CAMCIG Minutes
Monday, February 2, 2009
2:30 – 4:00 p.m.

Present: Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Armanda Barone (UCB-Chair), Rebecca Doherty (CDL-recorder), Jim Dooley (UCM), Brad Eden (UCSB), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI), Sara Layne (UCLA), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Nina Meechoonuk (UCSF), Manuel Urrizola (UCR)

1. Announcements/Updates

UCD has obtained OCLC Enhance authorization for visual materials.
ACTION Xiaili: send Armanda a reminder to have the CAMCIG website (Campus Practices/OCLC Enhance) updated.

2. CalDocs Pilot Project

* Update on CalDocs harvesting algorithm (see Linda's 1/21/09 email)

During the January conference call discussion of the Cal Docs "SCP Proposal", the group proposed modifying the harvesting algorithm to limit records to just those with UC or state library symbols in the 040 field. The goal was to reduce the number of problem records retrieved by the existing algorithm. Linda consulted with SCP staff and sent an update to CAMCIG on 1/21/09.

SCP proposed yet a different algorithm, less inclusive than the existing one, but more inclusive than CAMCIG's. Both algorithms (for a full description see Linda's memo) were tested by Donal O'Sullivan. The CAMCIG method resulted in 48 records (29 were monographs). The SCP method resulted in 72 records (50 were monographs). Both were an obvious improvement over the existing algorithm which netted 990.
Linda clarified that elimination of serials from retrieval sets was a manual process and not part of the algorithms. But it was also noted that serials could be added back manually, as a result of request by email.

Donal wanted a decision from CAMCIG as soon as possible because the December records haven't been distributed yet and it will soon be time to gather the January ones. A discussion of the two proposals resulted in all but UCI ready to support the SCP method.
ACTION Wanda: discuss the issues with her UCI staff and report back.

Although the Cal Docs records are being included in the SCP distribution files, not all campuses are loading them locally.

* Drafting the report

ACTION All: Each CAMCIG member will gather information on the project from its campus staff and report it to Armanda by the March 2 conference call. This should include selector and cataloger feedback, issues, problems, and available statistics. Armanda will then begin putting it all together.

3. UC-wide Training Update (Sara, Linda, Xiaoli)

Sara sent the group a list of possible dates and times for the CAMCIG pilot Webinar training on Parallel Records. **ACTION All:** Let her know this week if any will not work.

The presentation will use Elluminate software and should be doable with speaker phones and standard hookups. There were several questions about logistics and Sara will try to find an FAQ link to send to the group.

4. Reprint Subgroup (Xiaoli, Wanda)

They are close to finishing the first part of the charge, which is how to handle reprints at the RLF. The final recommendation on this aspect should be ready to send to CAMCIG this week. They've also made some progress on the second part, which is how to handle preservation microfilms.

5. CAMCIG Member Organization information of website

ACTION All: Everyone should check the website to make sure that information is up to date.

6. Reclamation Updates

UCB: is done
UCD: is working on unresolved records
UCI: is hoping to get its records back from Backstage soon so it can proceed with reclamation.
UCLA: has not done
UCR: is in the middle of the process
UCSD: will do after SCP reclamation
UCSF: has not yet begun

*Draft SCP reclamation plan (Linda)

Linda sent the draft plan to the group on 1/29/09. CAMCIG doesn't need to go over it at a detailed level, but just needs to be informed about the general approach. The SCP reclamation group will meet on 2/3/09 to go over paperwork and start filling out forms in preparation for initiating discussions with OCLC. There's no sense yet of how long it will take. They are hoping if it begins in February, it might be finished by the end of March. While SCP is working with OCLC, the campuses should have several weeks to think about the last step of the plan -- the redistribution of records.

7. Final approval of the separate record policy for government document monographs

At the January meeting CAMCIG gave general support for separate records – but agreed to consult with campus staff about issues and concerns before final approval. All campuses were ok with separate records except UCSB, where there are still some concerns, especially about the buy in by public services.

ACTION Armanda: draft a policy document for the CAMCIG website and send it to the group for comment. It will include a statement to address public services concerns.

There is uncertainty about whether or not CSL is moving to separate records for California Documents, which would match federal policy. **ACTION Brad:** follow up with his contact at CSL for the latest information.