CAMCIG Conference Call
Minutes
Date: Sept. 12, 2012
Time: 9:00 - 10:30 p.m.

Present: Armanda Barone (UCB), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI, Chair), Jim Dooley (UCM), Yoko Kudo (UCR), Adolfo Tarango (UCSD/SCP), Bea Mallek (UCSF), Laura Krier (CDL), Sara Shatford Layne (UCLA), Jim Dooley (UCM), Anna DeVore (UCSB), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Yi-Yen C. Hayford (UCSC)

Recorder: Barone

****

Agenda:

1. Announcements

- UCR: Metadata & Technical Services Dept. reorganized into two departments: Catalog Dept. and Acquisitions (reporting to Collection Development)
- UCSD: Reorganization moving forward. 3 AULs now have six chosen program directors each having done a presentation to the Administrative group on high level overviews of their visions and objectives. Now, moving to second stage with more details on staffing and resource needs.

2. Melvyl updates (standing topic-Laura Krier)

- Laura Krier (CDL) will now handle the future "Melyvl updates"
- UCSD and UCD shared print catalog records have now had their shared print holdings symbols added to Melvyl. If title(s) are not there, let Laura know. Waiting on NRLF and when their SP symbol will be added to Melvyl.

3. SCP updates (standing topic-Adolfo Tarango)

- Have received acknowledgment that all campuses have gotten their EEBO CD-Roms.
- EEBO serials – will be coming in next week's file. Some are for multiple providers so they will have multiple URLs.
- Campuses can decide when to load the serials, but they will need to be loaded before any future SCP files.
- The next scheduled SCP monthly update will be on Friday, Sept. 14th.

4. Updates on Pots and Lighting Teams participation (standing topic)

- **POT 1, LT 3b**-- Evaluate WorldCat Local's ability to meet the discovery and display features/requirements of the UC LIbrary Digital Collection.
- **POT2.2** final report (sent on 9/6)—send implementation comments/questions to the list for discussion at the October meeting.
- **POT 5**-- Completing its remaining task of evaluating current SCP cataloging priorities and to recommend a Strategic Action Pilot that could test an additional, alternative model for system-wide shared cataloging. Survey sent and POT members now reviewing survey results. No surprises (e.g. what packages cataloged, status of cataloging, etc.) Desire to include bibliographers/liaisons input in cataloging priority discussions one of the main recommendations. E-resource tracking page popular and useful, but needs to be more visible.
- **POT 7**-- The group has charged lightning team to conduct work on redefining the role of the bibliographer. LT moving on how best to gather input widely.

5. SPIP charge--recommendations due 9/14/2012

- Finalize response and guidelines drafted by subgroup. Do we want to change anything in light of UCSD's comments? FromAdolfo's Aug. 18 email:

ACTION: Wanda will edit our response and guidelines to include discussion comments/edits.

UCSD's cataloging managers reviewed the SPiP documentation and provided the following comments:

1. *In making the title hook entries in the Master List, we hope to be able to hyperlink that text so that a canned search for that collection is trigger in our OPAC. If possible, we recommend others do the same as it would save users some time.*

   ACTION: Yes, all agree. Wanda will incorporate into report.

2. *We don't have a property stamp as of yet so we:*

   a. *Think it would be a good idea to have standard text that all the campuses use for the property stamp so it is recognized systemwide*

      UCM currently uses "UCL Shared Print". All agree campuses should use standard text and fine with "UCL Shared Print".

      ACTION: Wanda will incorporate this into the guidelines, as well as, share with the acquisitions group(s) reviewing their processes.

   b. *Wonder if these stamps will be purchased centrally and distributed to the campuses*

      ACTION: Group decided not to pursue this suggestion.

3. *Question the option for using **only** the base campus symbol when setting holdings in OCLC*

   There is still a lot of discussion happening around this issue, so group felt best not to change guidelines.

4. *Recommend being more prescriptive about using a label in addition to the property stamp as a mechanism for identifying SPiP materials*

   Issues discussed:

- Same label may not work from one campus library to another
- Why add more steps when the property stamp already identifies material as shared print
- Having the label is additional way for staff to quickly identify material as shared print

ACTION: Group decided to leave options, but change "optionally" to "optimally".

6. Other?

Shared Print in Place cataloging: what are campuses doing?

UCLA brief description of how they implemented the Additive approach for Shared Print:

(1) Got agreement from selectors that they could commit all the issues currently associated with a single holdings record in system to Shared Print, even if the project asked that just a subset of the issues be committed. This approach was felt to be simpler for library users as well as for library staff.

(2) Add a 583 field to the holdings record, with the Shared Print project in a $f (e.g., WEST).

(3) For LHR extracts, extract the holdings record as usual and submit it under their base symbol; in addition, use the presence of specific text in the 583 $f to identify holdings records to be extracted and submitted under their xxxSP symbol.

This approach is significantly less time-intensive for staff, as well as less confusing for users of their local system.

The 583 information from their base holdings records can display in Melvyl, showing that the holdings are Shared Print. They have also asked that the xxxSP LHRs do NOT display in Melvyl, but that issue has not yet been resolved.

Bea's indexing question: send her index tables to review.

Last year's annual report mounted on CAMCIG website.

Additions to SPiP sample list: send to Wanda by 3pm, Wed., Sept. 12th.