CAMCIG Conference Call
Updated Minutes

March 5, 2012

Present: Armanda Barone (UCB), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI, Chair), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM) Heidi Hutchinson (UCR, recorder), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Bea Mallek (UCSF), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD/SCP), Laura Krier (CDL), Yi-Yen Hayford (UCSC)

1. Announcements

UCD has completed the interview process for all 3 candidates for the Librarian position.

2. Melvyl updates

Please see John Reimer's email to SCP-AC on Feb. 23, 2012 about the quarterly WCL software installation which took place over the weekend

3. SCP updates

The transition to Unicode has been delayed since both issues with diacritics issue and missing characters for CJK have yet to be resolved; still awaiting response from Innovative for the mapping of Unicode with MARC-8. The EEBO records will be distributed once the transition to Unicode is complete.

Some campuses are still using MARC-8 and waiting for final decision on Unicode-8.

4. Updates on Pots and Lighting Teams participation

The current physical processing review is only focusing on the print format. The survey may be expanded to include other formats in the future.

UCB and UCSB still practice penciling call no. in all their books. UCSC only pencil call no. in the books that are processed in-house. However, they do not require their vendor to pencil in call numbers for the Shelf-Ready materials. Whereas the other campuses (UCSD, UCI, UCLA , UCR, UCSF, UCM and UCSD) have discontinued this process.

Wanda will submit the CAMCIG POT5 Lightning Team report in the following week.

5. LC announcement on RDA implementation

UCSD has reinforced their RDA training timeline and will be sharing their progress with CAMCIG. They do not anticipated that this will have any impact on other campuses at this time.

6. Discussion of on Hathi Trust related holdings data

There are several questions that require clarification from Hathi Trust, including whether integrating resources should be included with the serials file and the definition of "item" which would affect how records are outputted depending on the requisite granular level.

Also, questions of whether access would be made available to ALL campuses 1) if any UC or RLF have the title? 2) and for items held in common -- shared print materials (Springer)?

UCM will not be able to include any local system ID number after next year since they are switching to Webscale. Campuses are unable to include information on the physical condition of the items or past withdrawn items in their data transmissions. It would be necessary to keep track of withdrawals beginning next year for future access.

The question remains as to whether the data must be consistent across all campuses or simply consistent for each individual campus? Sample batch of records can be send to Hathi for testing.

Wanda will draft a table to collect responses from each campus by email and submit a preliminary report to HOTS with the caveat that our response may change depending on Hathi's answers to our questions.

7. CAMCIG Lightning Team

Wanda will send a copy of the cost report to Jim and attached it to the wiki.

The Lightning Team does not recommend augmenting the SCP records distribution procedure via OCLC due to technical challenges and also labor intensive. Adolfo reported that record retrieval via Z39.50 is at 2,000 and keyword searches cap at 500.

8. Question about record standard for MELVYL records

The question of whether the minimal MARC standard is still in effect since it was setup for pre-Aleph MELVYL and therefore obsolete.   Due to time constraints, we will continue this discussion at April's conference call. Meanwhile, Wanda will contact Lisa and Linda about the status of the current OCLC standard.