Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, May 12, 2017

Present: Martha Hruska (SD, Chair), JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I), Angela Riggio (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Julia Kochi (SF), Kerry Scott (SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review

The Sept 8 in-person meeting will be held in Oakland, hosted by CDL.

June meeting dates will be 6/2 and 6/16. **ACTION:** Martha will book 6/2 and 6/16 meeting dates on our calendars.

For the DOC-sponsored webinar on 5/18, Zoom link is forthcoming from John on behalf of DOC. There will be a practice run. **ACTION:** SCLG members to share with MH any comments on the draft slides she distributed.

SPST will continue to report to DOC. The goal is for good communication between SPST, SCLG, and DOC. JR proposed to provide reports on SPST as standing SCLG agenda item. **ACTION:** MH will take a proposal to DOC that SCLG have input into SPST proposals before they're finalized and submitted to DOC for approval.

Collection Vision Update

IA: What is our curatorial role with regard to the facilitated collection?

AS: What do we mean by "we"? Our role as collection managers becomes embedded in a larger nexus of services and relationships.

MH: In terms of the service component, might be more in scope for CoUL. Our thrust is to define our responsibility with regard to the collections - to make sure that the scope of what we're collecting is broad enough and relevant enough to align with / support the service.

IA: It's unclear from the document what we mean by the UC Library Collection. In some cases it sounds like what we have ownership rights for, in other cases it's broader.

MA: What's discoverable in our discovery services.

IA: That we exercise stewardship responsibility in some way.

MH: Is there a sense that with the idea of the facilitated collection, that if we somehow capture, curate, manage free resources, we should call those out?

JD: I don't think the concept of collections as beyond what the individual library owns is essential; it points to some of the expansion.

KS: It depends on the audience we're talking to.

IA: What makes something part of the UC Library Collection? Everything in the world when we're taking action to enable someone to use it? Is the concept of selection key to our understanding of our collection? Are there two levels of collection, selection/ownership/stewardship, but also anything we help people with, collections vs content?

JR: We help people navigate to information we don't steward; there's information we take in, e.g. add to a libguide, but if we don't steward it, it's not part of our collections, but part of our role.

MH: There's a whole world of information, but should the document be scoped to the information we steward?

AS: This is a vision statement; set the definition aside and focus on the vision. The document is targeted as a persuasive set of arguments for the ULs.

MH: The value of the document is for ourselves and those whom we direct in support of the collection; the strategic goals section helps frame and inform the activities that make sense for us to do collectively in terms of the UC collection.

DECISION: Retain the concept of "facilitated collection"; the document should articulate a systemwide collections statement, what we're trying to do systemwide, and the systemwide goals that we're working toward as our agenda.

ACTION: Everyone should comment in the google doc, including specific language they'd like to see, **by 5/26;** use the google doc "Suggesting" feature rather than editing.

CoUL Feedback on FTE Cost Model Proposal

Takeaway from conversation of IA and MH with CoUL on 5/7 was that CoUL supports the FTE model in principle, but along with a goal of reducing the overall Tier-1 spend; CoUL did not provide a clear directive regarding implementation. CoUL discussed weighted FTE models, floors/ceilings for impacts to campuses, and other flavors of a modified FTE; their consideration was not conclusive.

Overarching principles we can agreed on to help us decide how to reduce our Tier-1 spend could include usage trends, variation of value across campuses (e.g. usage could be concentrated among a few campuses, with a long tail among the others), duplication vs unique content, navigation, peer institutions, cost per use.

ACTION: Review Mihoko's Tier-1 list and start to do internal analysis.

ACTION: CDL will provide usage stats and campus costs.

Licensing Update

CDL Licensed Content Group Updates

CDL Acquisitions – FreshDesk Customer Relationship Management (CRM) Software – Just a reminder that effective May 1, CDL Acquisitions implemented FreshDesk, a CRM software, to track customer inquiries and CDL Acquisitions' responses. Please use **cdlacq@cdlib.org** to contact CDL Acquisitions. We will soon be updating relevant webpages. Please contact Peter Rolla (CDL Acquisitions) with any questions and any feedback.

Key Issues for Vendors – A webinar for Resource Liaisons (RLs) is scheduled for 5/16. Mihoko Hosoi, Katie Forney and Mat Wilmot will be going over the issues and answering questions. Following the webinar, the final Key Issues for Vendors documents (along with a link to the webinar recording) will be distributed to SCLG and CKG Subject Group Chairs for broader distribution to all campus librarians.

Naxos – Shared Cataloging Program (SCP) will be deleting 3,821 titles/records from the main Music collection and 13 titles from the Jazz collection because they moved to the World collection, to which we don't have access. Please contact Donal O'Sullivan if you have any questions.

LICENSES

Springer Nature – CDL received draft Letters of Intent (LOI) from the vendor on 5/10 and is reviewing them. Grace access to existing Nature journals has been extended through midnight June 30. CDL sent a draft license agreement (edits on general terms) to the vendor on 3/23, and inquired on the status a few times; so far no replies from the vendor on the license. The vendor is asking us to sign LOI and pay first. Business terms for Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) are still under negotiation. We are discussing the lab pricing and access issues through another rep. It's been a time consuming process, to separate the labs out of the existing UC license/pricing, and to negotiate their pricing separately. The labs' grace access to existing Nature journals has also been extended through June 30.

SCOAP3 Proposal – SCLG is reviewing a SCOAP3 co-investment proposal based on campus authorship rates for Phase 2, 2017-2019. Please contact Wendy Parfrey if you have any questions.

BrowZine – Any new campuses interested in subscribing to BrowZine with end of year funds needs to let Holly Eggleston know by 5/26. Currently subscribed campuses do not need to take any action. There will be a webinar demonstrating new BrowZine features on 5/18.

Annual Reviews – Based on the recent SCLG discussion about the new tiered pricing structure for Annual Reviews, CDL has received a 2018 quote. The new pricing is based on Carnegie classifications and includes a consortial discount. Further analysis by CDL is forthcoming to determine the cost implications.

Central Daily News – the East Asian CKG with Berkeley as the sponsoring campus are collecting approvals for a Tier 2 (5 campuses) for the archive of the Central Daily News, which is the official newspaper of the Chinese Nationalist Party in Taiwan.

ArXiV – A co-investment proposal for SCLG's review is forthcoming. ArXiV has a new business model for 2018-2022.

JSTOR Sustainability (thematic collection) – CDL is organizing a webinar in June for SCLG to learn more about this collection and to discuss co-investment options. It covers more than 20 disciplines within the theme of sustainability and includes journals, ebooks and research reports (http://www.jstor.org/sustainability/).

Institute of Physics (IoP) – Berkeley is sponsoring a Tier 2 with six campuses to purchase the next ebook collection, Release 4. The collection includes 80 titles and all campuses have approved (B,I,LA,M,SD,SC). CDL will move forward with this renewal.

IEEE's IBM Journal of Research & Development –Nine campuses have approved (B,D,I,LA,M,R,SD,SB,SC) its annual renewal (Tier 2 from Berkeley). CDL will move forward with this renewal.

End of year promotional pricing from Alexander Street and ProQuest – CDL sent discounted single campus pricing for resources that were of interest on SCLG's wish list in case there are funds available for local purchases.

Electrochemical Society (ECS) Digital Library Update / ECS Plus – SCLG approved in February, and CDL sent the draft license to the vendor on 3/6. The vendor indicated that they are short staffed and that they hope to finish reviewing our edits ASAP.

The Licensing status (request CDL password) page has been updated.

Future Agenda

SCOAP3 & Offsetting Goals