## Public Copy of 09/26/14

## Attendance

Date: 09/26/14

Note taker: Eric Mllenkiewicz

Attended: Robin Chandler, Sara Davidson, Catherine Friedman, Gary Johnson, Xiaoli Li, Patricia Martin, Eric Milenkiewicz, , Sue Chesley Perry

Absent: Kristine Ferry, Lynne Grigsby, Adrian Petrisor, Todd Grappone, Michele Mizejewski

Meeting Guest(s):

Agenda

- 1. Attendance call (Eric, 1 min)
- 2. Approve public minutes from last meeting (Michele, 1 min)
- 3. Coordinating Committee Update (Robin, 5 min)
  - a. UCLAS webinar proposal
  - b. Result of CoUL priorities clarification
- c. Assessment update
- 4. Housekeeping (Patti, 5 minutes)
  - a. Possible revamp of SAG 2 wiki to align with SAG 3's
  - b. I need a volunteer to run the October 10 meeting
- 5. 2014-2015 SAG 2 Priorities
  - a. Once we get the CoUL priorities, how shall we address them?
  - b. Should we plan for an assessment of how we did in our first year?
- 6. Review of items from previous meeting (Patti)
- 7. Review action items Eric

ltem	Notes	Decision/Action
Approve notes from last meeting	Group should review a few days before we meet	Approved
1. CC Update: UCLAS webinar proposal	<ul> <li>CoUL approved the UCLAS webinar proposal</li> <li>The proposal can now be distributed to SAG2</li> <li>These webinars are designed to keep UC librarians/staff informed on library projects</li> <li>1st webinar will be on CoUL priorites for the upcoming year</li> <li>October for 1st webinar; 1-3 ULs are projected to participate</li> <li>Webinars for rest of year, at minimum 3 or as many as 5, between now and June (6 if counting CoUL webinar)</li> <li>CC to work with SAG UCLAS chairs and LAUC to determine webinar content</li> <li>CC thought of this webinar idea in conjunction with LAUC, so that staff will be abreast of library developments</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None
2. CC Update: Result of CoUL priorities clarification	<ul> <li>Priorities haven't been finalized yet</li> <li>9/17 the CoUL Chair met with CC members and SAG chairs to discuss CoUL priorities; step in the right direction (very cordial/congenial and constructive) to see where SAGs fit into the overall library planning process</li> <li>Comments were provided to the CoUL chair and she will bring back to CoUL for review</li> <li>Awaiting CoUL follow-up and response</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None

## Notes

3. CC Update: Assessment update	<ul> <li>CC and Katherine Kott went over calendar proposed by K. Kott to clarify the dates of the survey</li> <li>Extra time was built in for the survey by making adjustments to other components of the assessment</li> <li>Assessment results will be delivered to CoUL in November</li> <li>Survey will be available 10/10 thru 10/22</li> <li>Some adjustments to the survey will be completed before it is launched</li> <li>Survey Participants:</li> <li>Council of University Librarians (CoUL) members</li> <li>Coordinating Committee members</li> <li>Strategic Action Group (SAG) members</li> <li>Collection Licensing Subgroup (CLS) members</li> <li>Operations Teams (OT) members</li> <li>Common Knowledge Groups (CKG) members</li> <li>LAUC representatives to the SAGs and members of the LAUC Executive Board</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None
4. Housekeeping: revamp SAG 2 wiki to align with SAG 3's	<ul> <li>SAG3 portfolio manager developed a good way to use Confluence and its features to make it more transparent; a very useful model</li> <li>Robin, Adrian, and Patti will take a look at this and see if this model can be used for SAG2</li> <li>If so, a project plan will be completed for this implementatio</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None
5. 2014-2015 SAG 2 Priorities	<ul> <li>Should we have another F2F meeting to discuss CoUL priorities when released? Consensus seems to be YES</li> <li>Possibly a NorCal location for meeting since last meeting was in SoCal</li> <li>Take a look at past year to see what we have a accomplished and what went well/what didn't</li> <li>T. Grappone might want to add some items not listed on CoUL priorities</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None
5. Review of items from previous meeting	<ul> <li>Metadata PG hasn't had a chance to look over comments yet</li> <li>Melvyl OT inquiry; add communication piece to the charge so information is getting distributed properly <ul> <li>UCR has no rep</li> <li>UCSC re-assigned rep</li> <li>Revisit this discussion at next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>PAPR: CRL operated Print Archives Preservation Registry (PAPR) system supports the archiving and management of serial collections by providing comprehensive information about titles, holdings, and archiving terms and conditions of major print archiving programs (T. Grappone was interested in this and C. Friedman as SAG2's rep to the Shared Print Strategy Team was asked to describe PAPR); system has a lot of value for shared print activities as you can perform collections analysis/serials overlap analysis; gaps in programs can be highlighted; interesting and useful tool that pulls print archiving program information in one place; as part of the UC Disclosure Policy, currently in draft form, there is the expectation that titles which have been archived under the UC shared print program will be recorded in PAPR; a UC-only analysis using PAPR is scheduled for next year.</li> </ul>	DECIDED : 1. None

6. What's going on at your campus?	<ul> <li>UCSC: going through strategic planning process for the library/campuswide (research agenda for campus and student experience are top priorities); 50th anniversary digitization project and Omeka upgrades including one that will connect to UCLDCs Nuxeo instance</li> <li>UCSD: digital users service group will focus on investigating discovery this academic year; all pre-1990 journal collections are being moved off-site to the Library Annex; scanning service will be installed at Annex to assist with access; ILL unit is now part of acquisitions and the unit is looking at ways to expedite getting material to people regardless of how the Library might acquire it; DAMS 4 is up and running and in Beta mode (78000 objects and campus research data available); Ithaca faculty survey was run in Spring and a lot of good feedback was received (other UC campuses have run the survey as well), still sifting through it and would like to compare to other campuses</li> <li>UCSB: Future of Libraries discussion with focus groups and publishing results to campus to assist with strategic road map; building renovations and new building construction is a major project that is 6mos ahead of schedule causing some planning problems especially with regards to needed technology</li> <li>UCD: Survey to faculty led by Deputy UL; recruitment for several high level positions underway (online strategic planning; revamping uc elinks interface; hathi trust pilot project to bring in linked data to improve authority files and search/access; contiune to support PAPER and WEST; path forward for melvy with</li> <li>UCR: librarywide strategic planning is complete and implementation is about to begin; complete website re-design ad overhaul moving from homegrown content management system to Drupal has just started using the SF based company Chapter 3, this will be an 18 mos process and will include a responsive design website; Aeon has been implemented in Special Collections and is working well; FRED unit has been purchased for di</li></ul>	DECIDED : 1. None
6. Other	<ul> <li>R. Chandler will run meeting on 10/10</li> <li>E. Milenkiewicz will take notes on 10/10</li> </ul>	<b>DECIDED</b> : 1. None