Public Copy of 10/24/14

Attendance

Date: 10/24/14

Note taker: Michele

Attended: Sara Davidson, Todd Grappone, Xiaoli Li, Patricia Martin, Eric Milenkiewicz, Michele Mizejewski, Sue Chesley Perry, Adrian Petrisor

Absent: Lynne, Catherine, Gary, Kristine, Robin

Agenda

- 1. Attendance (Michele)
- 2. Approve public meeting minutes 10/10/14 (Michele)
- 3. Coordinating Committee Update (Robin)
- 4. Metadata Policy Project Team Update (Xiaoli)
- 5. F2F Planning Discussion
 - a. Finalize draft agenda, assign owners to each topic session
- 6. Review last meeting's Action Items (Patti)
 - a. We have an open item to discuss Operations Teams, preferable before our F2F.
- 7. Action Item Roundup (Michele)

Notes

Item	Notes	Decision/Action
Approve notes from last meeting	Group should review a few days before we meet	Approved
Coordinating Committee Update	No update due to Robin's absence	
Metadata Policy Project Team Update (Xiaoli)	 discuss as much as possible remotely and save selected things for the F2F meeting revising the policy now 	
F2F Planning Discussion	let Patti know if anything is needed other than white board and markers for each session	• owners assigned to all topic sessions
Other	 Gary will be stepping down and replacement will be attending the F2F meeting campus update from Todd: started disability access to HathiTrust Collection. UCI and UCB have already done some work on this too. 	
Review last meeting's Action Items	 The assumption of the current process is that a CKG has an idea which goes to SAG for approval, and the SAG then appoints project team to flesh this out further (with project team reporting to SAG). However, the guidelines for this process aren't clearly articulated and there are some projects waiting in the pipeline that would benefit from some clarity here. The question that needs to be answered is "how do CKGs bring forward/present project ideas"? A subgroup of SAG2 will look at this. 	