

# 2014-08-08 Agenda & Minutes - Public

## 2014-08-08 Minutes

Time : 10-12

Minutes By: UCR

### Attendees: roster

- **Present:** Ivy Anderson (CDL), Jim Dooley (UCM), Julia Kochi (UCSF), Jean McKenzie, (UCB), Catherine Nelson (UCSB), Peter Rolla (UCSD), John Renaud (UCI), Alison Scott (UCR, recorder), Kerry Scott (UCSC, chair), Jackie Wilson (CDL), Gail Yokote (UCD), Susan Parker (UCLA)
- **Absent:**

Discussion Items	Action Items
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. SAG 3 updates - 5-10 min No new information, since SAG 3 has not met since our last meeting.</li> <li>2. Letter to Julie LeFevre RE: CA.docs - OK to go? (see Gail's edits to CLS from email on 8/5) - 5 min Gail's editorial suggestions gratefully accepted. ACTION: Kerry will incorporate the suggestions and respond to Julie LeFebre for CLS.</li> <li>3. <a href="#">OA Pilot Report</a> - Jackie (25 mins) Report contains great deal of good data (potentially useful for Gold OA Cost Analysis Project) and descriptive information. Next steps: general interest in continuing the pilot, and in evaluative analysis of lessons learned and implications for the future, as "launchpad" for next phase of UC-wide discussion, with greater clarity about goals and assessment. CDL can offer some funding to campuses that want to continue the pilot/program. ACTIONS: Small group to identify dig into report for deeper analysis of implications—Berkeley, UCLA, Davis as core; develop common assessment tool/metrics, with standard methodology, for next phase of pilot.CDL will continue to make seed money available (\$10K), to augment local campus contributions (if needed). to continue to support OA APC pilot project funding.</li> <li>4. SAG 1 KU response - confirmed no rep on the board; asked for more info about issues with implementation and records issues- Kerry (5 min)</li> <li>5. <a href="#">Metadata report SAG 2</a> - check in to see if ready to discuss, if not, set a plan for getting feedback organized for SAG 2 (10-20 mins) Feedback is due in early September; many questions: What kinds of metadata are covered, what is actually meant by "sharing," what are permitted uses, what does "publicly" mean? ACTIONS: Ivy will share her notes with CLS; CLS will add comments (using wiki); Kerry will aggregate comments, and respond to SAG 2 by the deadline.</li> <li>6. Brief discussion of Gold OA Analysis project - discussion of data gathering plans and announcement of a doodle poll for more specific planning. ACTION: Ivy will send out a poll, campuses should send names of reps for the call about data gathering.</li> <li>7. Face to Face Meeting Planning - maybe subgroup again, as we did last year? - ALL (10 min?) Possible topics: CoUL priorities; SAG priorities; review last year's goals/intentions/projects; feedback for Coordinating Committee for UCLAS structural assessment; Bib Group structure and comments to SAG 3; evergreen topics of E-book strategies, DDA project, collection-building strategies, collection use. ACTION: Small group—Kerry, Catherine, Ivy, and Gail—will develop preliminary agenda for reaction/comment.</li> </ol>	
<h3>Information Items</h3>	
<p>E-Book Report: Summary of feedback so far: questions raised about strategies;Not everyone has seen the feedback, feedback will be shared will all of CLS. Fuller information to come.</p>	

