

Public Copy of 05/23/14

Attendance

Date: 05/23/14

Note taker: *Robin Chandler*

Attended: Robin Chandler, Sara Davidson, Kristine Ferry, Catherine Friedman, Todd Grappone, Lynne Grigsby, Gary Johnson, Xiaoli Li, Patricia Martin, Eric Milenkiewicz, Michele Mizejewski, Adrian Petrisor

Absent: Sue Chesley Perry,

Meeting Guest(s): *Names of any guests*

Agenda

1. Attendance call (Robin, 1 min)
2. Approve public minutes from last meeting
3. [Metadata Policy Task Group draft](#) discussion (XiaoLi, 30 min)
4. Update from Shared Print Strategy Team (Catherine, 5 minutes)
5. Discuss edited [Shibboleth document](#) (Robin, 15 minutes)
 - a. See [this document](#) capturing group's questions to guide discussion
6. Review [UCLAS blog proposal](#) (Patti, 5 minutes)
7. Review [CLS Task Group report](#) (and [survey report](#)) - Article Level delivery services (Patti, 15 minutes)
8. [Review of items from previous meeting](#) (Patti, 10 min)
9. Review today's action items (Patti, 2 min)

Notes

Item	Notes	Decision/Action
Approve notes from last meeting (4/25)	Group should review a few days before we meet	Approved.

<p>1. Metadata Policy Task Group Draft</p>	<p>Discussion about specific points in guidelines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Contributors who provide metadata to UC Libraries must be made aware of the terms under which their metadata may be shared." Who are the contributors? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • add language defining contributors: UC faculty, staff, students? Is it only contributors of non-licensed content or does it include vendors providing licensed content? • consider what the policy would look like without a CC0 license • consider workflow: in regards to CC0 license, how will legacy metadata be managed versus prospective metadata? • "UC Libraries will take reasonable measures to keep shared metadata accurate and correct, updating metadata in response to observed errors in a timely fashion as resources permit." <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intent is to be responsive to reported errors and make reasonable effort to correct • Shared Metadata should be made publicly available to all users, in formats that facilitate its reuse. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This looks like an unfunded mandate; needs more thought. • What's the obligation being shouldered by the campuses? Do all 10 campuses have to have metadata publishing programs? • What formats facilitate reuse? Is it multiple formats, or just what we can provide? 	<p>DECIDED :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Group endorses concept of unfettered access to metadata.
<p>2. Update from Shared Print Strategy Team (SPST)</p>	<p>Dividing into teams on the (3) agenda items to be addressed</p>	<p>DECIDED :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the future, Catherine will provide written update on the SPST; it does not require a verbal update at each SAG 2 call.
<p>3. Discuss edited Shibboleth Document</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarified that request to create a public version of memo to CoUL came from the Coordinating Committee and that creating a public version offered SAG 2 the opportunity to edit language, make clarifications, and determine if any aspect of the document was not suitable for public sharing. 	<p>DECIDED :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CoUL accepted our work on this charge and too much wordsmithing put SAG 2 in danger of creating a new document.

<p>4. Review UCLAS Blog Proposal</p>	<p>Feedback on proposal includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does this really solve the communication problem? Need clarification on how this will help improve communication. • NGTS used a blog for communication, how was success measured? Was it a successful communication tool? • Will the blog content be comprehensive or selective? • Please provide more information illustrating how the blog will better integrate email communications and the UC libraries website documentation. • Please clarify what information will continue to come by email. Just links? • It is a huge advantage to be able to search the blog • Suggestion to host in the cloud and not locally. 	
<p>5. Review CLS Task Group Report (and survey report)</p>	<p>What problem is this report trying to solve?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Idea is to subscribe to a pay per use delivery service. • We have a delivery service currently – so is the goal to speed up delivery? It's mediated delivery, which adds time. • Look at the survey: 62% of librarians say they do not have an article delivery service that is useful. • We have a document delivery service now, but this report presents the collection perspective and it adds more sources for content to what we have currently. Solves the problem of when an article is requested and is unfilled, this gives us more sources (cheaper in some cases) – mediate and unmediated – to be able to consult. <p>In the survey report, what % of the pay-per use delivered articles are within the overall delivery service of an ILL unit? This would indicate how heavily this service is used and provide some insight into the merit of pursuing this strategy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This question was not asked in the survey 	<p>DECIDED :</p> <p>1. Discussion not completed; tabled to next scheduled meeting.</p>
<p>6. Review of items from Previous Meeting</p>		<p>Completed.</p>
<p>7. Review of Today's Action Items</p>		<p>Completed.</p>