Shared Content Leadership Group
Meeting Minutes, June 17, 2016

Attendees present: Martha Hruska (SD, chair), Jean McKenzie (B), Gail Yokote (DJim Dooley (M), Allison Scott (R), Julia Kochi (SF), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Mihoko Hosoi (CDL), Jacqueline Wilson (CDL)

Absent: Kerry Scott (SC), John Renaud (I), Sharon Farb (LA), Roxanne Peck (LA), Ivy Anderson (CDL)

Guest: Myra Appel (D)

Housekeeping, Announcements

Who is attending ALA? Jim, Ivy, Martha

Myra now has access to all documents, listservs, and is scheduled to take over for Gail in July. We will miss you, Gail!

Reveal Digital: UCSD and Berkeley made additional contributions. If another campus makes a contribution, SCP will catalog. Are there any other campuses considering contributions? Merced cannot. Action: Find out if there are any other campuses thinking of contributing. Berkeley asked for Reveal usage stats. Reveal said they aren't very sophisticated but will share. (STAR Team does look at stats as part of the criteria/recommendation.)

Possible Agenda Topic for July: Budget outlook for next fiscal year from each campus.

SCP Catalog Priorities: Sharing observation that open access titles fall toward the bottom of priorities. Discussion about how librarians submit requests to catalog a single Open Access title. (There is one for journals.) Requests for Open Access monos should go to Wendy. Action: Ask for clarification/clean up on wording on how to submit a recommendation for a single Open Access title. http://www.cdlib.org/services/collections/scp/organization/newprojects.html

Framework for JSC Charge on Cost Models

The plan is to send the framework to JSC to work out the elements. However, if there are members of SCLG that aren't on JSC (Alison, Eunice, Gail, Jim, John) who want to have more input, let us know. Are there any ideas that you want to have expressed in an overarching description of cost share models and the framework that we operate?

Eunice: wants to ensure that the principle of transparency and having a rational basis for distributing these costs is laid out. It is in the introduction but it might be called out in the guiding principles.

Alison: global comment about the degree to which we understand CDL to be an autonomous element in these funding decisions. Acknowledge that we do count on CDL to contribute and that they are independent. When does CDL decide to make their contribution, example: March of Time Video Database. How are these decisions made? What is the process? Gail: this should be a factor/element used to construct cost share models. Action: add this as an example in the first bullet. Take into account subject-based CKJs and CDL's role in selecting resources.

Martha: Wants more predictable cost share models than we've had in the past. Let's not continue the status quo. Wants less idiosyncratic cost models for each package. Ideally 3-4 models. Where we would say for e-book packages we are using Model A and the percentages are set. When the term expires, the formula will still apply but the numbers might be different.

Want figures to lock in when a package starts. Aim: less complication, easy to predict. Jean agrees that smaller number of models is better. Julia: do we know how many models we are currently using? How consistent are our journal package cost share models? Wendy: we have never taken an inventory of all of our cost share models; they are extensive. JSC has a lot of discussion to try to make each cost share model fair for everyone. There is a lot of back and forth and discussion with each campus. It is hard to predict. Julia: The reason JSC does so much tweaking is to make sure "campuses won't pay more than they would individually." This can be a conflict with having only 3-4 models.

Plan: In the cover letter to JSC, we will include directions about keeping the number of models on the lower side and explain that the goal should be simplicity and transparency. That makes it a practical approach and not part of the Framework itself. Mihoko: Can we include an issue statement? What is it that we are trying to resolve/achieve? That will also be included in the charge as well. Finally we will lay out the outcome we want: 3-4 models with some flexibility.

Action: Jim and John will work with the notes and plug in the couple of points made today and then share again with SCLG.