

2014-05-14 Meeting Agenda and Minutes (Published)

Date

14 May 2014

1pm-2:30pm

Attendees

- Diane B. Bisom (Chair, UCR)
- Myra Appel (UCD)
- Marcia Barrett (UCSC)
- Heather Christenson (CDL)
- Elizabeth DuPuis (UCB)
- Vicki Grahame (UCI)
- Martha Hruska (UCSD)
- Polina E Ilieva (UCSF) - will join at around 1:30-1:45.
- Emily Lin (UCM)
- Janet Martorana (UCSB)
- Angela Riggio (LAUC) (Note Taker)
- Leslie Wolf (Portfolio Manager)
- Jean McKenzie (CLS Liaison)

Planned Absence

- Judy Consales (UCLA)

Guests:

- Rosalie Lack (CDL)
- Julie Lefevre (UCB)
- Emily Stambaugh (CDL)

Discussion Items

Time	Item	Who	Discussion Notes	Decisions/Actions
5min 1:00-1:05pm	Call to Order, Agenda Review, Announcements	Diane	Volunteer Timekeeper (Marcia) Time frame for linking discussion documents to the agendas – Optimum time for sending reminders, and optimum time for linking to the agenda (i.e., last date to link and expect group to have time to review in preparation for the meeting). Planned meeting dates through 2015 are posted, along with the proposed September 10, 2014 in-person meeting	Action: Group to consider how far in advance we need to provide/ link documents for discussion topics; get back to group via email Action: Group to look at calendars for meeting conflicts; hold date (9/10/14) for in-person meeting
20min	Major Agenda Item:			

1:05-1:25pm

WAS operations overview

Rosalie Lack

CA Gov Archive

Julie Lefvre

Rosalie will give an overview of WAS and an overview of the CA.gov proposal. Outcome is that folks will understand what WAS is and why it is important.

For ca.gov – Julie and Rosalie will be there to answer any questions folks have.

Presentation notes: WAS overview (Rosalie): Web archiving is an important part of our library mission to preserve cultural history. Besides UC institutions, several other institutions subscribe to the WAS Service. By archiving sites, we are building collections (by aggregating several sites as a new collection). WAS is used for preserving institutional sites, creating geographically focused collections, creating topical collections, and for event crawls (which have a distinct start & end date). WAS tools include a simple Curator Workflow (4 steps). A question was asked about cataloging the crawls/collections: UCSF catalogs them, it is doable! Rosalie noted that all UCs should start with archiving their institutional home pages. The UCOP site is being archived. Building collections is important; access is important. UC does not use the WAS service as much as other subscribing institutions.

Action: Diane B. Bisom and Leslie Wolf to put on a future agenda for follow-up with Julie and Rosalie

			<p>CA.GOV Archive (Julie): began in 2008, contains over 300 CA government websites, which were crawled between 2008-2010. Government sites change frequently; UC is the only entity archiving California government sites. There is a high rate of "link rot" (50%) for government sites. There is a renewed interest in reviving this project. Julie is the project manager, and the working group includes several campus curators. Estimate of 10-15 hours per week to get the crawls up and running again. Discussion about proposed storage costs per campus. This is a three year project; it will be re-evaluated after the three year period. A suggestion was made to build in an assessment piece for the project.</p>	
<p>25min 1:25-1:50pm</p>	<p>Major Agenda Item: Endorsement of Shared Print TF Roadmap Review SPST Road Map and Quarterly Report</p>	<p>Emily Stambaugh</p>	<p>Continued Discussion from 4/23 meeting. Desired Outcome: Endorsement of the Roadmap (specifically, the activities listed in the Timeline GANTT chart, pg. 6)</p>	<p>Action: SAG 3 to collect feedback from campuses; use set of questions submitted by Emily Stambaugh as a basis for discussion. Have comments in by next week so they can be posted to the wiki in advance of the meeting.</p> <p>Action: Diane to schedule on the next agenda</p>
<p>15min 1:50-2:05pm</p>	<p>CLS ebook Framework Lightning Team Report</p>	<p>Jean and Martha</p>	<p>Coordinating Committee has communicated that they "want to make sure that SAG 3 will review and also collect any comments from the other SAGs; sign off on it and then forward thru CC to CoUL for their approval and awareness."</p>	<p>Action: SAG 3 to post comments to the Wiki by May 21; Jean McKenzie will send to CLS for revision.</p>

<p>15min 2:05-2:20pm</p>	<p>Audio-Visual Preservation Task Force Update</p> <p>Federal Documents Archive Project Update (Fed Arc)</p>	<p>Beth</p>	<p>AV Preservation TF update: Revisions incorporated from SAG 2 and LAUC. Final charge completed. Group will begin work next week.</p> <p>Federal Documents Archive Project: charged in December; began work in January; difficult to position this group amidst other ongoing projects; developed core working principles (Beth has sent out the document). The project divided itself into 3 groups: records & workflows, digital archives, print archives – the groups gathered issues together and re-prioritized. New teams were developed and asked to surface issues and make recommendations. Highlights include: a project workflow group and a RLF collection analysis group. The decision was made to begin work with the USDA as a first project. Many issues have arisen and need to be considered and explored.</p>	<p>Action: Diane B. Bisom to send AV TF Charge to Marlo Young</p> <p>Action: SAG 3 to read documents; will revisit at a later meeting; send questions to Beth</p>
------------------------------	--	-------------	---	---

<p>5min 2:20-2:25pm</p>	<p>Updates</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transformative Publishing Models Pilot Review Team 2. Article Delivery Task Force 3. CLS 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transformative Publishing Models Pilot Review Team (email forwarded to SAG 3 on 5/6/2014) – Does SAG 3 have any comments or suggestions re membership? 2. Article Delivery TF – May 5, 2014 Email from Susan Parker (forwarded to SAG 3 on May 6th) 3. Sent after the meeting by Jean: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CLS endorsed the UC Articles Publishing Output model, with cost neutral impact on campuses for the three years of this proposal, and agreed that this model must be assessed. 2. Vendor Talking Points and Background Documents were finalized (and have been distributed) 3. CLS finalized its feedback to SAG 2 on the Transformative Publishing Models Charge. 	<p>Action: SAG 3 to look at documents for items 1 and 2. Please post comments to SAG 3 listserv.</p>
<p>5min 2:25-2:30pm</p>	<p>Wrap up and action steps</p>	<p>Note Taker and Portfolio Manager</p>	<p>Next/Future Agenda Items: NGTS Pilot – Shared e-Resources Cataloging (Goal: wrapping up the NGTS Pilots and sending a broad announcement)</p>	