

CAMCIG Conference Call
January 8, 2007

Present: Linda Barnhart (UCSD--chair), Karleen Darr (UCD), Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Jim Dooley (UCM), Brad Eden (UCSB), Vicki Grahame (UCI), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC--recorder), Sara Layne (UCLA), Sharon Scott (UCR), Amy Weiss (UCSB)

Absent: Bea Mallek (UCSF); Armanda Barone (UCB)

Conference call convened 2:35 p.m.

SINGLE/SEPARATE RECORD POLICY FOR SERIALS

HOTS has put the CAMCIG report on Single/Separate Record Policy for Serials on hold because there are too many unknowns. The first unknown is OCLC's possible emergence as a UC systemwide catalog. The second is the concern about Melvyl being overwhelmed by the doubling of records from the massive digitization projects if UC were to adopt a separate record policy. The third is the possibility of a single UC record/UC location symbol. HOTS could not clarify exactly what it thinks CAMCIG should be exploring and there is no further charge for CAMCIG on this matter. However, CAMCIG feels that the report will be helpful in the future when the issue is revived again.

METS TRAINING

HOTS approved CAMCIG's proposal for systemwide METS training and feels that SOPAG only needs to be informed since only in-house expertise and/or UC archivists will be approached to offer the training. Campuses will be expected to pay for training expenses (mostly travel). Brad (chair), Sharon and Karleen have kindly volunteered to form an informal sub-committee to put forward a proposal or even a survey by the end of January for the next steps.

NAXOS RECORDS and RELATOR CODES

SCP has turned the Naxos records on as a Tier 2 package. CAMCIG thinks that SCP can distribute the records with the relator codes unless Linda is hearing otherwise from the campuses not responding during the call (UCSF, UCB, UCLA).

Responses from campuses at the conference call:

Davis - Does not use relator codes. Will strip them via a load table

Irvine - Has relator codes in records but does not index them

Merced - Has relator codes in records but does not index them

Riverside - Does not use relator codes and strips them out upon loading. But does not object to having them sent in records.

San Diego - Wants them (but would prefer a specific subset of the terms)

Santa Barbara - Wants them
Santa Cruz - Wants them

Responses from campuses after the conference call:

Berkeley: Bancroft Library - Bancroft uses \$4 relator codes. We use a fairly small set of them, but have expanded our usage on occasion. We also follow \$4 with a \$5CU-BANC. I see no problem with allowing \$4 relator codes in with these records.

Music Library - Yes, SCP should include them all. It is standard cataloging practice for music materials, and we use them consistently for conductors, performers, arrangers, librettists, etc. in all formats.

Los Angeles - Has relator codes in copy-cataloged records; codes are indexed in general keyword index

One larger question is to clarify the role for SCP AC and CAMCIG on issues like this. SCP AC does not need to have expertise in every area, and it can determine policy for shared cataloging while CAMCIG will be responsible for local policies. The two groups should always talk to each other.

JAPANESE CATALOGING GROUP REPORT

Linda will distribute the revised report to CAMCIG soon.

McMASTER UNIVERSITY BLOG REPORT FROM ARMANDA and ALCTS FUTURE OF BIBLIOGRAPHIC CONTROL FROM AMY

Members may want to be alert about these new developments and documents.

ARCHIVISTS TOOLKIT

Linda will talk with the Metadata Librarian and Digital Archivist at UCSD to see if this tool holds any potential for how archivists and technical services can integrate their work.

AGENDA-BUILDING FOR FEB. 5 CONFERENCE CALL

Will wait after ALA to decide what issues need to be covered at the following call.

Call ended 3:50 pm.