Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, June 16, 2017

Present: JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel and Bob Heyer-Gray (D), John Renaud (I), Angela Riggio and Roxanne Peck (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott, Co-chair (R), Peter Rolla (SD) for Martha Hruska, Christy Hightower (SC) for Kerry Scott, Eunice Schroeder (SB), Julia Kochi (SF), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Mihoko Hosoi (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Absent: Martha Hruska (SD, Chair), Kerry Scott (SC), Ivy Anderson (CDL)
Guests: Jean McKenzie (B), Mat Willmott (CDL), Jennifer Chan (LA)

Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review
We wish Myra and Angela well!
Welcome to Bob Heyer-Gray from UCD.
Welcome to Roxanne Peck from UCLA.
June 2nd meeting minutes are approved.

STAR Team Review of Open Library of Humanities
Recommendation by the STAR team: Adopt a UC-wide model. Still consider this a start-up phase publisher, partially supported by grants & individual campus members. Our membership would mean we could participate in the development of OLH, as we would be members of the library advisory board and get to decide which journals to add.

Cost & Business Model: $2,000 per campus/yearly contribution. CDL has paid the past two years. Cost has increased a bit with corresponding additions to the model.

- We are still waiting to get #s of how many UC authors have published with OLH.
- OLH is exceeding the membership goals set by their grant with Mellon. They have more applicants (journals) than they can add, which means they can be selective or deliberate.
- Should have a new platform this summer which will be counter-compliant.
- Main risk: that their membership base might not grow fast enough to cover fees once the grants run out.

Questions

- What happens if UCSF decides not to participate? Does that lower the overall price or mean other UCs have to pay more to get us to the $20,000? [Non discounted rate is $2,100 per library.]
- Cost shares might need to be determined instead of an equal share.
- How do we understand the value of the new content in relation to what it is going to cost us in cancelling old content to cover? What is the significant value of the additional content on top of the business transformation? [more of a question for SCLG, than STAR Team].
- If we decide to not support, the content is still open access and available.
- What is their project for membership growth (at 218 right now), long term strategies for adding to their portfolio.

Action Item: After Matt finds out the answers to our pricing questions, we can then decide if we want to make a UC investment with OLH. Davis, Merced, SC, SD, UCLA, Riverside think they will support. UCSB, UCI, and UCSF are probably out.

Collection Vision Update

Minutes from last meeting had an Action Item for Martha, Kerry, and Ivy who are absent from this meeting. We can't vote on it until that gets done. We ask that they look at their changes at our next meeting and then move to an "up or down" vote. Alison will send them a message as a reminder. It will be an item on our next agenda.

Green Glass Update

(Myra sent SCLG some screen shots.)

Remember it only assesses PRINT MONO collection (includes reference, music scores, gov docs, children literature). Library client customer can set up 6 groups to compare (UCD used UCs, RLFs, etc.)

Overall view of the collection:

- Also shows titles that didn't match up in WorldCat (this is very helpful to cataloging.)
- #s of items that have received no use, publications that are over 10 years old, items that are held at over 100 libraries.
- If we had a UC-wide license we could see what each library has.
- Also shows # of titles that are unique in California.
- Shows # of titles in HathiTrust and those that are in copyright, what's in the public domain. Then it compares that with the average across the US.
- Ability to search by broad LC call #, # of uses, date, already in the RLF, etc. (921 titles that are in both RLFs).

This was easy to use. Get back a beautiful screenshot of queries. Can do lots of visualizations. Davis has just started reviewing. Myra felt it was very worth the money, incredibly valuable. This gives us data that we don't have time to pull ourselves. Also shows where you want to invest in the future.

$5,000 base fee. 2 cents per record up to a million records. 3 cents per record over a million. Davis did a 2-year contract. The problem is the data is static. Data is already 9 months old. In a number of cases, will have to pull data from Alma Analytics.

Summer 2018 - Print Journal Comparisons will be a functionality.

UC Micro Collections Review Report

Recommendation: move the shared microfilm under the governance of the Shared Print Program and make them visible as a shared resource.

Discussion about digitization priorities. These microforms are probably not a high priority to digitization or purchase a commercial digital version that but we had many other recommendations – especially around content notes. This led to a further discussion on does it matter that these were once shared purchases? Are they still shared? Or are we at a point where it is now a local decision, each campus can do what they want in terms of withdraw, send to an RLF?

SCLG expressed appreciation to the task force.

Action Item: Discussion needs to be continued at a further meeting so we can have a thoughtful meeting about our understanding on shared purchases. This will have implications for other shared purchases.

Licensing Update

CDL Updates

Shared Cataloging Program (SCP) – There will be approx. 4,200 open access ebook records distributed on Monday June 19th. The records are primarily from the DOAB and OAPEN collections but also include SpringerLink, JSTOR, Open Book Publishers, Luminos, and Knowledge Unlatched. Please contact Donal O'Sullivan if you have any questions.

CDL Acquisitions – FreshDesk Customer Relationship Management (CRM) Software – Just a reminder CDL Acquisitions implemented FreshDesk, a CRM software, to track customer inquiries and CDL Acquisitions' responses. Please use cdlacq@cdlib.org to contact CDL Acquisitions, instead of individual email addresses. Please contact Peter Rolla (CDL Acquisitions) with any questions.

LICENSES

Springer Nature – CDL signed Letters of Intent (LOI) for UC campus subscriptions on 5/16 (Tue), and received LOIs for Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) today (6/14). We will sign the labs' LOIs soon once the content is confirmed. Access to listed licensed products is active, including Springer journals, Springer Protocols, Nature journals for all UC campuses, and *Pediatric Research* for five campuses (Berkeley, Davis, Los Angeles, San Diego, and San Francisco.) Cataloging is in progress. We were able to negotiate the LLNL and LBNL's pricing successfully. The license is still under negotiation. UC campuses, LBNL, and LLNL will be on the same contract, except for LBNL's Springer contract which is a Department of Energy consortium license through 12/31/2018. CDL sent a draft licensing terms (edits on general terms) to the vendor on 3/23, and still hasn't heard back. CDL has requested updates from the vendor several times, and expressed concerns, especially because some campuses are considering local purchases based on the CDL license. We'll inform SCLG as soon as it's signed.

JSTOR Sustainability (thematic collection) – JSTOR hosted a webinar on the new collection and JSTOR's new strategic direction on 6/2. The collection covers more than 20 disciplines within the theme of sustainability and includes journals, research reports, images, video, ebooks and gray literature. Future content will include data sets and corporate reports. JSTOR is focusing on 'today's content, not yesterday's' in a pivot from the long-standing Arts & Sciences collections. There will be tools for researchers such as a text analyzer, a semantic index for 20 fields and customization of the search interface. SCLG briefly discussed the webinar: there was concern about the value of a curated portal. Do researchers use vendor portals? Is it an effective starting point for users? Does JSTOR need to provide extra tools and services to enhance the value they provide to the library community? JSC also discussed the product and the lack of campus funding for any new products. We could revisit it later if needs/interest arise, or add it to the CDL/UC wish list, or establish a bibliographer task force to evaluate it if there is enough interest currently. SCLG will discuss the next step at the 6/16 meeting.

Annual Reviews – SCLG expressed concern over the new tiered pricing structure based on Carnegie classifications that will go into effect in 2018 for Annual Reviews, an independent and non-profit

publisher. For most campuses, the cost per download is still favorable even at the new pricing tiers with CDL's systemwide discount. Four campuses have low usage and are disadvantaged by Carnegie tiers. CDL investigated ways to customize the institutional pricing based on usage statistics, and provided a counter offer to Annual review. The publisher responded with slightly better pricing today (6/14). CDL immediately wrote back to the vendor to negotiate further, and will update SCLG at the 6/16 meeting. Please contact Wendy Parfrey if you have any questions.

Electrochemical Society (ECS) Digital Library Update / ECS Plus – SCLG approved in February, and CDL sent the draft license to the vendor on 3/6 (Mon). The vendor indicated that they are short staffed and that they hope to finish reviewing our edits ASAP.

The Licensing status (request CDL password) page has been updated.

Future Agenda

JSTOR Sustainability - Can we revisit JSTOR Sustainability later, when/if needs/interest arise, because there are costs involved and we don't seem to be ready to commit?