# 2014-02-12 Meeting Agenda and Minutes (Published)

#### Date

12 February 2014

1pm-2:30pm

## Attendees

- Diane B. Bisom (Chair, UCR)
- Myra Appel (UCD)
- Marcia Barrett (UCSC)
- Judy Consales (UCLA)
- Elizabeth DuPuis (UCB)
- Vicki Grahame (UCI)
- Martha Hruska (UCSD)
- Polina E Ilieva (UCSF, Note Taker)
- Emily Lin (UCM)
- Janet Martorana (UCSB)
- Leslie Wolf (Portfolio Manager)
  Jean McKenzie (CLS Liaison)

#### Absent:

- Angela Riggio (LAUC)
- Heather Christenson (CDL)

## **Discussion Items**

Time	Item	Who	Discussion Notes	Decisions/Actions
5min	Call to Order, Agenda	Diane	Time Keeper – Volunteer	
1-1:05pm	Review, Announcements, Updates			

10min 1:05-1:15pm	Using the Notes Template Public vs. Internal Version of SAG 3 Notes	Leslie	Using the Notes Template Leslie uploaded past notes (10/23/2014 to 1/22/2014). For our special meeting on 1/21/14 (and meetings of the same type), confirmation needed that the level of detail, and the specific details are fine for publication. Is there a need for guidelines for internal vs. public notes?	Recorder will put notes in the Meeting Agenda and Minutes, using the "Discussion Notes" and "Decisions/Actions" columns. Action items should use the @ mention and the task boxes. Leslie can help if needed. Links to the draft meeting notes should be sent for review no later than 1 week and should be finalized and ready for uploading to the website no later than 2 weeks. We had questions only about the special 1/21/14 meeting. Leslie and Diane will review and refine the notes before publishing. We can discuss a guideline when we have more examples.
----------------------	--	--------	--	--

<ul> <li>1:15-1:30pm</li> <li>2:Coordinating Committee Update</li> <li>3:Shared LS;RMS</li> <li>4: Chinese cataloging plot background</li> <li>5: CLS</li> <li>Vicki</li> <li>5: CLS</li> <li>Vicki</li> <li>Jean</li> <li>Commutee Update</li> <li>Coordinating Committee (CD) will be needing with SKG chains and ULs next week to check what is working, figure out how to improve communications, discuss process of setting up and communications, discuss project teams or working project team to be commendations in project teams or working project team to be commendations in project team to be commendations in project team in the coordinating Committee Update</li> <li>Unimit recommendations project teams or working project team in the commendations project team in the commendations project team in the commendations project team in the commendations project team in the commendations how denote the commendations how denote the commendations how denote the commendations how denote the instead we do have a ford project plan.</li> <li>Some of ur (SAG)</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang freedback from consultaris, will be meeting on February 18.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE to take n - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Will add to our nest agends to check ford consultaris, will be meeting on February 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken - Fobruary 26.</li> <li>Sheard LIS/RMS: the subang maxenisk, CLE for taken -</li></ul>
to volunteer their project. services, Peter [], from Berkeley proposed doing something very different (they outsource to China

30min 1:30-2pm	Major Agenda Topic: Bibliographer Groups	Myra, Beth, Emily	<ul> <li>Review Framework for Collection Librarians and gather comments, revisions, and nominations for task group membership. Goal is to finalize document and charge group to begin work by 3/1.</li> <li>POT7 LT2 Report - Sept 17 2013.pdf</li> <li>Working group presented a document they put together "New Framework for the Role and Engagement of UC Collections Librarians," looking for feedback and to discuss next steps:</li> <li>The goal of CKGs is information sharing, this document states that they will be involved in cooperative collection building.</li> <li>Maybe these groups shouldn't be CKGs; sometimes bibliographers who have an assignment on a narrower topic or work on a similar subject would want to create a low key communication channel and a group.</li> <li>They should be able to create a CKG if they would like to formalize the group.</li> <li>These small subgroups will be within a larger umbrella of a CKG</li> <li>We should lay this vision down as part of this document. Should articulate the flexibility of this framework, how members will be nominated for the group.</li> </ul>	Clarify and develop proposal process for CKG, and how the ideas proposed by them are implemented. By February 26th E mily Lin, Myra Appel, Elizabeth DuPuis will edit the Framework for Collection Librarians document and present it to the group. The names of 2-3 members for the task group should be submitted to SAG3 by each campus. Include both bibliographers for life sciences and physical sciences group. Diane B. Bisom will report to Marlo that we wrapped up this report and will dissolve the working group.
15min 2-2:15pm	Major Agenda Topic: NGTS P-4 Pilot: Non-Book Pilot Project	Martha	1/20/2014 email from Martha: As we discussed on our December 18 call, the NGTS P-4 Non-Book Pilot Project has now completed its work. The final report can be found at: https://wiki.library.ucsf .edu/pages/viewpage.acti on?pageId=326405847	Will do lightweight inventory; create a page on wiki with questions about the level of backlog, ongoing needs. SAG3 will thank and dismiss pilot task group. Martha Hruska will prepare a final report and letter.

It has been shared and reviewed by interested music catalogers throughout the library system. The next steps we decided at our meeting were for SAG 3 to:

• review the document and share with any others on your campus who may be interested. As detailed in the report, we have learned that cataloging of compact discs using surrogates at a single campus location can be an attractive option for eliminating cataloging backlogs, producing cataloging that is both cost-effective and performed at a higher level of quality and adherence to UC standards than cataloging produced by vendors.

• inventory any significant local backlogs in this format

• consider whether eliminating these backlogs remains a priority, and if there would be interest in taking advantage of such a service at a single campus

• consider the value of pursuing a 'Center of Expertise' model for special format or language cataloging at a designated campus.

• determine where long term access to the Standards document should be archived? Documents section of SAG 3 website.

Please review by Feb. 5. So that we can discuss on our Feb. 12 agenda.

I would recommend that regardless of the outcome of these next steps, we plan to thank and dismiss the Pilot Project task group. Should there be interest in pursuing such a model, we can then take it up as a new project, and consult with ASAG on how to plan for staffing and other resources.

			Members provided feedback about existing backlog of CDs and music recordings on their campuses.	
5min 2:15-2:20	Report from ALA Midwinter Big Heads: UCD's IMLS Grant	Myra	This is information only: http://library.ucdavis.edu/ bibflow/	IMLS project in Davis was added to the agenda for March 26th.
10min 2:20-2:30pm	Action Items/Recap	Polina (Note Taker) and Leslie (Portfolio Manager)		