

CAMCIG Conference Call
Monday, June 7, 2010
2:30 - 4:00 p.m.

Present: L. Rowlison de Ortiz (UCB, joined late), Xiaoli Li (UCD, chair), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI, recorder in place of L. Rowlison de Ortiz), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Manuel Urrizola (UCR), L. Barnhart (UCSD), Nina Meechoonuk (UCSF), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC).

1. Announcements

Davis's AUL for TS is leaving after two years of service. Her last day is at the end of the month. UCD is also currently recruiting for UL. The third candidate's interview was on Friday—3 candidates.

Berkeley is investigating authorities outsourcing. L. Rowlison de Ortiz will email the group requesting information from those who are outsourcing.

2. Single vs. separate records report (sent on May 25th, 2010) -- questions, clarifications, and/or comments.

Corrections and clarifications on the report (S. Shatford Layne & J. Dooley):

- The Marcive numbers were backwards. Actually, 25% of the records were single record approach and 75% were separate records.
- The use of "short-term" in the report is meant to refer to the period before the implementation takes place.
- The NGM Steering Group charged the sub-group to look at the separate vs. single record issue again because conditions have changed dramatically since the last examination—and there may be significant long-term savings associated with eliminating the single record approach.
- The sub-group's final report will be submitted to the Implementation Team and the NextGen Technical Services Group.

S. Shatford Layne would like each campus to send their response to her including which of the points they support or don't support by June 11. Irvine, Davis, & Merced have sent their responses. The other campuses are working on them.

CAMCIG's discussion of the report shows a preliminary consensus for recommending separate records for SCP prospectively, and then retrospectively. It was noted that every campus has a way to identify SCP records in their database. Major considerations discussed were:

- Public Services at some campuses are still concerned about displays created by separate records since FRBRized displays are not available.
- How would separate records work with RDA records?

- Display issues created by print records that have 776 fields for the net version on the print record (this is a national standard).
- Some campuses have a majority of their records as single records while at least one has separate records for everything.

3. RDA readiness (ongoing discussion topic):

System-wide RDA training in webinar format -- when, who, what, and how? :

- S. Shatford Layne will organize a webinar based on UCLA's. She plans to present part, and hopes to have the UC testers present in their areas of expertise. X. Li asked M. Urrizola to assist with the organization. Two sessions are planned—one the week of July 19 and the other the week of July 26. They will be scheduled on different days of the week with one session in the morning and one in the afternoon.
- Webinar training focus: How to recognize an RDA record and what to do with it.
- X. Li will set up dates for sessions on Doodle.

UC wide policy regarding RDA: The group agreed that a UC wide policy was not necessary or even possible at this point. The soonest the group will address this is after ALA. CAMCIG members will network with our colleagues at ALA for their plans. If a UC campus develops a local policy, consider sending to CAMCIG.

Influencing decisions relating to RDA: X. Li will draft a request to send to HOTS that UC people who are members of Big Heads use their influence to promote the UC libraries' concerns about RDA testing and implementation. She will send it to CAMCIG members for comment, and then send to John Reimer. (S. Shatford Layne will give him a heads up.). The concerns addressed will be: OCLC's restriction on not adding AACR2 records if an RDA record exists; a request that no RDA generated changes to 1xx or 5xx fields in authority records be made until testing and policy is finalized; expanded free access during the test period.

Consortial RDA subscription(s): Discussion postponed until after ALA.

- 4. Scheduling an in-person CAMCIG meeting (informal) at ALA:** The group agreed that X. Li should try to set-up an in-person meeting via Doodle.
- 5. July CAMCIG meeting:** July 12, 10:30-12:00