

CAMCIG Conference Call
Minutes
August 1, 2011, 2:30-4:00 pm

Present: Sherry Whillite (CDL), Adolfo Tarango (SCP), Armanda Barone (UCB), Xiaoli Li (UCD, chair), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Heidi Hutchinson (UCR), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Tasha Keagan (UCSC, recorder), Bea Mallek (UCSF)

Absent: Linda Barnhart (UCSD)

1. Announcements

- CAMCIG has acquired two new members: Sherry Whillite (CDL) and Tasha Keagan (UCSC)
- Xiaoli's term as chair will conclude at the end of August. The next campus in the rotation is UCI and Wanda will become the new chair as of September 1.
- Several are participating in the Power of Three Groups, as follows:
 - Adolfo and Armanda, POT#5 (Maximize effectiveness of Shared Cataloging)
 - Linda and Lisa Rowlison de Ortiz, POT#2-1 (Define and Implement a UC Consortial Shelf-Ready Program and implement a "good enough" record standard)
 - Adriana Moran (CDL), POT#4 (Simplify the recharge process)
 - Vicki Grahame and John Riemer (UCI), POT#6 (Develop system-wide Collections Services staffing)
 - Jim, POT#7 (Transform Collection Development Practices)
- Adolfo will be liaison from ALCTS Continuing Resource section to CC:DA
- Holly Tomren will be leaving UCI to accept a position at Drexel; Wanda is now acting cataloging department head in addition to head of catalog maintenance
- NISO/DCMI webinar on "International Bibliographic Standards, Linked Data, and the Impact on Library Cataloging," to be held August 24, 2011

2. Annual report - what have we done and what do we want to accomplish for next year?

- Xiaoli reviewed the relevance and history of last year's goals, which were emailed to the CAMCIG listserv, and requested suggestions for this year's goals; members agreed that the prior year's goals are valid and should carry over to the current year

3. The role of the CAMCIG liaison with HOTS

- In general, HOTS has a liaison to all groups that report to it and members concur that it would be beneficial for HOTS to appoint a liaison to CAMCIG (HOTS could consider appointing an existing CAMCIG member who is already on HOTS) for the purpose of facilitating information transfer, and providing HOTS' perspective and issue clarification. In the past, many CAMCIG members were also HOTS members, but at present, this is only true of Jim Dooley; Jim has agreed to act as liaison.

4. Round Robin report on RDA readiness – training, display of RDA records, subscription to the Toolkit, etc.

- Davis: Has conducted brief training on differences between RDA and AACR2 and has introduced FRBR concepts. May gradually begin introducing concepts again. Catalogers like the linkage between OCLC Connexion and the RDA Toolkit (i.e., MARC field help). May begin by having one or two original catalogers create one or two RDA records a week, to begin understanding coding.
- Berkeley: Has discussed possibility of obtaining Toolkit, but will likely not act until next year. Would welcome UC-wide training session. Catalogers have attended many FRBR and RDA workshops, but no formal in-house training has occurred. Armanda has a list going of all webinars, and can send list of accumulated links for RDA and FRBR sessions.
- Los Angeles: Has had Toolkit since it became available. Has broadcast webinars to groups and is also commencing monthly discussions focusing on background concepts, such as FRBR and linked data.
- Riverside: Department head has conducted one training session each in RDA and FRBR. Has discussed and formulated guidelines for working with RDA records in OCLC, based on UCSD's plan. Subscribes to Toolkit. Looks forward to UC-wide activities.
- UCSD (SCP is waiting to follow UCSD's lead) has Toolkit. Has started discussing how to structure RDA training, teach broader concepts of FRBR, but not doing RDA cataloging at this time. Adolfo suggests Introducing RDA: A Guide to the Basics, as a good, high-level overview. UCSD may use book as a guide, assigning staff chapters to read, paired with department discussions. Within tpot.ucsd.edu, there is a selection of links to RDA-related materials
<http://tpot.ucsd.edu/catpolicies/cattoolsresources/index.html>.

- San Francisco: Setting up Toolkit subscription now. Has viewed a FRBR and an RDA webinar. Has established basic guidelines for processing RDA records, based on UCSD plans. UCSF has only one original cataloger. Other staff assist mainly with copy-cataloging, so their initial involvement in FRBR would be limited.
- Santa Barbara: Has Toolkit, but has not started actively training. Catalogers will meet tomorrow to plan their next moves regarding a FRBR study group. Not currently using RDA.
- Santa Cruz: Does not have Toolkit. Awaiting UC guidance or cooperative efforts. Individual catalogers have attended few webinars, but formal training has not occurred or been planned. Has initiated Millennium ILS service commitment to ensure load tables will permit new RDA fields.
- Irvine: Has Toolkit, has done training in recognition and processing of RDA records, and viewed webinars, but no plans to do additional training right now. Considering presenting new ALCTS webinars to groups, but wondering if these will be available as free webinars in the future. Cautious about doing in-depth training far in advance of implementation. Regarding UC wide training: thinks we should be utilizing the excellent presentations that are already out there and be careful not to duplicate their coverage.
- Merced: Not subscribed to Toolkit and does little original cataloging. Has “wait and see” attitude with respect to RDA.
- Following round robin report on RDA readiness, the group questioned whether CAMCIG might wish to compile bibliographies, lists of RDA/FRBR resources, etc. into a common location on the CAMCIG web page, or elsewhere. This list could be peer-reviewed. As new chair, will add this to the next meeting agenda for further discussion. Sara indicated that there may be someone at UCLA who could help. In the meantime, resources may be sent to the listserv.

5. Updates on LHRs - information sharing

- SRLF holdings for circulating materials have been set, but LHRs for SRLF serials have not yet been sent. SRLF/UCLA hope to begin batch loads of ZAS LHRs in OCLC before the end of the summer. Armanda will inquire about status of NRLF LHR project. Sherry will forward the link to CDL’s LHR Implementation Progress page.
- San Diego and UCLA are creating test LHRs for OCLC shared print archive projects. Each campus has a shared print holdings symbol (“sp” appended to end to indicate shared print).

6. Updates on loading the local bibliographic data - information sharing separate but associated record, incorporated into master record, or appropriate for LHR
 - UCSD has not acted on loading local data, but has systematically reviewed local edits in the past couple years. That process has enabled them to consider where different pieces of local would go (master record, etc.), and has caused UCSD to eliminate many local data.
 - Davis began reducing local edits and encouraging network-level editing a couple years ago, but many legacy records and data exist. Davis would like public service input in order to assess the priority of local data projects.
 - Xiaoli suggested that it may be helpful if CDL were to set up a webinar with OCLC to discuss local data, in lieu of campuses conducting individual investigations. Wanda will place this issue on next month's agenda and Sherry will work with Wanda if need and interest exists in a webinar or other meeting to address top questions about local data.
7. Submitting Electronic theses and dissertations (ETDs) to Merritt – what types of metadata does your campus provide? How is the data been submitted?
 - Davis has accumulated 500 and is waiting to submit to Merritt. Currently local policy, rather than technical issues, needs to be addressed before progress can continue.
 - UCSD is sending ETDs to Merritt. The ETDs are loaded locally, then a METS record containing MODS descriptive metadata (mostly from MARC record), and PREMIS for copyright and structural metadata, is sent to Merritt.
 - Xiaoli raised question: CDL indicates that it can accept the DISS record, which is student submitted metadata. Using this record would be easiest way to deliver metadata to Merritt. Are campuses using that approach, rather than manual review and creation of new metadata? So far, no one is using this approach and few campuses are sending ETDs.
8. Others
 - September meeting will be rescheduled due to Labor Day, announcement forthcoming
 - Any changes to June minutes should be sent to Xiaoli by the end of Friday, August 5
 - Xiaoli will update the member list and load June minutes to the CAMCIG website