

Attendees:

Sherry Barnes - UCSB  
Susan Borda – Merced  
Christy Caldwell - UCSC  
Beth Callahan - UCD  
Sharon Farb - UCLA  
Ann Frenkel - UCR (Coordinating committee rep)  
Carol Hughes - UCI -  
David Minor - UCSD  
Catherine Mitchell - CDL  
Erik Mitchell - UCB  
Anneliese Taylor – UCSF  
John Renaud - UCI

Announcements:

- Carol Hughes has announced her retirement. (Congrats!) For the short-term her place on SAG1 will be taken by John Renaud. Welcome John.
- Susan Borda is moving to UCM ORA office and leaving SAG1. Susan Mikkelsen will be moving into Susan's role.
- Welcome to Catherine Mitchell to this weekly meeting!
- Coordinating committee report - portfolio manager interviews are happening. Hopefully we'll hear late next week. They will send two names to us, with a recommended one. We will review the recommended person. In the end two names will be sent to CoUL.

Discussion topics:

1. Update on the Knowledge Unlatched pilot group
  - a. Looks like titles are available and publicity has started
    - b. Campuses (e.g. Irvine) are looking at the process especially when items haven't yet been published. This was noted in the pre-imp team.
  - c. Response from CLS on next steps for SAG1
    - d. As needed when assessment kicks in

- e. CLS is soliciting campus reps for local issues - may ask us if needed
    - f. NB KU will be at ALA and there might be an opportunity to talk with the reps there. (Still TBD on what will be possible here.)
- 2. Update on Transformative Publishing Models Review Team Charge
  - a. Please see my email from 4/24 - comments please? They'd like our feedback
  - b. Relationship to SAG3.
- 3. Update on the OA Fund Assessment group
  - a. The survey was distributed to Fund administrators and is due May 2
- 4. CDL Code Camp discussion
  - a. <http://www.eventbrite.com/e/uc-code-camp-tickets-10896787585>
  - b. Updates for this week?
    - c. UCR - the "must-gos" are registered. Looks like the same is true at Berkeley.
    - d. Looks like there are 13 seats left.
    - e. It also came up that if this is done again to have a version in the South.
- 5. OSC Update
  - a. Next steps:
    - b. Currently working on draft of an operational document. To be discussed by the OSC membership at their first meeting, and to include discussion of meeting schedule, membership, and workplan.
    - c. Next step is to schedule first meeting.
- 6. May 1 UCSF discussion on the revision of the PLOS's data policy.
  - a. The in-person attendance was good - 30 people, not including library people. (It was also streamed live.) Carly tweeted this blog post afterwards:  
<http://cellb.io/plosdata/>
  - b. There were good questions and points
    - c. Lack of clarity and standards for different types of data
    - d. Lack of clarity on sharing periods
    - e. Questions about process of uploading data to various repositories
    - f. Discussion of disadvantages to smaller labs and developing

countries

g. Questions about the peer review question about datasets

7. Susan Borda's question: system wide data policy? If not have individual campuses made their own?

a. Discussion

b. UCSC Compliance officer didn't see the data mandates as part of their purview

c. And the funders are pointing to campuses to do this

d. Harvard's policy: <http://vpr.harvard.edu/pages/harvard-research-data-security-policy>

e. Trisha Cruse will be talking to Wendy Streitz from UCOP's Office of Research on Tuesday May 6th

8. Placeholder: put times on the ULs agenda for upcoming discussions.

a. e.g. systemwide data policy, ORCID

9. UC-wide ORCID proposal

a. UCLA moving ahead with a project, working with a local database and OPUS.

b. There are definitely questions about this at other campuses and we're looking at it.

c. There are questions about how this aligns with the OA policy

d. We should have some kind of resource that also draws some connections between ORCID and tools and researcher profile system

10. OA Policy harvesting tool implementation meeting (4/24)

a. Beta roll-out beginning in June 2014 with recruitment of early-adopter users on pilot campuses

b. Full roll-out for pilot campuses by end-of-summer 2014, to allow response to early user feedback and careful consideration of campus needs, such as integration with other campus data-tracking systems

c. Integration with pilot campuses' local faculty profile systems or initiatives wherever possible

d. Clear and effective branding, messaging, and email notification strategy

e. Selection of data sources to harvest publication metadata and feed into the Elements

system

- f. Data imports from existing campus systems to ensure full, accurate data for new profiles