

Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, July 28, 2017

Present: Martha Hruska (SD, Chair), JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Roxanne Peck (LA), Alison Scott, Co-chair (R), Kerry Scott (SC), Julia Kochi (SF), Sarah Sheets for Jim Dooley (M), John Renaud (I), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Absent: Bob Heyer-Gray (D), Jim Dooley (M), Ivy Anderson (CDL)

Guest: Rachel Samberg, UCB

Announcements, Housekeeping and Calendar Review

Alison Scott to be AUL at UCLA beginning October 2, 2017. Working on transition plan for UCR representation. Details to be announced.

New UL starting on Tuesday at UCSF.

ACTION: Let Martha know if any issues with keeping 2nd and 4th Friday and same meeting time in the first 6 months of 2018.

Approval for Offsetting Task Force not on today's CoUL agenda.

DOC is looking at the possibility of more regular interactions (other than email) with SCLG and SPST. Details to be worked out.

ACTION: SCLG to alert DOC about the Offsetting Charge if not already aware.

ACTION: Edit and add to 9/8 agenda for the in person meeting.

STAR Team Review

Question: What is the investment capacity for transformative products? Do you have funds?

B: Yes, even though going through a collections cut the next two years. Have a gift fund for OA—can cover some resources.

M: Need confirmation from Jim, but may not be financially feasible.

LA: Yes, for this year depending on resource. Cost share re-do will change this capacity.

I: Yes, for this year depending on resource. KU - will seriously consider.

R: No, unless cancellations are made on other resources.

SF: No. Hoping the cost share model will provide some relief. Needs to be core to SF in order to participate.

SC: Never have money but want to make it a priority.

SB: No, unless we cancel other resources. Cost share re-do may increase some capacity. KU—duplicate of existing university press approval plan.

SD: Yes, modest pot of money set aside to invest in transformative initiatives. KU - an example of content we would normally want. This would be a different way of spending collection money.

Mindful of spending capacity when approving proposals that come through. STAR Team can appropriately frame the context of the reviews. Additional items to be developed from calls in July and August.

Course Affordability and Accessibility

[Presentation by Rachel Samberg, UCB](#). ACTION: Jo Anne will provide slide deck.

Fall 2017: 25 courses signed up. Encourage the use and creation of affordable and accessible content.

Impediments: lack of sitewide licenses for textbooks.

Print course packs: Private book store—Berkeley. Created by 3rd party vendors. More expensive.

Library working group: Charter for pilot project services

1. Course pack processing ---Capped at 11 courses. Providing accessible PDFs that have been taken more time than anticipated.
2. Creations of OERS

Next steps: taking easy route of switching to electronic route. Do have takers on the development of your own textbook. Facilitate support from campus groups outside the library.

Multi-campus collaboration: More sitewide textbooks or better remediated PDFs? What are the possibilities? Might have more weight if we collectively work together.

Can DOC support creation of OERS to share across the system? We need to find ways.

ACTION: Refer to DOC.

Collections is just part of the issue. Perhaps a statement with a problem and a potential solution and suggestion for a task force. Suggestion to send DOC a cover note: issue, potential solution, just some lightweight framing. Pose as a question: Does DOC want to commission a task force since this may be beyond SCLG and the collections perspective?

Good for DOC to hear with the CoUL rep to voice any concerns and avoid any future surprises.

ACTION: Jo Anne will draft a lightweight charge for SCLG to review.

UC Micro Collections Review Report

ACTION: Everyone should review SCLG Micro Collections TF response so SCLG can move the actual report to the website. Deadline: respond by Wednesday August 2, 2017 to make any changes or edits.

Subject Specialist Task Force Charge

Subject Specialist TF charge is in draft form. ACTION: Becky, Kerry, Jo Anne to review by Friday August 4, 2017.

ACTION: Martha to start conveyance notes to Emily and the SLFB.

Collection Vision Update

SB: In the first paragraph—all content....when it is curated—is this in conflict with the facilitated collection? Example: 1 year license for streaming video. Seems like we are identifying only those items that are curated as part of our collection.

R: Are we distinguishing between the two ends of the spectrum of how we serve our users? Long term perpetual access and short term access that fits a particular need and may disappear?

B: Can we ensure long term preservation to "all"?

SD: Curatorial responsibility—is it worth it to curate "all"? Should there be something about facilitating access?

SC: Scope the curation aspect to more closely resemble how and what we curate long term. Can we be more mindful about how we live this mission or vision in FY 17/18? Maybe we need to articulate our decisions a little more clearly? Decide as SCLG that video preservation is something we look at collectively? Look collectively for trends across the collections—where everyone is working separately and maybe we can start working together?

ACTION: Desired outcome is to create a manageable number of aspirational things for SCLG to do/accomplish.

Licensing Update

No update this meeting due to vacations.