Direction & Oversight Committee (DOC)
Meeting Agenda & Minutes

December 14, 2018, 2:00 – 3:00 pm

Attendees: Sarah Troy (Chair, UCSC) Ann Frenkel (UCR), Beth Dupuis (UCB), Felicia Poe (CDL), Rice Majors (UCD), Josh Hutchinson (LAUC-UCI), Donald Barclay (UCM), Todd Grappone (UCLA), Catherine Friedman (UCSD), Stephen Kiyoi (UCSF), Michael Kim (UCSB), Steven Mandeville-Gamble (CoUL-UCR)

Recorder: Danielle Westbrook

Regrets: Alison Regan (UCI)

Guest(s): N/A

Next meeting: January 11, 2019 (2:00 – 3:00 pm)

Time	Lead	Notes, Decisions	Actions
1 min	ST	**DOC Housekeeping** The Jan. 11 meeting will focus on the Ask a Librarian service assessment. Antoinette Avila, the Ask A Librarian Manager and chair of the Project Team, will join us to present the Team's report and answer questions.	
0 min		**Information items** A one-year agreement between the UC and Unity Courier for library materials transportation was executed on November 21, 2018. The agreement is in effect from November 1, 2018 to October 31, 2019.	
5 min	SMG	**CoUL update** CoUL's focus remains on major journal negotiations and pursuing a systemwide ILS. On both issues, CoUL members are in alignment.	
20 min	AR, AF	**Alternative Access Project Team** Draft initial report and draft portal webpage CDL is investigating eLinks customization, re: Reprints Desk, and including messaging to users.	**Action:** DOC members will begin working with their local web teams to build out campus pages that will point to the systemwide portal on the OSC website.

		Several members noted that the draft portal is a great start, but that additional changes might be made to make it more user friendly. **Decision:** the initial report from the Alt. Access Project Team is not yet ready to share. Once finalized, DOC members can share the report internally. DOC members can begin sharing (internally) the existing ILL section only. **Decision:** a recommendation should ask the ILL Ops team to investigate Rapid.	**Action:** Frenkel will create (and distribute to DOC) a mechanism to track local ILL activities and initiatives related to alternative access. **Action:** Majors will update DOC on Davis' investigation of Rapid via the listserv. **Action:** DOC members will send additional feedback to Troy. **Action:** Troy will circulate a draft response from DOC on the listserv for review and further feedback (in time for the Dec. 20th deadline).
20 min	BD	UC Linked Data Project Team report Final report The Project Team considered DOC's feedback to their draft report and has slightly modified some elements. The team decided that their recommendation for a standing committee is important to enable the more significant changes they envision at a systemwide level. DOC members noted the thought and effort that went into the report and thanks the project team for their excellent work. A member reflected that the system lacks a standing group on technical services and related systems issues. It was also noted that this work intersects with the SILS and that SILS-EGs welcome the opportunity to work with the project team. Another member recommended that, given the current priorities for the UC Libraries, DOC might want to connect with CoUL on this topic. Several members expressed	**Action:** Troy and Dupuis will arrange the release of the UC Linked Data Project Team report. **Action:** Dupuis will notify the Project Team that DOC's review isn't yet complete and that the committee is taking a holistic look at the system's needs and the projects already underway.

		concern about the system's capacity to take on more work, noting that some recent project teams, though staffed, had members unable to dedicate the appropriate amount of time. **Decision:** DOC requires more time to discuss the recommendations outlined in the report. DOC's review will continue in the new year. **Decision:** DOC supports the release of the UC Linked Data Project Team report as it provides valuable context for the Jan. 2019 linked data webinars. The release should acknowledge the team's impressive work and note that the report is still under review and that no decisions have been made about its recommendations.	