

Shared Content Leadership Group

Meeting Minutes, August 26, 2016

Present: Jean McKenzie and JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez (B), Myra Appel (D), John Renaud (I), Roxanne Peck (LA), Jim Dooley (M), Alison Scott (R), Peter Rolla (SD), Julia Kochi (SF), Eunice Schroeder (SB), Kerry Scott (Chair, SC), Becky Imamoto (LAUC), Ivy Anderson (CDL), Wendy Parfrey (CDL)

Absent: Martha Hruska (SD), Sharon Farb (LA)

Guest: Emily Stambaugh (CDL)

Announcements and Housekeeping

Thanks to Jean McKenzie (B) for her service to CLS/SCLG. Welcome to JoAnne Newyear-Ramirez.

Oct. 24 is the date for the SCLG face to face meeting.

Bib groups: Lit, English, Religious studies—many retirements across the UC in these areas. Perhaps encourage newer librarians to participate (FYI).

Shared Print Strategy Team

The Shared Print Quarterly status report to DOC for details. Discussion of accomplishments, areas of attention for SP Team and areas of attention beyond SP team. Looking ahead to JACs.

Question about allocation use statistics - counted against campus deposits for JACs. It is for the RLFs. Becky asked if holdings for the campuses at the title level for the "special invitation" list can be shared with campuses. **ACTION:** Emily will check to see if the information is available for the campuses in order to avoid checking 2,000 titles if campus only has 300 titles. Question: was proposal re: research and experiments shared with SCLG? **ACTION:** John Renaud will send to SCLG. SP Team will engage with SCLG soon regarding assessment this year for shared print for licensed content. No specific timeline for an agenda topic on SCLG. SP Team will circle back to SCLG in the next month or so.

Has the SP team explored collection analysis using Greenglass? They did an environmental scan but it is tabled for now. UCD has asked for a formal quote for Greenglass. Focus on monograph titles. Interested in looking at holdings of a set of libraries for comparison. Can help develop shared collection building agreements; it has lots of possibilities. Davis wants to develop better use of our library space. Working with Bright Space to analyze library space. Does SCLG want to look at Greenglass? Cost for a single campus is \$5,000 base fee and a charge per record.

Should SCLG and SP Team have a more connected relationship in the future? Is it possible the groups might inadvertently go to DOC with different proposals that could benefit from a more collective approach/proposal? Should we have more frequent and formal talks since there is so much overlap between the groups? This can only lead to better communication between groups; let's engage with each other.

We want to make sure that we have a conversation about SCLG opinions for items under deliberation. Don't just wait for a draft to be sent to SCLG. Example: SCLG would like to see the questions the SP Team has already gathered re: the persistence policy. We are not the final weigh-in group but we might have a vested interest in helping to consult/craft a draft document.

ACTION: Proposal for more collaborative conversation between the two groups will be sent to SCLG for review and feedback. Martha, John and Kerry will draft.

Hathi Trust Shared Print Update

The discussion focused on the Hathi Trust Shared Print Monographs Program – an overview and advance UC notice of invitation to participate. Early goal: seek retention commitments from many institutions within Hathi Trust. Many aspects still to be developed.

Current issue: seeking and engaging with planning participants in the first phase of the archive. Invitations will go out in early September for participation. Being involved will help frame the program itself. Strategy team recommends two paths for coordinating responses: RLFs to be identified as early participants and encourage campuses to join early on.

SP Team will work with SCLG and DOC to coordinate campus participants. SCLG is best positioned to coordinate campus participation. Will NOT be asked to make binding commitments until Spring 2017. Everyone will get a chance to weigh in during spring and summer before making a binding commitment. Best mechanism for discussion will be Emily and John at this point. List of campuses with shared print collection (B, D, M, LA, SD, SB, SC).

How do monographs in Special Collections factor into this program? Intention is for commitments to be made for accessible (circulating) collections. Early participation means everyone can be involved in policy development. Mechanisms such as planning group formation are still to be determined. Need to wait to see how many institutions volunteer. Will include "stored holdings" at storage facilities and "in place" holdings at campuses. Looking at single volume monographs. When invitations go out and campuses have expressions of interest, they should be sent to Emily or John. They will collate the UC responses.

ACTION: Add Shared Print Team (Emily and John) as a standing item for the September meeting to discuss Hathi invitations/participation/expressions of interest.