

**CAMCIG Conference Call**  
**2:30-4 p.m.**  
**October 6, 2008**

Present: Linda Barnhart (UCSD), Armanda Barone (UCB-Chair), , Rebecca Doherty (CDL), Brad Eden (UCSB), Lai-Ying Hsiung (UCSC--recorder), Wanda Jazayeri (UCI), Sara Layne (UCLA), Xiaoli Li (UCD), Bea Mallek (UCSF), Manuel Urrizola (UCR)

Absent: Jim Dooley (UCM)

**1. New UCI Representative at CAMCIG:**

Welcome to Wanda Jazayeri, our new UCI representative. She is Head, Catalog Maintenance and Catalog Dept. Assistant.

**2. California Documents: the “SCP” Proposal:**

The size of the CalDoc update (monographs and serials) files are large. The CalDoc updates from July through September 2008 have not been distributed. There was concern expressed in CAMCIG about the possibility of overlaying important information from previous SCP records. Adolfo estimates the potential of overlay to be 1%. There are several options for us to consider: 1) go ahead with the overlay taking into consideration the 1% overlay risk, 2) too much risk, do not overlay, or 3) Let SCP know when there is a particular title that needs to be addressed. Xiaoli asked if there might be a way to insert the new URL without overlaying the complete record. Linda was not sure about this and would have to investigate. The group decided to allow SCP retrieve all July-Sept government document records from OCLC and distribute only the CAX monograph records AND allow campuses to forward any problem records they encounter to SCP so the records can be redistributed. No serials updates will be included. CAMCIG agreed to allow Bill Riddle from the California State Library to participate in the UC CONSER Funnel as an affiliated cataloger. He will be creating/maintaining BibPURLs for CalDocs. Campuses can start to notify SCP when upgrading serials records.

**3. California Documents: the “UCB” Proposal**

Updates from the 5 Campuses: UCB and UCSD have selected and cataloged a few titles. UCI has identified the workflow it will use, but has not yet selected or cataloged any titles. UCLA and UCD have not yet found titles for original cataloging. UCSD selectors have passed on the selection responsibilities to their experienced document cataloging staff. Most campuses find that selection has not been too time-consuming. The question of using single record cataloging approach for documents has been raised again at UCSD, especially when the electronic version has to be cataloged first.

ContentDM:

UCB's Mark Takaro and Nick Robinson from the Institute of Governmental Studies Library demonstrated their ContentDM cataloging procedures to A. Barone and Lisa Rowilson. One can view one of the IGS Library ContentDM collections at:

<http://cdm266301.cdmhost.com/cdm4/browse.php>

The IGS Library shares their instance of ContentDM with the Water Resources Center Library, the Transportations Studies Library and the Law Library on the UCB campus. The four libraries have discussed the possibility of allowing the UCB CalDocs cataloger(s) to use their instance. All campuses, except UCLA and UCI, have ContentDM.

UCD has continued to use the old, but fast way of harvesting because the new way is not working yet. HOTS has not designated a priority to archiving responsibilities, and where should the archived documents be stored has not been decided. There are too many questions surrounding the whole archiving issue that more discussions and work are needed.

**Actions:**

- a. Barone will talk to the CDL Preservation Group and GILS to find out who should be responsible for archiving state documents.
- b. Doherty will check with CDL about whether METS should be used if the documents were to be stored in the UC Deep Archive.
- c. Li will continue to work with the Sate Library on the document pilot project using ContentDM to gather more information and to come up with the optimal process. If the documents are to be stored at OCLC, what space on the OCLC server should be used.

**4. HOTS:**

HOTS has approved an expanded SCP scope and expressed unanimous support for SOPAG's efforts in exploring centralized technical services.

UCD will send out a Content Management System (CMS) survey to find out what CMSs are in use on campuses and who own the systems.

HOTS will update the cataloging and language needs on its website. UCB will be cataloging 400-500 German titles for UCSD, avoiding complex cost-sharing models. The ideal is to cooperate, share expertise, increase collaboration and reduce redundancy among campuses.

WorldCat Local Pilot: Next Generation Melvyl (NGM) will continue into 2009 and a decision from the ULs is expected by December 1, 2008. Local Holdings Records will be used to improve response time. Bibliographic record maintenance should move to the

network level. Users and librarians were encouraged to complete the user survey on the NGM. UCSB is hoping to use NGM in lieu of the local OPAC. As UCB migrates to their new ILS, they will be discussing further how their local OPAC will be utilized. One thing that has to happen to be able to go live with NGM and to discontinue Classic Melvyl is to have SCP and vendor records on NGM.

#### **5. UC BIBCO Funnel:**

To enable UC staff to catalog and edit other libraries' records on OCLC, highest enhance status is preferable for all the campuses. Creating a UC BIBCO Funnel seems to be the way to go.

Action: Li volunteered to find out the requirements and talk to Bross (UCLA) about the CONSER Funnel process. If CAMCIG decides to create the funnel project, Li is willing to be the lead and to shepherd this project forward.

#### **6. Reclamation Updates**

UCR: sending records this month

UCSD: pulling together a team to plan the Shared Cataloging Program reclamation

UCSC: finished. Started to work on record clean-ups.

UCI: not yet planned

UCD: the loading of OCLC#s will be finished in a week or so. It will take longer to finish unresolved records.

#### **7. CAMCIG annual report:**

Action: Members should submit comments about the report via email.

#### **8. UC CONSER Funnel Proposal to Expand:**

Action: Further comments about Sara's email dated 9/8/08 and whether the UC CONSER Funnel should be expanded beyond UC will be put on the agenda of the next meeting.

#### **9. Local Holdings Records and WCL:**

Sara's questions seem fine in general, but how local information should be mapped from our bibliographic records to the new fields in LHR's require further discussions.

Action: Sara will send out the revised questions for campus input.

#### **10. Next Conference Call: Monday, November 3, 2:30-4 p.m.**