2014-01-10 Agenda

2014-01-10

Time : all day in person at UCOP

Minutes By: UCR (AM), CDL (PM)

Attendees

all members present

Discussion Items

Morning Session

OA pilot assessment

- K. Scott will have a meeting on Jan. 31 with the assessment task force.

Elsevier & Nature titles discussed

Discussion: Request for adding non CLS people to the CLS listserv

Decision: add non CLS members to proposals listserve upon campus request, CLS listserve will remain for campus reps

Discussion: Identify the best processes/approaches/practices for CLS to adopt/continue

Email & Teleconference:

- Email updates & announcements ahead of meeting if possible
- Post action list on wiki.
- Responding to email: once message with a request for action is posted on the listserv, and there is no response from a CLS member,it means s/he has read it. The CLS chair will move forward based on the majority decision.
- Better standardized terminology for email subject line: deadline/response needed/action/discussion, and make them standardized for easy sorting and locating.
- Cut down verbal reporting so we can get to discussion items in meetings.
- Limit going around the phone for input from each individual campus unless everyone has to weigh in on the issue. The chair will assume that people will speak up if s/he has concerns or objection.

Voting on proposals on CLS site or listserv:

- Voting may be the end of a long discussion. There have been many opportunities to comment in the process.

- No vote may also have implication, since it may affect the cost for other campuses, so sometimes they need to be reviewed and reposted for voting.
- Voting is also needed to officially secure a contract, such as the recent Science Direct proposal.

Suggestions:

- The chair does not have to be represented on all CLS-associated groups.
- Adding video to meetings will be helpful. We may explore new services or new features on existing services such as Google hangout, or adding Skype (microphone-activated images) and ReadyTalk's camera feature.

Actions:

- Kerry Scott will look into Skype functionality and ReadyTalk with camera. We can have a test session with ReadyTalk as the voice line and add Skype for the image. For people with network issues, they should talk directly to K. Scott.
- We will have a page to track the updates of charges, agreements, projects on CLS wiki page. SAG 3 has a page for the similar purpose.

Follow-up: JSC/CDL webinar: Collection Development & Management /Shared Cataloging Overview for CLS

- The webinar slides will be posted at the CDL page for UC librarians, especially new librarians.
- Since CDL used to host systemwide resource liaisons (RL) meetings, there was discussion on having RL meetings again in 2015.
- Other CLS members also agreed that RL meeting is very efficient and focused, and it is a good forum to let people know what is going on at CDL.
- To save travel cost, it was also suggested that the RL meetings coincide with LAUC assembly meetings, or host one meeting each for north and south.

How to Handle Tier 2's

- Tier 2 is wild wild west, since there is so much confusion about when to be a Tier 2. Bibliographers groups don't meet face to face any more, so we need more presentations/webinars. Since there are many grey areas, people are frustrated about the details of tier 2.
- CDL is glad to help campuses with Tier 2 proposal, but to have CDL play a role, it has to be with licensing, recharge payment, etc. Campuses should also know that whole process takes time.
- Written approval from CDOs is also required. In addition, proper documentation is needed.
- People should refer to the CDL toolkit which explains all the details of having a Tier 2 proposal.
- To be qualified for Tier 2, it has to have a cost of over $10,000 with participation of 4 or more campuses.
- It is different from SCP which can provide cataloging assistance when 3 campuses or more are interested.
- In short, Wendy/CDL is ready to help, but participants should have all the components: they are in the toolkit.
- Please contact Wendy asap. Many times, Wendy only hears about proposals at the end of the process, not the beginning.
- It is useful for CDL to know what kind of involvement is needed for different kinds of resources. For distributed/centralized activities, certain protocols are not followed anymore.

DDA pilot: W. Parfrey.

- W. Parfrey wants to have CLS's approval of the DDA proposal. eBrary has agreed to review the pricing model in the second year. We will learn many new things from this pilot plan, and we can build a new pricing model based on what we learn.
- 65 publishers are involved in this pilot. It is a reasonable number, and we can do some modeling. So far, 21 titles have been uploaded and 800 are ready to be loaded, there will be up to 2,500 for the pilot.
- Assessment plan for the pilot is in work, and it will also look into print, to see the impact.
- The assessment planwill be brought to CLS for feedback/additions.
- We may expand it to STM titles. Hope the pilot is a success.

Discussion: SAG 2 charge/CLS Shibboleth & UC Online

Conclusion: CLS is able to be involved when it comes to licensing, but not for the technical part such as Shibboleth. Also, not all vendors are able to comply, contents are the issue.

Action: K. Scott will have a meeting with SAG2 to discuss this project and the CLS role in it.

End of morning session.

Afternoon Session: COUL Priorities

Where does CLS fit into the COUL document?

"University of California Libraries Systemwide Plan and Priorities, FY 2014-2017", dated 10/18/13

For new initiatives:

- Ensure that we can map initiatives into CoUL priorities but don't feel unduly constrained – we can identify important initiatives that support these goals
- Identify which other SAGs we need to liaise or partner with

COUL strategic goal	CLS projects in 2014	Partners
1.a.iii.	Digital transition (print vs. e), SCOPUS, T&F lightening team, DDA task force	SAG 3 (digitization)
2.a., 2.c.i.1	SCOPUS assessment, T&F lightening team, DDA task force, PAG/Portico audit	
3.c.,d., 3.1-2.	Mobile apps, document delivery task force, UC online learning courses, Shibboleth (license implications only)	SAG 2 (delivery, authentication), SAG 3 (preservation)
4.2.	Digital formats, ebooks vs. print books, PAG/Portico audit	SAG 3
5.c.i,ii., 5.d, 5.1-2.	Ongoing CLS priorities, OA assessment, OA funding, Knowledge Unlatched, advocate for new business models	SAG 1
6.	Changing role of bibliographers, training and development of core competencies	SAG 3/POT 7/NGTS

Notes on CLS projects that map to COUL goals:

Important new initiatives in 2014:

- Ebook collecting – schedule for April CLS call to discuss formation of a CLS strategic group
- Relationship to broader consortial efforts
- Coinvestment models in service of facilitating systemwide licensing – delegate to JSC
- ARL/CRL/Lyrasis proposals – e.g., New York Times – delegate to JSC to vet
- Knowledge Unlatched project team – CLS approves, Ivy will send spreadsheet to do a holdings check and deduct current subs from cost
- For #1, our role begins when content enters the commercial stream and can be licensed. Should CLS have a role in out-licensing digitized UC content?

Notes on other agenda items:

SCOPUS

- Should SCP catalog? Yes, but with a note as one-year trial access
- CDLinfo article as trial access only

PAG report/Portico audit (Catherine Nelson, Jim Dooley)

- CRL audit wasn't deep enough so PAG is doing a random audit of 100 titles from CDL licenses
- Portico intrastructure doesn't lend itself to auditing volume/issues since data is chunked up

ARL Collection Heads (Gail Yokote)

- Meets at ALA Mid-winter
- Action: Jim will attend and report back to CLS

End of meeting, 3 pm.