CAMCIG Conference Call Minutes
March 2, 2009
2:30-4:00 PM

Present: Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Armanda Barone (UCB-Chair), Jim Dooley (UCM), Rebecca
Doherty (CDL), Brad Eden (UCSB), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI-recorder), Sara Layne (UCLA),
Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC), Xiaoli Li (UCD-recorder), Nina Meechoonuk (UCSF), Manuel
Urrizola (UCR)

1. Announcements/Updates
Next-Generation Technical Services Steering Team will hold its all-day meeting Friday
(3/6) in Oakland.

2. CalDocs Report
Discussion was focused on the four recommendations. The following changes have been
suggested: a) revise the recommendation #1 to incorporate some possible next steps; b)
reassess the project at the end of August 2009, not in a year.

Armanda will send a revised report to the group. Once it's finalized, the report will be
forwarded to HOTS and posted on the CAMCIG website as well.

3. UC Training on Parallel Records (see agenda Sara sent on 2/23/09)
The training will take place on March 3. The agenda was distributed last week. There is
no limit on how many people can participate. Testing the workstation that will be used
for this webinar is highly recommended. Participants will be able to "ask" questions
using the chat feature. The training session will be recorded.

4. UC LHR training (see Linda's email sent out 2/17/09)
UCSD has received some feedback. A conference call will be set up with OCLC Western
(Margi Mann) to discuss UC's needs. If you are interested in participating in the call,
send an email to either Linda or Shirley Higgins at sahiggins@ucsd.edu.

5. Reprint Subgroup (Xiaoli; see report sent out on 2/19/09 and survey
sent out on 2/17/09)
Several members commented it's an excellent report. The recommendation of providing
training on cataloging reprints also received positive feedback. March 6 was given as the
deadline for submitting any additional comments. Once CAMCIG endorses the
recommendations, the report will be forward to HOTS for their approval.

The survey on preservation microfilm distributed on 2/17/09 is due today.

6. OCLC Expert Community Experiment: how each campus is (or is not)
implementing? Implications for moving ahead in "cataloging at the network level"?
(question from Linda)
Campuses are participating in this pilot at a different pace. Some campuses let their
catalogers to make their judgments on whether to enhance a record; some campuses plan

to offer more training especially on what are considered appropriate to input into an OCLC master record. For those who have tried the pilot really enjoyed the privilege/convenience to correct/enhance records which they could not do before.

HOTS will discuss this topic at their March 9's conference call.

7. Single/multiple serial records (see Lee Leighton's email sent 2/28/09)
The questions raised were: a) should those records be merged as it has been done in OCLC? Implication: re-labeling of physical volumes may be needed; b) if those records are not merged in local ILS, is there any impact on LHRs? Lai-Ying has sent an example record to SCP to review. SCP will investigate the issue and report back.

UCLA and UCD suggest that it's always a good practice to follow cataloging decisions by CONSER institutions. This will enable the campuses to take advantage of record maintenance work done by others.

8. What is each campus doing or planning to do with Google book records? (Wanda)
The question is specifically referring to the situation when the item to be cataloged is a print, can a Google book record be used? The following general guidelines were suggested:
1) if the Google book record is a composite record, catalogers can use the record. But they need to decide if they want to download the fields related to Google digitization project (i.e, 007, 533, 856, etc.) into their local ILS or not. If the URLs in the 856 field do not point to full-text, catalogers should delete those fields;
2) If the Google book record is for an electronic version, catalogers should not use the record.

9. ALA news/updates (forgot to ask this last month)
No discussions due to lack of time.

10. Reclamation Updates
SCP Reclamation project order forms have been submitted. SCP will not receive OCLC master records. Each campus needs to "weigh in" on their preferred technique for handling the records following SCP Reclamation. SCP needs to make a decision on which plan (out of the 5 five plans) to use on March 16th when SCP Advisor Committee will hold its conference call.

One question was raised: can SCP offers a cross-reference report listing the numbers which are currently in the 001 field (such as OCLC record number, the vendor record number, or the EO record number) and the corresponding newly-received OCLC numbers? Linda will investigate.

Next phone call: April 6th, 2009
Recorder: Linda Barnhart