

Attendees:

Sherri Barnes - UCSB
Susan Borda - Merced -
Beth Callahan - UCD
Lynne Cameron - CDL (Portfolio Manager)
Trisha Cruse - CDL
Sharon Farb - UCLA
Carol Hughes - UCI -
David Minor - UCSD
Erik Mitchell - UCB
Lisa Rowilson de Ortiz
Anneliese Taylor - UCSF

Announcements:

-Coordinating committee report - nothing for this week

Discussion topics:

1. Update on the Knowledge Unlatched pilot group

- Pre-implementation group met with the KU folks to discuss the various questions that have come up. That went very well. Also NB that the pilot did meet its goal - they did get enough libraries to participate so they can indeed open up the collection. They were shooting for 200 and have 300. CDL has agreed to handle financials, so Ivy is already working on that. One of the SAG1 questions had been on the relationship with the more standard publishing model. They note that they aren't trying to replace it, but provide an alternative to the traditional (expensive) commercial model. They also discussed the metric of success for the pilot, and this is outlined on their website. They're also looking at a crediting process, e.g. looking at other titles that are purchased via other means, and getting credit for it. This is not a simple question. Next big step is to get the steering committee going. Also NB this isn't strictly an eBook project - they also make the print version available if needed. (And the version they are providing electronically are PDF - a single PDF of an entire book.) (Note questions that came up in the group about being able to convert these PDFs to other versions if desired. This is unanswered right now.) The UC system is the only consortial group KU is working with, so there are definitely issues on how to communicate and work through issues at that level.

2. Update on the OA Fund Assessment group
Has received charge and implemented first part, to work with CDL to identify the fund administrators on the campuses. They're expecting to send out the survey in April. They'll distribute the results when done.
3. PeerJ (Discussion started last meeting)
 - a. ACTION from last time: After Christy & Anneliese took a look at existing items, it was determined that updates/new versions are needed. This looks like it dovetails with recent CLS proposal to look at OA Business Models. Kerry Scott is taking the lead to start scheduling this.

Christy and Anneliese had a call with Kerry and Ivy to talk about the project. They went over what they had started and came to an agreement that there would be a group that update these criteria and also (after that) evaluate and of the proposals that have come up. A group of 5 people: CLS, SAG1, maybe CDL, then two other people who have knowledge of these initiatives. Maybe in a couple months have the criteria revision done, and have it come back to SAG1 and CLS for feedback. Then the same group would use that to evaluate the current proposals as well as ones that come up in the future. Kerry will be drafting the charge and sending it out to the group.

see scholarly kitchen article on open access funding:

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2014/03/21/welcome-money-in-this-example-of-open-access-funding-the-matthew-effect-dominates/>

4. SPARC Campus tour (big thanks to Sharon!):
 - a. Update - what is best role for SAG1 at this point, as opposed to campus folks?
Sharon: it would be helpful to get a list of who from the campuses are the best contact for Nicole and Nick. She has a call coming up with them in early April, and if they could have a direct call with them it would be great. ACTION: people get this info to Sharon. NB the slidedeck that Sharon sent as an example of what they can do. (This is for the people who are hosting them, not necessarily the people who are traveling to other campuses.)
5. CDL Code Camp discussion
 - a. Best role for SAG1?

- b. Still have questions about the wording about the announcement, especially vis a vis SAG1. “Co-sponsored?”
 - c. Erik’s software carpentry experience: short presentation - <https://docs.google.com/a/berkeley.edu/presentation/d/1i7vIKjrpsZvHCy53IIM-yp-yKIOq4epYJw8eghHj8pl/edit#slide=id.p62>
 - d. FYI: Davis is working on holding a software carpentry workshop in mid-June, Library is co-sponsor
- 6. OSC Update - David has a phone call scheduled with Laine and Karen B. on Monday, March 24. He’ll be taking SAG1’s questions and concerns to them. If there is anything specific you’d like to be addressed, please state (or send in email).
- 7. [Systemwide plan and priorities for FY 2014-2017](#)
 - a. SAG1 documents
 - i. [Team Basics](#)
 - ii. [Roles & Responsibilities](#)
 - iii. Beth took the lead in crafting the team basics section (links are also on wiki page)
 - Please use Google docs version for commenting and editing.
 - ACTION: review & comment by next meeting. All team members.
 - Table: discussion on priorities for next meeting
 - iv. Trisha has lead in crafting stakeholders and audiences section
 - Trish will flesh out text for stakeholders & audiences (see below... I wasn’t sure where to put this.)

Identify stakeholders and audiences

Numerous challenges face the academic community in the next decades as data intensive research becomes the norm. Addressing these challenges require new approaches across the humanities, social sciences, biomedical, and science disciplines. The UC libraries have long supported the scholarly research and communication process, largely through supporting access, management, and preservation of its published outputs. Data are now at the heart of the research and scholarly communication process, which requires that libraries work in new ways to meet the challenges of sharing, managing, curating, and preserving new modes of scholarship so that it can be reused and exploited by future researchers. We work in a complex

environment and success will necessitates a new type of interaction with stakeholders across the UC landscape and the external academic community.

SAG1 will develop a *Stakeholder Framework* in order to effectively engage with this diverse group of stakeholders across the UC community and beyond and will work to define roles and responsibilities and well as incentives for participation. The output of the *Stakeholder Framework* will provide a clear path for stakeholder interaction, partnerships, communication and advocacy. The *Stakeholder Framework* will leverage and build on *Dealing with data: Roles, rights, responsibilities and relationships* (Lyon, 2007).¹ Finally a clear path for stakeholder interaction will enable SAG1 to build partnerships to meet the challenge of data intensive research across a range of disciplines. The following is an example of SAG1's *Stakeholder Framework*, which will be completed, with stakeholder prioritization, in the coming months. The Stakeholder Framework builds on the work of Lyon (2007), but focuses on the UC library community and its key stakeholder relationships, within the University of California .

¹ Lyon, L. (2007). Dealing with data: Roles, rights, responsibilities and relationships. Consultancy Report. Retrieved from <http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/ukoln/staff/e.j.lyon/publications.html#2007-06-19>

Role	Responsibilities	Requirements	Relationship