<u>UC Copyright Ownership Policy</u> Recommendation Summary

Recommendations from the Final Report of the SLASIAC UC Copyright Own Policy Working Group, Oct. 2014	SLASIAC Standing Subcommittee on Copyright Policies (SSCP) – Responses and Actions
1. Expand the class of employees that are allowed to their Scholarly/Aesthetic Works. Revise the policy all job titles (including faculty, graduate students a to own the scholarly/aesthetic works they create.	to allow their own copyright, and how (and perhaps when) to exclude represented
to own the scholarly, destrictle works they create.	Revision draft:
	Designated Academic Appointees: Includes all Academic Appointees who are
	engaged primarily in instruction and/or research, and whose University duties
	include Those University employees who have a general obligation to produce
	scholarly/ aesthetic works. Included are all appointees in the Professor series, In-
	Residence series, and the Professional Research series. Appointees in other
	academic titles may also be designated by the appropriate Chancellor or Vice President as having the obligation to produce scholarly/aesthetic works.
	r resident as having the obligation to produce scholarly/ destrictic works.
	Represented employees (those who are members of a union) are governed by the union agreement with the University.
	Draft a working definition of a scholarly/aesthetic work"
	"Work" includes lecture notes, case examples, textbooks, works of nonfiction,
	novels, lyrics, musical compositions/arrangements and recordings, journal articles,
	scholarly papers, poems, architectural drawings, software, visual works of art,
	sculpture, and other artistic creations, among others, regardless of the medium in
	which those works are fixed or disseminated.
	[Based on Univ of Michigan: http://spg.umich.edu/policy/601.28]
2. Clarify "use of University resources," either by defi "exceptional use" or providing examples of "incide	· ·
use" (or both).	Incidental University Resources: The usual support generally available to similarly

		situated faculty members such as: customary administrative support, library facilities, office space, personal computers, access to computers and networks, and academic year salary.
3.	For non-software, traditional scholarly writings (e.g., articles, books, translations) where the University holds the copyright under the policy, the University automatically grants back ownership to the author (subject to any obligations to third parties, such as the agencies that provided the research funding), rather than requiring the authors to affirmatively request title.	May not be necessary. Policy already has a "Release of University Rights" section (Sec. C).
4.	Clarify that the University would only assert ownership if more than "incidental" University resources are used.	Still needed. See section III. A. 7.
5.	UC should consider adopting a mechanism to assure that the university receives a return on its investment when commercially-oriented copyrightable works (or other copyrightable works) are developed. SLASIAC discuss whether, regardless of ownership, the University should receive an appropriate return on its investment in faculty-owned copyrighted works that enjoy unusual levels of commercial success.	The SSCP does not recommend. It seems impossible to define or administer, and feasible only in very narrow circumstances.