

2015-01-21 Meeting Agenda and Minutes (Published)

Date

01-21-2015

1pm-2:30pm

Attendees

- Diane B. Bisom (Chair, UCR)
- Myra Appel (UCD)
- Heather Christenson (CDL)
- Elizabeth DuPuis (UCB)
- Vicki Grahame (UCI)
- Martha Hruska (UCSD) - Note taker
- Polina E Ilieva (UCSF)
- Emily Lin (UCM)
- Janet Martorana (UCSB)
- (UCSC – Kerry Scott will monitor SAG 3 listserv and wiki)
- Angela Riggio (LAUC)
- Jean McKenzie (CLS Liaison)

Planned Absence

Judy Consales (UCLA)

Guests:

Rosalie Lack (CDL)

Julie Leferve (UCB)

Discussion Items

Time	Item	Who	Discussion Notes	Decisions/Actions
5min	Call to Order, Agenda Review, Announcements	Diane Diane Diane	Volunteer Timekeeper Kerry Scott will monitor SAG 3 for UCSC, and has been added to the SAG 3 Listserv and wiki	
1:00-1:05pm	UCSC Representative Update CC/SAG Chairs Call Report		Diane reported on the CC/SAG/CLS Chairs call. Discussion focused on the workplans and who was taking the lead. Raised some questions for CC to take back to CoUL. Discussed a more iterative process for setting Plans and Priorities.	

20min 1:05 - 1:25	Updates Coordinating Committee CLS FedDocArc Portico CCDD Proposal Update Collection Librarian/Bib Group Guidelines Shared ILS	Martha Jean Beth Diane Heather Janet/Beth/Diane Vicki	<p>CC working on sending the workplans to CoUL via Ginny. Will discuss on CC call this Friday.</p> <p>CLS met with SAG 3 reps on Collection Groups. Discussed Shared ILS report, some campuses need to migrate fairly soon. CLS wants to request involvement in planning for ERMS whenever that happens. Decided not to pursue Clinical Key, now covered on Barriers to Licensing page</p> <p>CoUL approved Fed Docs proposal related to disposition options, is signing the Shared Housing Agreement, and agreed to actively advocate to open federal docs for full view for general users via HathiTrust. Good forward progress.</p> <p>Diane received a response on the Portico issue from Ivy, which she has now forwarded. Ivy's response suggests some action steps to take for an ongoing Portico process. Ivy will share response with CLS.</p> <p>Heather met with CoUL on CCDD proposal Friday. CoUL endorsed the proposal, will want to be consulted before signing. Agreement should be negotiated by one person. Discussed overall philosophy about things being open vs partially embargoed with vendor as in this case. Still OK to go forward now. Expect to get response soon from Lorelei on next steps for working with CoUL.</p> <p>Update from participation on January 9th CLS conference call.</p> <p>Updated/revised Guidelines</p> <p>Collection Group proposal revision, based on discussion with CLS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • who should be liaison with these groups? JSC and CLS roles. • SAG 3 liaisons and reports to emphasize broader scope. • Reporting section, change from 'committees' to 'bodies' • liaisons role be a one-to-many approach? <p>M Breeding is asking if he can use general information from the report he did for us in other work he is doing.</p>	<p>CC: Access to CLS wiki to SAG chairs now working.</p> <p>Note: still waiting on direction from CoUL on next steps about Shared ILS report. Some campuses are discussing. Others waiting.</p> <p>Portico: Ivy will join on Feb. 11 call. Will need to determine which next steps should go to a task group, which to higher level.</p> <p>CCDD: Heather to write up charge and share with SAG 3. Discuss on Feb. 11.</p> <p>Collection Groups: Will go on Feb. 11 agenda. Everyone should consider how to handle SAG3 liaison role. Diane will send edit to the Revised Guidelines with Track Changes on.</p> <p>ILS: Did not have time to discuss.</p>
----------------------	---	---	--	---

<p>30min 1:25 - 1:55</p>	<p>Major Agenda Item WAS transition CA.gov project</p>	<p>Rosalie Lack Julie Lefevre</p>	<p>PROPOSAL for a UC Libraries collections migration to Archive-It</p> <p>Rosalie Lack joined to give an overview of the Web Archiving Services transition. Based on a CDL assessment of WAS, it was determined there was a need for major upgrades. They looked at opportunity costs. worked with peer institutions, concluded that to share resources the core infrastructure costs collaboratively didn't really make sense. Collectively decided to move to Archive It, run by IA. and partner with others to build tool on top of Archive It. Will be looking for some funding to help define what that means.</p> <p>2 steps now:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Migrate content. Transition to UC campuses should be done by end of June. 2. Build tools <p>Discussed issue of Collaborative Collection Development, need to assess what we are collaboratively, collectively doing, ongoing costs.</p> <p>CA.gov group is gathering that kind of data now and can report what their experience is.</p> <p>RL needs a group to set up best practices, learn ArchiveIt, one to two people from each campus. Operations Team vs CKG? Name a task force now to do the migration. After migration, reevaluate and SAG3 comes back to bigger question.</p> <p>CDL web collections, CA.gov collection. Migration would be expedited if these went into UC Libraries collection. CDL would cover costs to move these over.</p> <p>Julie supports as good plan to migrate CA.gov. Crawled election sites last fall. Agreement about naming the collections UC Libraries.</p> <p>Live vs not-live? Shouldn't they all be live? Need policies to determine best practices. How do these connect to catalogs? Some campuses have put records in catalog.</p> <p>CDL does not plan to crawl on level they have in the past.</p> <p>See this week's New Yorker article on Internet Archiving.</p>	<p>SAG 3 will continue discussion; set up a task force instead of CKG to manage the transition, invite Rosalie back.</p>
<p>20min 1:55 - 2:15</p>	<p>Major Agenda Item A/V Preservation</p>	<p>Beth</p>	<p>Revised draft of letter to CoUL (1/20/15 at 1:30p)</p> <p>AV Preservation Task Force report (from fall 2014)</p> <p>New revised draft discussed by small group, drafted by Beth. Less focus on infrastructure issues, ask CoUL for input on focus, and level of interest, guidance on grant or other external funding possibilities. Wanted to convey urgency of the issue and need to get started doing something. Aim to get to CC and on to CoUL for their Feb. 19 agenda to do that, needs to go to CoUL 1/23.</p>	<p>Agreement this should go forward to CoUL via CC. Diane will also send fyi to original TF.</p>
<p>10min 2:15 - 2:25</p>	<p>Next/Future Agenda items</p>	<p>All</p>		
<p>5min 2:25 - 2:30</p>	<p>Wrap up and action steps</p>	<p>Note Taker</p>		