

**CAMCIG Conference Call Minutes**  
**November 3, 2008**  
**2:30-4:00 PM**

Present: Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Armanda Barone (UCB-Chair), Jim Dooley (UCM), Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Brad Eden (UCSB), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI-recorder), Sara Layne (UCLA), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Bea Mallek, Nina Meechoonuk (UCSF), Manuel Urrizola (UCR)

Absent: Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC)

**1. Announcements/Updates**

Welcome new member, Nina Meechoonuk, UCSF's new Metadata Librarian, replacing Bea Mallek.

**2. Report on 10/16/09 SOPAG meeting (Brad)**

- Discussion of coordinated TS. Goals: eliminate redundancies.
- Envision that work will be based on the BSTF model with an Implementation team and an Executive team.
- Will hear more as HOTS works on this. No one on HOTS has heard anything since SOPAG. Brad doesn't think we will hear anything until early next year.

**3. California documents: the "SCP proposal":**

- **Ability for URL to be updated without overlaying complete record:** Investigation in process. So far: SCP couldn't figure out a way to do that in an automated way.
- **CalDocs: the meaning of "new" and "updated" records:** Claudia Hornung will look at CalDoc harvesting to see if any patterns/problems with matching. If analysis were to reveal a high percentage of duplicates or dead links, we would reconsider whether or not to do this at all.

**4. California documents: the "UCB proposal":**

- Five campuses news/updates: UCI-- procedures ready, some titles selected; UCLA-- hasn't found any new documents in their area to catalog yet; UCD-- hasn't selected titles yet; UCSD--cataloged two last month
- UCB to participate during pilot with four Affiliated Libraries on Content DM (Armanda): UCB: 5-10 min. per title cataloging through Harvesting. Will report back to CAMCIG on findings.
- Follow up with chairs of GILS & PAG: Armanda reported that PAG very supportive of archiving effort; no word back from GILS chair yet.
- CalPoly SLO might be interested in working with UC on a CalDocs project and would like more information on it. Linda will write up a summary sheet of where we have gotten so far with the CalDocs project, vet it with CAMCIG and, with our approval, share it with CalPoly. This new document would also be suitable for posting on the CAMCIG website.

**5. UC CONSER Funnel proposal to expand (Sara):**

CAMCIG felt that now is not a good time to approve this proposal. However, we agreed that due to UCLA's longstanding relationship with the Getty, they would be added as a "listserv only"

participant to the Funnel. All acknowledged that even this level of involvement could entail a significant amount of time from the UC CONSER Funnel coordinators depending on the number and type of questions the Getty asked. Any additional requests will be handled (CAMCIG earlier approved limited Funnel membership to Bill Riddle, principal cataloger at the California State Library. Bill will only be creating/maintaining PCC BibPURLs on records for California State Documents.)

**6. UC BIBCO Funnel follow up (Xiaoli):**

- No BIBCO funnels have been created yet
- BIBCO requires 100 records created per year

Only UCLA & Berkeley currently have enhanced status. CAMCIG doesn't think it is a good time to be taking on additional work. However, we agreed to keep the possibility in mind because of our need to further develop our cooperative cataloging efforts. Xiaoli will reply to BIBCO that our proposal will be put on hold for now.

**7. Local Holdings Records and WCL (Linda, Sara):**

Sara compiled the information from the campus surveys and gave it to OCLC. There was also a Special Collections survey on the same questions.

The survey indicated that we had a consensus on the key types of copy specific information to be indexed and displayed. It also revealed that campuses were willing to standardize by choosing one field to use for each type

Locally added access points that are not copy specific were not discussed. There has not been a decision as to whether they will be in the Master record or the holdings record. Options for local information:

- Retain only in local OPAC (doesn't work if intend to get rid of local OPAC)
- Eliminate local practices that create non-standard local access points

**8. OCLC's Parallel Record Policy (Sara):**

CAMCIG discussed developing training for UC catalogers and copy catalogers to help us make decision about what type of changes should be made, and which should be left alone as we work towards "network level" cataloging —distinguishing between "tweaking" and true record enhancement and correction. Training goals: Develop general principles of what is appropriate to do to a master record and what local practices we may need to give up.

This topic ties into at least the first three items of CAMCIG's 2007/2008 Annual Report.

Linda and Sarah will draft up a basic training plan and bring it back to CAMCIG. We envision our own people doing the training. Developing training for OCLC's Parallel Record Policy could be a good pilot case.

**9. CAMCIG annual report /objectives and goals:**

- Nothing to move forward with immediately with the exception of training plans as described above.
- No immediate need for an in-person meeting; will wait to set a date until there is a need.

**10. UCSD/UCB Germanic Cataloging Project (Linda and Armanda)**

UCB will catalog UCSD's backlog of 300-400 German titles. Scanned documents will be sent to Berkeley and the scans will be cataloged. Logistics are still being worked out, but will be based on the "MARC Now" model.

**11. Reclamation updates.**

- UCR sent records to OCLC towards end of last month
- UCSC's reclamation project sent CalDocs with OCLC numbers that didn't actually match—the CalDoc records ended up being added as duplicates.
- Apparently OCLC numbers do disappear from the Worldcat database (some campuses have sent records with OCLC record numbers that do not retrieve a match.)
- Some campuses are getting records back from OCLC that don't match the records they sent

Next phone call: December 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2008

Recorder: Brad Eden