Public Copy of 02/20/15

Attendance

Date: *02/20/15*

Note taker: *Todd*

Attended: Robin Chandler, Sara Davidson, Kristine Ferry, Catherine Friedman, Todd Grappone, Lynne Grigsby, Xiaoli Li, Patricia Martin, Eric Milenkiewicz, Marti Jean Kallal, Adrian Petrisor

Absent: Sue Chesley Perry, Sue Boone

Meeting Guest(s): *Jerrold Shiroma*

Agenda

1. Attendance (Todd)
2. Approve public meeting minutes (Adrian)
3. Born Digital Planning Group CKG Update (Jerrold Shiroma, 15 minutes)
4. Coordinating Committee Update via email (Robin Chandler to circulate)
5. Discuss Discovery Paper Task Group Charge (team, 20 minutes)
6. Update from DAMS group - who and for how long?
7. Review meeting minutes from previous meeting (Patti, 10 minutes)
8. Review Action Items (Todd)
9. If time... Lately I've been thinking of......

Notes

Item	Notes	Decisions
Approve notes from last meeting	Group should review a few days before we meet	*Approved*
3. Born Digital Planning Group CKG Update (Jerrold Shiroma)	One call while Jerrold Shiroma has been chair. Developing portfolio of campus interests.	1. None. Group is just starting.
5. Discuss Discovery Paper Task Group Charge	Discovery is complex, the discussion decided to assess Melvyl. CoUL: "scale back". This is a starting point. CF, this is a good starting point. What is the "state of the system" is a good place to start. RC what's the next step? Report should articulate what we're not looking into. Need to frame the argument. XLL: Shouldn't call it a discovery charge. AP: This is an investigation. CF: Deliverable need to be articulated in terms of for what. SD: What would make Melvyl more usable? PM: Melvyl ops team has already identified them.	1. Describe who the charge is geared toward. How did we get here? 2. SAG2 needs some control over the charge. 3. Focus on Melvyl. 4. Part of the deliverable in the charge should be to cover what's not covered. 5. Add a deliverable to produce a report on AtC #1. 6. Change membership #4 to systems person. 7. Need to send charge to CC before contacting potential members.
6. Update from DAMS group	Next meeting on Wed the 25th. What are the future developments for UCLDC? One question is how campuses can participate in UCLDC (co-development)? Should we reach out to other campuses to know where people are? Nuxeo was not seen as a long-term solution.	1. DAMS work should be documented on the SAG2 wiki.

7. Review meeting minutes from previous meeting	Need to send collection administrators to Sheri Berger. UCSD assigned Head of Digital Library. UCI assigned Head of Special Collections. Cedilla update meeting scheduled.	1. We should all review the minutes from last week and do what we said we would do.
9. If time...	Laine Farley has announced her retirement. Plan is to have somebody in place before Laine retires. PM would like candidates. Joe F from Cedilla is presenting on Thursday at UCB. We are coming up on our two-year anniversary. Need to decide who will continue and who would be chair. Feedback on the Ask A Librarian evaluation timeline and questions is due to CF or KF by the 23rd.	