending students to OpenCon? CDL - going to be supporting.
Sherri - will try to have it as a ScholComm goal for next year.

- Coordinating committee report - none this week, Ann out

Discussion topics:
1. Colby Riggs has begun a restructuring of the wiki, basing it on the various planning documents we created earlier this year.
   a. https://wiki.library.ucsf.edu/display/UCLSAG1/Portfolio+Manager+Test+Space+-+Top+Page
   b. Colby will intro this and would like the group's feedback
          c. Feedback: Awesome job Colby & David! Here here.
   d. It would be great if we could get a small subgroup to work on this!

2. New Data Curation CKG
   a. We talked about this last week - any comments since then?

3. Knowledge Unlatched Pilot, next steps
   a. Thanks for everyone's feedback. Has been passed along; we'll see what

happens next.

4. Open Access Publishing Fund Pilot Assessment Report
    a. Next step, as requested by CLS (email from Kerry Scott):
        b. Identify outstanding questions/issues raised by SAG1
            i. Are there any more than what we already said?
            ii. What would be an acceptable timeframe?
        c. CDL will continue same level of support
        d. Proposal to do a survey of recipients - getting at the qualitative
    and transformative questions
        e. SAG1 and CLS coordinate release of the report

    Discussion - what's the relationship between items submitted according to the
    faculty policy (green OA) and the need to also have a gold OA version? There
    may be some value in maintaining these multiple versions. There are also
    questions about version in general - e.g. how do these things compare with the
    version of record? How do we want to assess and look at these processes?
    (again e.g. what is the relationship between STEM pubs and humanities? are we
    just reinforcing environments where this is already starting to happen?)
    The survey of recipients is starting to be put together.

    Sharon - can offer Dawn at UCLA to work with Jackie that represent the kinds of
    question and issues we want to surface. Mary Wood from Davis might also be a
    resource.

5. Shared Metadata Policy from SAG2 (David's email on 8/6)
    a. SAG2 reps are supposed to work SAG1 reps at campuses to share within our
       Libraries
    b. Patti Martin also suggest that the co-chairs (Louise Ratliff and Claudia Hornung)
       could meet with us as a group if we have questions. Do we want that?
    c. Comments, etc. - Sharon encourages us to take a look at the policy, because
       there are definitely questions about what the goal was for some of the proposed
       items. There are things in the proposed policy that SAG1 should look at, because
       they relate to the dissemination of things, and the metadata about these things.
       ACTION ITEM (!): people in this group take a look at the doc so that we can
       discuss it at our next meeting (Sep 5).

6. OSC Update -

a. The OSC workplan for 14/15 is being worked on. When it is ready it will be shared with SAG1 after their Sep 2 meeting, and we will discuss during our Sep 5 meeting. We will also look at a reciprocal workplan from SAG1 for the next year.

b. SAG1 workplan to be shared at Sept 5 meeting as well?

c. Roberto Manduchi (Chair of UCOLASC) will be a member of OSC, also will have a rep from UC Press.

7. OA Policy implementation update

a. The system is up and running and metadata has been loaded for the affected faculty, they've done user testing and have some concerns about the current UI in Elements. They want to talk to the campus reps about how to address these things. There is a question of releasing on time before making these changes, or wait a few months and roll it out after the changes have been made. They are also looking at an overlay called WalkMe - provides smart help infrastructure on top of the page. Likely going to be implementing this - would make sense for this to be in place when it's rolled out.

b. They are working on a contract to extend the service to the other 7 campuses this year as well as LBNL.

8. Symplectic campus visits

a. Catherine's perspective: interesting how different the "same" meeting was at the different locations. Pleased with Julia Hawkes and how she presents the system and what it can and cannot do. There was good feedback to her about how people want to interact with the system.

b. Sep 8 in SD and 10 in SB now scheduled.

9. UC Code camp

a. Update? (Trisha out this week)

10. Systemwide ORCID licensing?

a. ORCID was presented to the ULs at their December meeting. ANDS has developed a good case study on the use of ORCIDs for data. We have incorporated ORCID into the DMPTool and have (or will) add it to Dash.

b. There was a proposal to ask Lisa Schiff to our next meeting and she could provide background on ORCID at UC. Perhaps we want to suggest a consortial approach to the ULs. Not scheduled yet - maybe September

11. Transformative Scholarly Publishing Models Criteria Group (Anneliese)
    a. Ok to keep on the agenda as a standing meeting; AT will indicate if there are no updates.
    b. The group is holding weekly conference calls. We've made good progress on updating the criteria from the original 2005 document.
    c. Our plan is to present a rough draft of the revised criteria along with an outline of what we plan to present as a final product, to SAG1, CLS, and any other appropriate group. This way we can get input from these groups to make sure we're on the right track, before we put together a final report.